

NO. DC-19-09828

D&T PARTNERS, LLC) IN THE DISTRICT COURT
(Successor in interest to)
ACET VENTURE PARTNERS,)
LLC),)
)
Plaintiff) DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
)
VS.)
)
ACET GLOBAL, LLC; BAYMARK)
ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK) 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MANAGEMENT, LCC; BAYMARK)
MANAGEMENT, LLC; BAYMARK)
PARTNERS; DAVID HOOK; TONY)
LUDLOW; and WINDSPEED
TRADING, LLC,

Defendants

REMOTE ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

MATT DENEGRE

April 8, 2021

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MATT DENEGRE,

produced as a witness at the instance of the PLAINTIFF,
and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
numbered cause on April 8, 2021, from 10:12 a.m. to 5:52
p.m., via videoconference before Karen Usher, CSR in and
for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand,
pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, current
Emergency Order Regarding the COVID-19 State of
Disaster, and the provisions stated on the record or
attached hereto.

Page 2		Page 4	
1	APPEARANCES (All parties appearing remotely)		
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:		
3	MR. JASON B. FREEMAN MR. ZACHARY MONTGOMERY Freeman Law, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75035 (214) 984-3410 jason@freemanlaw.com zmontgomery@freemanlaw.com		
4	FOR THE DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES:		
5	MR. EDWARD PERRIN Hallett & Perrin 1445 Ross Avenue Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 953-0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com		
6	FOR THE DEFENDANTS WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC:		
7	MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON MR. TIMOTHY WOODS Higier Allen 2711 North Haskell Avenue Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204 (972) 371-2481 bhard-wilson@higierallen.com twoods@higierallen.com		
8	ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Tomer Damti Mr. Anthony Ludlow		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
Page 3		Page 5	
1	INDEX		P R O C E E D I N G S
2	PAGE		MATT DENEGRE,
3	Appearances..... 2		having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	MATT DENEGRE		THE REPORTER: Pursuant to the current
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN..... 7		emergency order regarding COVID-19 State of Disaster,
6 EXHIBITS		this deposition of Matt Denegre is being conducted
7	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE		remotely via Zoom. Today's date is April 8th, 2021, and
8	1 Voluntary Petition for Nonindividuals Filing for Bankruptcy..... 226		the time is 10:12 a.m. The witness is located in Dallas
9	2 Email from Mr. Szeto to Mr. Denegre dated October 19, 2018..... 91		County, Texas. My name is Karen Usher, Texas CSR
10	3 Email from Mr. Szeto to Mr. Denegre in January of 2019..... 102		No. 5536. I have administered the oath, and I am
11	4 Email from Mr. Szeto to Mr. Denegre dated January 24, 2019..... 112		reporting the deposition remotely by stenographic means
12	5 Email chain between Mr. Denegre and Mr. Szeto regarding Monthly Financial Statement 2019-01..... 120		from my residence within the State of Texas.
13	6 Email exchange regarding ACET K-1..... 125		The witness has represented to me under
14	7 Email between Mr. Denegre and Mr. Bellah regarding ACET next steps..... 137		oath that he is Matt Denegre. Will counsel please state
15	8 Email exchange between Mr. Denegre and Mr. Szeto regarding ACET inventory list..... 142		their appearances and any agreements for the record.
16	9 Email Exchange Regarding Weekly Report 1/1.... 146		MR. FREEMAN: This is Jason Freeman on
17	10 Email exchange between Mr. Denegre and Mr. Godinez regarding Windspeed/ACET and foreclosure agreement..... 151		behalf of plaintiffs.
18	11 Windspeed weekly call calendar invite from Mr. Denegre..... 169		MR. PERRIN: And this is Ed Perrin on
19	12 Email exchange between Mr. Denegre and Mr. Szeto regarding Windspeed and various attachments..... 171		behalf of the -- all the defendants with the exception
20	13 Email exchange regarding wind down plan..... 175		of Windspeed Trading, Limited.
21	14 Email exchange regarding Luluway fan page.... 186		MS. HARD-WILSON: This is Brenda
22	15 Email from Mr. Denegre to Mr. Ludlow dated October 7, 2018..... 194		Hard-Wilson on behalf of Windspeed Trading.
23	16 Email from Mr. Szeto to Mr. Denegre dated October 17, 2018..... 198		MR. FREEMAN: I believe we have a running
24	17 Email from Mr. Szeto to Mr. Denegre dated October 19, 2018..... 203		agreement that any objections made by any of the
25			defendant parties will apply to the other defendants.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: That's correct. And Jason, 2 just for the purposes of this deposition, you can't see 3 it, I'll turn it around if you want to, but the screen 4 we have y'all on on the wall is on the other side of 5 this table. And so if you're going to put up documents, 6 he can try to look at them with his glasses or I can put 7 it up on my laptop and he can look at them on the laptop 8 right in front of me.</p> <p>9 MR. FREEMAN: Okay.</p> <p>10 MR. PERRIN: I think that would be the 11 simplest way to go.</p> <p>12 MR. FREEMAN: Okay.</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: All right.</p> <p>14 MR. FREEMAN: Fair enough. We'll see how 15 that works on the first one, but whatever we need to do 16 is fine.</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Okay.</p> <p>18 MR. FREEMAN: One other procedural 19 matter. I would like to invoke the rule with respect to 20 any defendant parties on this deposition. Does anyone 21 have a position on that?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: I think any party to the 23 case is entitled to be in any proceeding involving this 24 case, and Mr. Ludlow is a named defendant and also a 25 representative of the entities and he's entitled to be</p>	<p>1 before?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. Have you ever been involved in a lawsuit 4 before?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. What lawsuit was that?</p> <p>7 A. This was a small-claims lawsuit.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. What did that involve?</p> <p>9 A. Customer payment that was never received.</p> <p>10 Q. And who were the parties to that suit?</p> <p>11 A. I was, and I don't recall the defendant's 12 name.</p> <p>13 Q. Did it involve a particular business?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. This was a painting business I owned in 15 2006.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Do you understand that you're here 17 under oath today?</p> <p>18 A. I do.</p> <p>19 Q. And understand that it's a crime to lie under 20 oath?</p> <p>21 A. I do.</p> <p>22 Q. Just lay out a few ground rules, Mr. Denegre. 23 Whenever I ask a question, if you'll try to let me 24 complete it before you give an answer, that will make it 25 easier on the court reporter. If you -- when you do</p>
<p>1 here and is not covered by invoking the rule.</p> <p>2 MR. FREEMAN: Is it just Mr. Ludlow on 3 the -- on the other one, I guess, that says "Anthony" or 4 are there any other witnesses?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Tony, anybody else there 6 with you?</p> <p>7 MR. LUDLOW: Just me by myself in my 8 cabin.</p> <p>9 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. I think we're good.</p> <p>10 EXAMINATION</p> <p>11 BY MR. FREEMAN:</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, could you please state 13 your full name for the record?</p> <p>14 A. Matthew Denegre.</p> <p>15 Q. Mr. Denegre, my name is Jason Freeman. I 16 represent D&T Partners, LLC, which is the successor in 17 interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC. Do you 18 understand that you're here today in connection with a 19 lawsuit between D&T Partners, LLC and several parties, 20 including ACET Global, LLC, Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, 21 Baymark ACET Direct Invest, LLC, Baymark Management, 22 LLC, Baymark Partners, David Hook, Tony Ludlow and 23 Windspeed Trading, LLC?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Mr. Denegre, have you ever given a deposition</p>	<p>1 give an answer, if you will try to give a verbal 2 response rather than a nod, that will also help us in 3 memorializing the record.</p> <p>4 If I ask a question that you don't 5 understand, if you will, please let me know you don't 6 understand it. And with that groundwork, just say, can 7 we agree that it's fair to say if you don't tell me that 8 you don't understand the question, we can assume that 9 you understand it?</p> <p>10 A. Agreed.</p> <p>11 Q. If you need to take a break at any time, 12 please just let me know; water, restroom, whatever you 13 need is fine. And we can take them whenever you need 14 to. The only think I will ask is if I have got a 15 particular question on the table that you answer that 16 before and then we can take a break whenever you need.</p> <p>17 Mr. Denegre, are you familiar with ACET 18 Global, LLC?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And are you familiar with Windspeed Trading, 21 LLC?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And are you familiar with Super G Capital, 24 LLC?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 Q. How are you familiar with ACET Global? 2 A. I provided oversight with Baymark Partners as 3 the investor in the company. 4 Q. Okay. And how are you familiar with Windspeed 5 Trading? 6 A. I worked on a transaction that involved 7 Windspeed Trading. 8 THE REPORTER: Matt, can you please speak 9 up a little bit for me, dear? 10 THE WITNESS: I have a soft voice. 11 THE REPORTER: Yes, you do. 12 MR. PERRIN: Does that help, Karen? 13 THE REPORTER: I think that will help. 14 THE WITNESS: Do I need to repeat the 15 answer? 16 THE REPORTER: If you could, just the 17 last part of it because you trailed off. 18 A. I worked on a transaction that involved 19 Windspeed Trading. 20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And how are you familiar 21 with Super G Capital? 22 A. Super G Capital was a lender to ACET Global. 23 Q. Mr. Denegre, did you have an account under 24 your name that was used for ACET Global's business? 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p>	<p>1 expense for ACET Holdco? 2 A. I can't answer that question. 3 Q. Did you ever report a management fee expense 4 for ACET Holdco of \$69,000? 5 A. I don't recall. 6 Q. Did ACET Holdco ever actually provide any 7 management services to you? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 9 A. Did ACET Holdco provide its management 10 services to me, personally? 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir. 12 A. Not that I'm aware of. 13 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss having Windspeed 14 maintain two sets of books? 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 16 A. I don't recall. 17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever direct that 18 Windspeed maintain two sets of books? 19 A. I don't recall. 20 Q. Did a foreclosure on ACET Global's assets take 21 place in March of 2019? 22 A. I believe so. 23 Q. And why do you believe so? 24 A. I've seen a foreclosure document that was 25 dated March 2019.</p>
<p>1 A. What do you mean by "account"? 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Any account. 3 MR. PERRIN: Same objection. 4 A. I don't understand the question. 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And what is it that you 6 don't understand about it? 7 A. I'm not sure what "account" means. 8 Q. Okay. Anything that you refer to as an 9 account. Did you have an account under your name that 10 was used for ACET Global's business? 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 12 A. Under my name? 13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir. 14 A. Again, I'm not sure what "account" would mean. 15 I don't have a bank account, if that's what you're 16 asking. 17 Q. Okay. Any other kind of account? 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Just yes or no. 20 A. No. 21 Q. No? Did you report a management fee expense 22 for ACET Holdco on your 2019 tax return? 23 A. Could you show me the tax return? 24 Q. We can in a little bit; we'll get to it. But 25 can you tell me if you ever reported a management fee</p>	<p>1 Q. And why did that take place? 2 A. Super G was the lender, foreclosed on the 3 business that was in default. 4 Q. And what business was that? 5 A. ACET Global, LLC. 6 Q. And were you involved in that process? 7 A. I was involved providing documentation for the 8 process and request items. 9 Q. And what do you mean by that? 10 A. Super G requested items to put together for 11 their foreclosure. 12 Q. And what items did they request? 13 A. There was an inventory list, A/R list, 14 accounts payable list. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. That's it. 17 Q. Anything else? 18 A. There were other assets that were included. I 19 don't recall what those were. 20 Q. Okay. Were you involved in any other way? 21 A. Not that I'm aware of. 22 Q. And why were you involved? 23 A. Well, ACET Global, LLC, was an investment from 24 Baymark. As I mentioned earlier, we were providing 25 oversight for the company, or at least I was.</p>

Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 Q. And it was an investment for who?</p> <p>2 A. Baymark.</p> <p>3 Q. And what do you mean by "Baymark"?</p> <p>4 A. Baymark Partners. That's how I understand the</p> <p>5 companies as an investor.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Who is Baymark Partners?</p> <p>7 A. Well, I'm actually not quite sure. I think of</p> <p>8 Baymark Partners as a firm run by Tony Ludlow and David</p> <p>9 Hook.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. But it was Baymark Partners' investment</p> <p>11 in ACET Global is what you understood?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you ever request that Super G Capital</p> <p>14 foreclose on ACET Global's assets?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you ever request to have a conversation</p> <p>17 about moving forward with Super G Capital foreclosing on</p> <p>18 ACET Global's assets?</p> <p>19 A. There was an email that I wanted to get an</p> <p>20 update on the foreclosure process that was occurring.</p> <p>21 Q. And why was that?</p> <p>22 A. Well, at the time, we were in default, and the</p> <p>23 company was pretty much dead by that point, and we were</p> <p>24 incurring more costs to keep it running. There needed</p> <p>25 to be closure on the business because we were getting</p>	<p>1 calendar item, do you believe that was the case, or do</p> <p>2 you know that to have been the case?</p> <p>3 A. I'm not for certain.</p> <p>4 Q. And how long did you say you have done this</p> <p>5 for a living?</p> <p>6 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>7 Q. Let's start back. What do you do for a</p> <p>8 living?</p> <p>9 A. I work with Baymark Partners.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And how long have you done that?</p> <p>11 A. Six years.</p> <p>12 Q. Who do you report to?</p> <p>13 A. Tony Ludlow, David Hook.</p> <p>14 Q. And in what capacity do they work in?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>16 A. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, what is their role</p> <p>18 within the business?</p> <p>19 A. They're owners of the company.</p> <p>20 Q. Owners of the company?</p> <p>21 A. (Moving head up and down.)</p> <p>22 Q. Do they have any other titles or roles?</p> <p>23 A. Managing directors.</p> <p>24 Q. Both of them are managing directors?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 collection calls constantly. It was costing money to</p> <p>2 keep the business up and running, and investors with</p> <p>3 Baymark had already put in a significant amount of</p> <p>4 capital to keep it alive, and we were done at that</p> <p>5 point.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. How was a foreclosure going to solve</p> <p>7 those issues?</p> <p>8 A. Well, we were in default, so as far as we were</p> <p>9 concerned, we were done with the business. It was Super</p> <p>10 G's business. We wanted to know what they wanted to do</p> <p>11 with it.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Did you have a weekly call on your</p> <p>13 calendar for the subject of Windspeed at any time?</p> <p>14 A. I may have.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you have a weekly call on your calendar</p> <p>16 for the subject of Windspeed in 2017?</p> <p>17 A. What do you mean by "weekly call"?</p> <p>18 Q. A recurring weekly calendar.</p> <p>19 A. I may have.</p> <p>20 Q. Mr. Denegre, what do you do for a living?</p> <p>21 A. I work with Baymark Partners.</p> <p>22 Q. How long have you done that?</p> <p>23 A. Six years.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And just to go back to a question you</p> <p>25 answered a while ago regarding the Windspeed weekly</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And what's your title or role?</p> <p>2 A. Director.</p> <p>3 Q. Are there any other managing directors?</p> <p>4 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>5 Q. How do you determine whether to invest in a</p> <p>6 company?</p> <p>7 A. Well, we look at -- typically we start with a</p> <p>8 confidential investment memorandum that's sent to us,</p> <p>9 and we review the prospects of the business.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. What's contained in that?</p> <p>11 A. It will be financial statements, marketing,</p> <p>12 operations of the business, industry outlook.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. What do you do with that information?</p> <p>14 A. We discuss it as a firm.</p> <p>15 Q. And who's involved in that?</p> <p>16 A. It's typically the whole group involved.</p> <p>17 Q. Who is the whole group?</p> <p>18 A. It's myself, David Hook, Tony Ludlow and the</p> <p>19 other coworkers.</p> <p>20 Q. Who are the other coworkers?</p> <p>21 A. Connor Calwert, Andy Lautman, Ben Mimmick.</p> <p>22 Q. Ben Mimmick?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Could you say those again? Connor?</p> <p>25 A. Calwert.</p>

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 THE REPORTER: How do you spell that, 2 please? 3 THE WITNESS: I really don't know. 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And Andy? 5 A. Lautman. 6 Q. You're a little bit difficult to hear. If you 7 can, try and project. 8 So how -- what do y'all discuss in terms 9 of whether to invest in a company or go forward with a 10 deal? 11 A. Typically, it starts with a price and if the 12 valuation makes sense. Sometimes that's provided by the 13 broker; other times it's not. So we determine if we 14 want to make an offer. 15 Q. Okay. Well, how do you determine how much 16 you're willing to make an offer for or invest? 17 A. It's typically based off of a multiple of cash 18 flow. And that multiple will depend on the type of 19 business. 20 Q. Okay. Are there other -- are there other 21 valuation models you generally look to, or is that it? 22 A. That's typically what we focus on is EBITDA, 23 which is earning before interest, taxes, depreciation, 24 amortization. 25 Q. Okay. And EBITDA is that -- for you is that</p>	<p>1 other methodology? 2 A. It's multiple of EBITDA. 3 Q. Okay. Where do you get those multiples from? 4 A. Just from experience and discussing it as a 5 group. There's no spreadsheet or database that has this 6 stuff. 7 Q. Okay. Are those fairly standardized figures, 8 or are they super specific to the deal? 9 A. They're specific to the deals. 10 Q. When you invest in a company, do you take a 11 hands-on role? 12 A. What does that mean? 13 Q. Once you make a decision or Baymark Partners 14 makes the decision to invest in a company, how involved 15 are you with the company's operations? 16 A. If the company is performing well, it's 17 typically a weekly call. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. If the company is not performing well, there's 20 a bit more involvement. 21 Q. And what does "a bit more involvement" mean? 22 A. Either follow-up calls, homework assignments 23 for the management team. That sort of stuff. 24 Q. Okay. Do you come out to the physical 25 facilities?</p>
<p>the measure of cash flow or proxy? A. Adjusted EBITDA is our measure of cash flow, correct. Q. And so is your valuation model based upon an adjusted EBITDA? A. Typically. Q. What are the adjustments that you make to the EBITDA? A. We don't make any adjustments. It's the sellers presenting their adjusted EBITDA. Q. Okay. What kind of adjustments does a seller make to the EBITDA figure? A. Typically it's an adjustment for -- if there's any nonrecurring abnormal expenses. We can add it back. Q. Okay. A. If there's -- normalization of salary would get added back or adjusted. Q. Okay. A. I was going to say, abnormal revenues would get removed. So it goes either way. Q. Okay. That's an effort to effectively normalize the data? A. Correct. Q. Do you -- is EBITDA generally the figure that you use to value, or do you apply a multiple or some</p>	<p>A. Sometimes. Q. Is that typical? A. For what? Q. For your typical company that Baymark Partners invests in, do you typically go out to the physical facilities on a regular basis? A. Not on a regular basis. Q. How often? A. Typically -- well, COVID going on, I can't answer that question. It's very rare right now. Q. Historically, let's say 2017. A. Depends if we have a quarterly board meeting at the company, so that would be two to four times a year. Q. Okay. For a typical company? A. Yep. Q. Do you give management of the company directions or orders? A. What do you mean by that? Q. Do you give them instructions? A. To do what? Q. Anything. A. So that's pretty broad. There would be instructions; for example to put together a KPI report. (Reporter clarification.)</p>

Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 THE REPORTER: Matt, you trail off. I 2 really need you to speak up. 3 MR. PERRIN: Let's see. I've got the 4 volume up as loud as I can get it. We're getting 5 blasted away in here. 6 THE REPORTER: Okay. All right. Well, 7 then, if I need him to repeat, I'll just ask him to 8 repeat. 9 MR. PERRIN: Why don't we call in and use 10 this. 11 THE REPORTER: Let's try that. Let's go 12 off the record real quick. 13 (Break taken from 10:36 a.m. to 14 10:40 a.m.) 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I was asking 16 what instructions that you give to management of 17 companies that Baymark Partners invests in. Could you 18 give me some more examples? 19 A. Sure. Usually there's a weekly key 20 performance indicator spreadsheet that the manager has 21 to prepare every week prior to our weekly calls. 22 There's also a budget that management will prepare, and 23 then there's ad hoc projects after that, whether it's 24 recruiting exercises, if we need to look at marketing. 25 But those are the really main two, budgets and weekly</p>	<p>1 data for a city that we want to potentially begin 2 marketing in. So we would look at industry, what 3 competitors are there. 4 Q. Okay. What are other examples? 5 A. None are coming to mind right now. I'm sure 6 there's more. 7 Q. Nothing else? So all you can think of, as we 8 sit here right now, that you generally do with these 9 companies is request a weekly key performance indicator 10 report, request periodic budgets and ad hoc projects, 11 the only one you can think of being market questions? 12 A. Again, this is typical for most portfolio 13 companies, yes. 14 Q. Okay. Do you ever give the companies' 15 management directions? 16 A. Directions to do what? 17 Q. Anything. 18 A. Well, asking for a key performance -- a 19 spreadsheet for your KPI would be a direction, so yes. 20 Q. Okay. Anything else? 21 A. I don't recall. 22 Q. Okay. Do you ever make personnel decisions? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. Do you direct management with respect 25 to those personnel decisions?</p>
<p>1 performance indicators. 2 Q. Okay. What is the budget used for? 3 A. Cash. 4 Q. And what do you mean by that? 5 A. Cash management. 6 Q. And who manages the cash? 7 A. The company. 8 Q. What company? 9 A. The company we have invested in. 10 Q. Okay. Why is that provided to Baymark 11 Partners? 12 A. Because we're the investor. 13 Q. Okay. What about the weekly KPI report? What 14 is that used for? 15 A. To monitor the performance of the company. 16 Q. Okay. And why is that? 17 A. Why is what? 18 Q. Why is it that you're monitoring the 19 performance of the company? 20 A. Because we're an investor -- 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. -- in the company. 23 Q. Then what about the ad hoc projects? What 24 examples are there of ad hoc projects? 25 A. One example could be, let's look at market</p>	<p>1 A. It depends on the role, the personnel 2 decision. If it's a C-level type of employee, we would 3 want to be involved in that decision. 4 Q. Who do you mean by "we"?</p> <p>5 A. Baymark, the investor.</p> <p>6 Q. Is that Baymark Partners and Tony Ludlow and 7 Mr. Hook?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>9 A. It depends. Each company has different 10 oversight. So it's usually a director with one managing 11 director.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So that's either 13 Mr. Hook or Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Do you make any day-to-day business 16 decisions?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. No day-to-day business decisions about the 19 companies that you invest in, that Baymark Partners 20 invests in?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. With respect to -- are the personnel decisions 23 the only -- the only operating decisions that you -- 24 Baymark Partners and you are involved in?</p> <p>25 A. Can you repeat the question?</p>

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 Q. Yeah. Are personnel decisions the only 2 operating decisions that you and Baymark Partners are 3 involved in with respect to these companies? 4 A. There's probably other examples. 5 Unfortunately, none come to mind right now. 6 Q. Okay. What gives you or Baymark Partners the 7 authority to make these decisions? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 9 A. To request a key performance indicator or a 10 budget, as the majority investor, we would expect those 11 items to be delivered. 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Sure. And what about with 13 respect to personnel decisions? 14 A. I'm not sure what gives us the authority. 15 Q. Do you have authority to direct the day-to-day 16 operations? 17 A. I'm not sure. 18 Q. Does Baymark Partners have authority to direct 19 the day-to-day operations? 20 A. I'm not sure. 21 Q. With respect to ACET Global, LLC, what was 22 your role at ACET Global? 23 A. Provided oversight. 24 Q. In what capacity did you do that? 25 A. What do you mean by that?</p>	<p>1 ACET Global? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 3 A. I'm not sure the definition of "agent," so 4 because of that, the answer is no. 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. With respect to 6 Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, between 2017 and 2019, what 7 was your role at Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC? 8 A. I didn't have a role. 9 Q. With respect to Baymark ACET Direct Invest, 10 LLC, between 2017 and 2019, what was your role at 11 Baymark ACET Direct Invest, LLC? 12 A. I had a membership interest. 13 Q. And what was that membership interest? 14 A. I think it was \$10,000. I'm not sure of the 15 percentage. 16 Q. You contributed \$10,000? 17 A. I did. 18 Q. Okay. But you're not sure of the percentage 19 that gave you? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Okay. Was it a significant percentage or 22 relatively small? 23 A. Relatively small. 24 Q. Okay. With respect to Baymark Management, 25 LLC, between 2017 and 2019, what was your role at</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p> <p>1 Q. In what capacity were you working in when you 2 provided oversight? 3 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 4 A. I worked for Baymark Partners. 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So when you were -- when you 6 were functioning with respect to ACET Global, were you 7 working for Baymark Partners? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 9 A. I think so. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you believe you 11 were working for anyone else? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Were you a member of ACET Global, LLC? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Were you a manager of ACET Global, LLC? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Were you an officer? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Were you an employee? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Were you a contractor? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Were you an agent? 24 A. What's an agent? 25 Q. Did you believe yourself to be an agent of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p> <p>1 Baymark Management, LLC? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 3 A. Well, I'm not sure if that's my employer or 4 not. So if I work for Baymark Management Partners, LLC, 5 then I would be a director there. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. I'll ask it this way. 7 Baymark Partners, between 2017 and 2019, what was your 8 role at Baymark Partners? 9 A. Director. 10 Q. How did Baymark Partners determine to purchase 11 ACET Venture Partners' assets? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 13 A. Well, it would have been a discussion amongst 14 the group. 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. 16 A. And an LOI, letter of intent. 17 Q. Okay. And who was that group? 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form. 19 A. At the time, I'm not sure who was involved in 20 that. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Were you involved in that? 22 A. I would have been. 23 Q. Okay. Was Mr. Ludlow? 24 A. I'm not sure. 25 Q. Was Mr. Hook?</p>

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Why was it structured as an asset purchase?</p> <p>3 A. We typically do asset purchases for most</p> <p>4 acquisitions.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Why not a stock purchase?</p> <p>6 A. Primarily because there's -- all the liability</p> <p>7 that the company has carries over into the new</p> <p>8 ownership.</p> <p>9 Q. So that's the primary reason?</p> <p>10 A. That's the primary reason.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Are there any other reasons?</p> <p>12 A. The tax treatment is better for an asset</p> <p>13 purchase.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Any other reasons?</p> <p>15 A. A stock purchase -- well, in favor of a stock</p> <p>16 purchase, it's easier, I believe, to transfer contracts</p> <p>17 than having to get assignment and consent. But that's</p> <p>18 not a reason to do an asset purchase.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. With respect to the assets of ACET</p> <p>20 Venture Partners, did Baymark Partners -- let's call it</p> <p>21 facilitate or orchestrate the purchase of the assets of</p> <p>22 at ACET Venture Partners?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 A. We submitted a letter of intent, and ACET</p> <p>25 Venture Partners accepted it.</p>	<p>1 Baymark Partners would be evaluating?</p> <p>2 A. Well, we look at cash flow, not the physical</p> <p>3 assets of the business. We're more concerned about the</p> <p>4 cash flow of the company.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Does that cash flow have any</p> <p>6 relationship to the value of those assets?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>8 A. If the assets being delivered -- yes, it does</p> <p>9 because those assets generate the cash flow of the</p> <p>10 business. So we need to have good inventory that turns</p> <p>11 over, turns into sales.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. With respect to this</p> <p>13 particular industry that ACET Venture Partners was</p> <p>14 engaged in and ACET Global was engaged in, is there a</p> <p>15 common valuation model for this industry?</p> <p>16 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. How did Baymark Partners value ACET</p> <p>18 Venture Partners' assets?</p> <p>19 A. It was a multiple of adjusted EBITDA.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Do you recall what the EBITDA was?</p> <p>21 A. I don't.</p> <p>22 Q. If I were to say EBITDA times four, would that</p> <p>23 sound correct?</p> <p>24 A. I'm not sure because the business -- the</p> <p>25 EBITDA fluctuated at closing.</p>
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So there was an asset</p> <p>2 purchase of the assets of ACET Venture Partners?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And were those assets purchased by ACET</p> <p>5 Global, LLC?</p> <p>6 A. I'm not sure if it was ACET Global, LLC -- I</p> <p>7 think so. I think -- yes, I think that's the correct</p> <p>8 answer.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. What were the components that were</p> <p>10 being purchased by ACET Global?</p> <p>11 A. It would have been inventory, accounts</p> <p>12 receivable, accounts payable, any working capital that</p> <p>13 goes along with that. And any intellectual property,</p> <p>14 any equipment, fixed assets, that sort of stuff.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Is there anything else? Is that --</p> <p>16 A. I think that encompasses most of it.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. That is for Baymark Partners -- I guess</p> <p>18 that is -- those are the primary assets that you would</p> <p>19 be evaluating for the deal?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>21 A. Those are the physical assets. Then there's</p> <p>22 obviously intangible assets that include customer lists,</p> <p>23 customer relationships, brand, that sort of stuff.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And so all of that</p> <p>25 together, is that what you would be evaluating or</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Did you look at any other metrics?</p> <p>2 A. Not for valuing the company.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. For other purposes?</p> <p>4 A. No, not that I can recall.</p> <p>5 Q. What about inventory turnover?</p> <p>6 A. We didn't look at it.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Do you know what ACET Global's</p> <p>8 inventory turnover was?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>10 A. I don't.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you believe the purchase</p> <p>12 of ACET Venture Partners' assets was a good deal?</p> <p>13 A. Considering that we lost our investment and</p> <p>14 additional capital in the company, I don't think it was</p> <p>15 a good deal.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. In 2017, did you believe the purchase</p> <p>17 of the assets was a good deal?</p> <p>18 A. We did.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you?</p> <p>20 A. Did I, personally?</p> <p>21 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 A. I did.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you believe that the assets of ACET</p> <p>24 Global, that the purchase of those assets was good</p> <p>25 enough to invest in it personally?</p>

Page 34	Page 36
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>2 A. Based on the information that was provided to</p> <p>3 us and the information that we reviewed that was sent</p> <p>4 from the seller, we felt it was a good investment.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you invest in it</p> <p>6 personally?</p> <p>7 A. I have a \$10,000 investment in Direct Invest,</p> <p>8 so I did.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. What was the purpose of ACET Global,</p> <p>10 LLC?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>12 A. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) What was the purpose of its</p> <p>14 formation?</p> <p>15 A. To acquire the assets of ACET Venture</p> <p>16 Partners.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And what was its purpose of its</p> <p>18 continuation thereafter?</p> <p>19 A. Well, it was in the business of selling</p> <p>20 products. So that's what it was doing.</p> <p>21 Q. Its purpose was to sell products?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, just like any other business. It was</p> <p>23 trying to make a profit.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Who formed ACET Global, LLC?</p> <p>25 A. I'm not sure who personally formed ACET</p>	<p>1 A. He was paid a 1099.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. But he was the CEO --</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. -- of ACET Global?</p> <p>5 A. Of ACET Global, LLC.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. If I refer to him as "Bill" or</p> <p>7 "Mr. Szeto," will you know who I'm referring to?</p> <p>8 A. Mr. Szeto, I will. If you say "Bill," I might</p> <p>9 not.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. What was David Hook's position or role</p> <p>11 at ACET Global, LLC?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>13 Q. Did he have a role or position at ACET Global?</p> <p>14 A. I'm not sure he did.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. What about Mr. Ludlow? Did he have a</p> <p>16 role or position at ACET Global?</p> <p>17 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>18 Q. In 2017, how frequently were you at ACET</p> <p>19 Global's offices?</p> <p>20 A. It would have been less than five times.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. What about in 2018? How frequently</p> <p>22 were you at ACET Global's offices?</p> <p>23 A. Probably the same amount.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Why were you there?</p> <p>25 A. Initially, it was -- well, this would have</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p> <p>1 Global, LLC.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Was Baymark Partners involved in that</p> <p>3 process?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Is Anthony Ludlow the president of ACET</p> <p>6 Global?</p> <p>7 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know if he -- if Anthony Ludlow has</p> <p>9 ever been the president of ACET Global?</p> <p>10 A. He may have. I'm not sure.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Is Baymark ACET Holdco the manager of</p> <p>12 ACET Global?</p> <p>13 A. I believe so.</p> <p>14 Q. Is Baymark ACET Holdco the sole manager of</p> <p>15 ACET Global?</p> <p>16 A. I'm sorry. Say that one more time.</p> <p>17 Q. Is Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, the sole manager</p> <p>18 of ACET Global?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. ACET Global, LLC, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. What was William Szeto's position at ACET</p> <p>21 Global?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 A. He was a contractor and CEO.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And what do you mean</p> <p>25 by contractor?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p> <p>1 been prior to ACET Global, LLC, so I can't answer that</p> <p>2 part of the question. This was a visit to ACET Venture</p> <p>3 Partners, LLC, or whatever they were, just to visit the</p> <p>4 company, see the operations. Afterwards -- afterwards,</p> <p>5 it would have been a visit with the bank, Super G.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. We wanted to come by, see the operations.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. I know they came by to visit when the company</p> <p>10 was having troubles.</p> <p>11 Q. And when was that?</p> <p>12 A. Late 2017.</p> <p>13 Q. That Super G came to visit?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Did they come any other time?</p> <p>16 A. They may have. I can't recall if Super G had</p> <p>17 visited prior to ACET Global being formed or how many</p> <p>18 times they visited after that, but it would have only</p> <p>19 been a few times.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 MR. FREEMAN: Take just a second here.</p> <p>22 Karen, I realize I haven't pressed record on this, and I</p> <p>23 do want to record.</p> <p>24 THE REPORTER: Okay.</p> <p>25 MR. FREEMAN: Can I do that from here?</p>

Page 38	Page 40
<p>1 THE REPORTER: You can. I already made 2 you the host.</p> <p>3 MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. I'll send y'all 4 a copy.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, were you 6 familiar with ACET Global's inventory?</p> <p>7 A. I was familiar with some of the products in 8 the inventory.</p> <p>9 Q. And how did you get that familiarity?</p> <p>10 A. There would have been an inventory list sent 11 to me, as well as walking through the warehouse.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Was that list part of the periodic 13 documents you would receive?</p> <p>14 A. It wasn't a scheduled document. It would just 15 be a request item every now and then.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Did you have signature authority over 17 ACET Global's bank account?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. Did Mr. Hook?</p> <p>20 A. He did at one point.</p> <p>21 Q. When was that?</p> <p>22 A. At the beginning of the transaction at the 23 closing in July of 2017.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Was he taken off after that?</p> <p>25 A. I can't recall if he was or not.</p>	<p>1 A. I think he would have been.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Why do you think 3 that?</p> <p>4 A. Because David Hook was involved in the initial 5 transaction.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Was he the more hands-on directing 7 manager?</p> <p>8 A. You cut out. Can you repeat it?</p> <p>9 Q. Was he the more hands-on directing manager on 10 this particular company?</p> <p>11 A. I'm not sure I would define him as "hands-on." 12 He was responsible for the company initially.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. What do you mean by "responsible"?</p> <p>14 A. Well, he would provide oversight. Each 15 portfolio company has a managing director assigned to 16 it. It's a resource. He would be on the weekly calls 17 with Tomer. You know, we can't all manage all the 18 portfolio companies. We get split off in the firm, and 19 we work to -- a partner, a managing director and a 20 director work on portfolios together, so...</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And do you know if Anthony Ludlow was 22 ever the president of ACET Holdco, LLC?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. With respect to ACET Global's inventory, how 25 much inventory did ACET Global keep on hand?</p>
Page 39	Page 41
<p>1 Q. Okay. What about Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>2 A. What about him?</p> <p>3 Q. Did he ever have signatory authority over ACET 4 Global's bank account?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Did Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, have signatory 7 authority over ACET Global's bank account?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>9 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) With respect to Baymark ACET 11 Holdco, LLC, is Anthony Ludlow the president of ACET 12 Holdco, LLC?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know if Mr. Hook is or has ever been 15 the president of ACET Holdco, LLC?</p> <p>16 A. I would be speculating if I answered that, so 17 I'm not sure.</p> <p>18 Q. You can speculate here.</p> <p>19 A. Okay.</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection to counsel's 21 instruction.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If you were speculating, do 23 you believe Mr. Hook was ever the president of ACET 24 Holdco, LLC?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p>	<p>1 A. I would have to see the balance sheets.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Do you know what that inventory 3 turnover rate was?</p> <p>4 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>5 A. I don't.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did ACET Global ever 7 owe a note, a promissory note to D&T Partners or Tomer 8 Damti?</p> <p>9 A. I'm not sure if it was ACET Global, LLC, or 10 ACET Holdco that owed that note.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Did one of them owe that note?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know who it was owed 15 to?</p> <p>16 A. I would have to see the note agreement.</p> <p>17 Q. Was that a -- but you understand it was owed 18 to either Tomer Damti or D&T Partners, LLC?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>20 A. I know there was another firm, ACET Venture 21 Partners, that may have been owed that note. I would 22 have to see the note.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Was that a 24 \$3.2 million note?</p> <p>25 A. I would have to see the note.</p>

Page 42	Page 44
<p>1 Q. Okay. How did that note come about?</p> <p>2 A. It would have been part of the Letter of</p> <p>3 Intent as consideration paid to the seller.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And how did Baymark Partners arrive at</p> <p>5 that figure of that note?</p> <p>6 A. There's a cash -- well, the business is valued</p> <p>7 off a multiple of EBITDA, and there's a portion of cash</p> <p>8 that's paid to the seller, a seller note and a retained</p> <p>9 stake. I don't recall how we came up with that specific</p> <p>10 figure, though.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. But did you -- did Baymark Partners</p> <p>12 come to the determination that the value of those assets</p> <p>13 of ACET Venture Partners was equal to the promissory</p> <p>14 note plus the amount that was paid up front?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>16 A. Again, when you say "assets," what are you</p> <p>17 referring to?</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) The assets that were</p> <p>19 purchased in the asset purchase agreement.</p> <p>20 A. Yes. I think the valuation we put on the</p> <p>21 company as a whole would entail all those numbers.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. When Baymark Partners/ACET Global</p> <p>23 entered into this transaction, did you have any</p> <p>24 discussion with anyone about the possibility that the</p> <p>25 note to D&T Partners might not be paid?</p>	<p>1 at some point to talk about it.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. And I'm sure I talked to Tomer about the</p> <p>4 seller note at one point, as well.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with anyone</p> <p>6 else?</p> <p>7 A. I may have probably talked about it internally</p> <p>8 with the Baymark group.</p> <p>9 Q. Would that be Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know if it would be Mr. Ludlow. It</p> <p>11 may have been Mr. Hook.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. When were those discussions, to your</p> <p>13 best recollection?</p> <p>14 A. About the seller note?</p> <p>15 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 A. Are there specifics about the seller note?</p> <p>17 Q. About the payment of that note.</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>19 A. Well, it would have been prior to the closing</p> <p>20 in July 2017.</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever have</p> <p>22 discussions with anyone after that?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 A. Regarding what?</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) The repayment of the note to</p>
Page 43	Page 45
<p>1 A. I don't recall having that conversation.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. How did -- how did the parties intend</p> <p>3 to pay that note?</p> <p>4 A. Out of the cash flow of the business.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with anyone</p> <p>6 about that?</p> <p>7 A. I'm sure there were discussions. I'm not sure</p> <p>8 who they were with.</p> <p>9 Q. Would you have been involved in those</p> <p>10 discussions?</p> <p>11 A. I would have.</p> <p>12 Q. And who do you believe they would have been</p> <p>13 with?</p> <p>14 A. Well, most likely it would have been with the</p> <p>15 senior lender.</p> <p>16 Q. Is that Super G?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. So are you telling me that most of your</p> <p>19 discussions about whether the note to D&T Partners would</p> <p>20 be paid were with Super G Partners?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>22 A. It would have been a discussion with Super G</p> <p>23 as they were lending on the cash flow of the business.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>25 A. I'm sure I had a discussion with our attorney</p>	<p>1 D&T.</p> <p>2 A. We would have had a discussion internally at</p> <p>3 Baymark.</p> <p>4 Q. And would that involve Mr. Hook?</p> <p>5 A. I'm not sure it would have involved Mr. Hook</p> <p>6 or not. It may have involved Mr. Hook.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Who else would it have involved?</p> <p>8 A. Possibly Mr. Ludlow.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Anyone else?</p> <p>10 A. Not that I can recall.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. What would those conversations have</p> <p>12 involved?</p> <p>13 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>14 Q. So you don't remember having those</p> <p>15 discussions?</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>17 A. At some point, we were all aware that -- we</p> <p>18 were aware, being Baymark -- that ACET Global would have</p> <p>19 to make those payments at some point.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you don't recall</p> <p>21 discussing that with anyone after 2017?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 A. I wish I could provide you specifics, but I</p> <p>24 don't recall.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever create a</p>

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 plan to repay that note?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever discuss setting aside funds to pay that note?</p> <p>5 A. After the closing, Baymark invested another \$100,000 to support the business.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. Those weren't specifically earmarked for the seller note or for Super G, but it was for the business to try to grow. And as I mentioned, the business was going to pay the seller note at some point. That was the plan.</p> <p>8 MR. FREEMAN: Objection, nonresponsive.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever set aside funds to pay the seller note?</p> <p>10 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>11 A. Did I personally set aside funds?</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, did you, sure?</p> <p>13 A. I did -- no, I did not.</p> <p>14 Q. Did Baymark Partners?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did ACET Global?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p>	<p>1 on the balance sheet.</p> <p>2 Q. And who did he receive those monthly financials from?</p> <p>3 A. The accountant in the company.</p> <p>4 Q. Was that Jane Lin?</p> <p>5 A. It would have been Jane Lin or the former accountant.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And you believe those financials reflected the seller note?</p> <p>7 A. I believe so.</p> <p>8 Q. And when we say seller note, we're both referring to the note that was owed to D&T Partners or Tomer Damti as a result of the Asset Purchase Agreement?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. When did you first come to believe that the note, the seller note, would not be paid?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>12 A. I think by the time summer 2018, it was clear the business was not in a position to make not only Super G payments, which were in front of the seller note, but the seller note in general would not be paid on time.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why did you come to believe that?</p> <p>14 A. The company was on life support by that point.</p>
<p>1 Q. Did anyone that you're aware of?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. Again, no.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was Mr. Szeto aware of the note?</p> <p>5 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you ever discuss the seller note with Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>7 A. I don't think we did.</p> <p>8 Q. How do you explain that if Mr. Szeto is the CEO of ACET Global?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>10 A. Bill Szeto received financial statements every month, so he would have seen them. It would have been in the financials.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was that --</p> <p>12 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Let him finish his answer, please. Go ahead.</p> <p>14 A. I missed what you said, though.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Sorry. I thought you were done talking; one of the pitfalls of Zoom. Didn't mean to interrupt you.</p> <p>16 A. Mr. Szeto would have received monthly financial statements, which would have the seller note</p>	<p>1 Q. What do you mean by "life support"?</p> <p>2 A. We could barely make payroll.</p> <p>3 Q. And why could you barely make payroll?</p> <p>4 A. There wasn't enough cash in the business.</p> <p>5 Q. Then how were you making payroll?</p> <p>6 A. Baymark was putting more money into the deal.</p> <p>7 Q. How much more money did Baymark put in?</p> <p>8 A. I don't have the exact number, but I believe it was over \$100,000.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And why did Baymark put that in?</p> <p>10 A. To keep the business running.</p> <p>11 Q. So you think Baymark Partners invested another \$100,000 into ACET Global?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not sure of the exact amount.</p> <p>13 Q. But Baymark Partners made a substantial additional contribution to ACET Global?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Did Baymark Partners require that anything be done with that money?</p> <p>16 A. Well, it was part of negotiating the Super G payment, so we were able to reduce the loan payments to Super G.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So Baymark Partners was coordinating with Super G in terms of the amount of additional money Baymark Partners put into ACET Global?</p>

Page 50	Page 52
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>2 A. We were speaking to Super G, and Super G was</p> <p>3 aware that the company was struggling.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did Super G request that</p> <p>5 Baymark Partners put additional money into ACET Global?</p> <p>6 A. In order to get amendments on the loan</p> <p>7 agreements, there was a requirement by Super G for</p> <p>8 Baymark to invest more capital.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And did Baymark oblige?</p> <p>10 A. Correct. But in addition to that, Baymark</p> <p>11 continued to invest capital outside of those agreements,</p> <p>12 without Super G's consent.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Can you explain what you mean by that?</p> <p>14 A. There were times where Bill Szeto would let me</p> <p>15 know that there wouldn't be enough cash to make payroll.</p> <p>16 So we would put in more money; Baymark would put more</p> <p>17 money in.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And how did that come about? Mr. Szeto</p> <p>19 made a direct request for the money?</p> <p>20 A. Mr. Szeto let me know that if we don't make an</p> <p>21 investment, we're not able to make payroll, and that was</p> <p>22 important to be able to do that to keep the business</p> <p>23 alive. We needed our employees, and people will quit if</p> <p>24 you don't pay them.</p> <p>25 Q. So when he made that request, Baymark Partners</p>	<p>1 belongings, which he didn't abide by. He went back to</p> <p>2 the company later that night, I believe. And that was</p> <p>3 the termination.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Who instructed you to fire Mr. Damti?</p> <p>5 A. It would have been Mr. Hook or Mr. Ludlow.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Did you discuss that with them?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And what did you discuss with them?</p> <p>9 A. Well, there was a clear history by that point</p> <p>10 of Tomer making bad decisions. The company was</p> <p>11 performing very poorly. I'm happy to elaborate on those</p> <p>12 decisions that Mr. Damti made. And it was clear to us</p> <p>13 that he no longer felt capable of running the company</p> <p>14 and was not able to come up with any more ideas to help</p> <p>15 the business grow, and it was time to make a change.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. When did you first engage in those</p> <p>17 discussions?</p> <p>18 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>19 Q. How close in proximity to the date of</p> <p>20 termination was it?</p> <p>21 A. Well, we had been having ongoing discussions</p> <p>22 about the performance of the company, but the actual</p> <p>23 termination would have been closer to the termination</p> <p>24 date.</p> <p>25 Q. The actual discussions about the termination?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 put additional money into ACET Global?</p> <p>2 A. In addition, Bill was not cashing his paycheck</p> <p>3 to help support the business.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Was he expecting to ever get paid for</p> <p>5 those paychecks?</p> <p>6 A. I would think so.</p> <p>7 Q. How was he expecting to ever be paid?</p> <p>8 A. From the business.</p> <p>9 Q. From the business that ACET Global was</p> <p>10 running?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. With respect to Mr. Damti, was</p> <p>13 Mr. Damti ever employed by ACET Global, LLC?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And was he fired at some point?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Was that in February of 2018?</p> <p>18 A. I believe so.</p> <p>19 Q. Who fired Mr. Damti?</p> <p>20 A. I met Mr. Damti for -- we met at a coffee</p> <p>21 shop, Starbucks. I had a termination letter, which I</p> <p>22 delivered to him. I told him, You're being terminated</p> <p>23 for cause, please hand over your keys, bank card, bank</p> <p>24 token, which he did. I said, You're no longer allowed</p> <p>25 to go to the company. We'll get your personal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. How many of those discussions did you</p> <p>3 have?</p> <p>4 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you ever put anything in writing about it?</p> <p>6 A. There's a termination letter. That's the only</p> <p>7 thing I'm aware of.</p> <p>8 Q. Anything else to memorialize those concerns or</p> <p>9 those discussions prior to the date of termination?</p> <p>10 A. There would have been emails from Tomer with</p> <p>11 issues that he was running into, whether it was</p> <p>12 trademark infringement, buying counterfeit products,</p> <p>13 getting kicked off Amazon, employee turnover. All of</p> <p>14 that would have been documented through emails from</p> <p>15 Tomer.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Was that intended to be your</p> <p>17 documentation?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>19 A. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you intend that to be</p> <p>21 your documentation to justify the decision?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 A. Well -- and in addition to the performance of</p> <p>24 the company, yes, I think that's more than justified.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you recommend that he be</p>

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 fired?</p> <p>2 A. I'm not sure I did.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Who recommended that he be fired?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Who signed the letter terminating him?</p> <p>6 A. Do you have the letter?</p> <p>7 Q. Excuse me?</p> <p>8 A. Do you have a copy of the letter?</p> <p>9 Q. I don't have it in front of me.</p> <p>10 A. It would have been either Mr. Ludlow or 11 Mr. Hook.</p> <p>12 Q. Who gave you the letter?</p> <p>13 A. Mr. Ludlow gave me the letter.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Did you agree with the decision to 15 terminate Mr. Damti?</p> <p>16 A. I did.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And did you hire anyone else after 18 that?</p> <p>19 A. No. Bill Szeto was already working in the 20 company, so there were no additional hires after that 21 point.</p> <p>22 Q. How long had Bill Szeto been working at the 23 company?</p> <p>24 A. If I had to guess, it was probably a month, 25 maybe.</p>	<p>1 concerned about ACET Global's performance?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. What were you concerned about?</p> <p>4 A. The company was not profitable.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And who did you discuss this with?</p> <p>6 A. It would have been discussed with Tomer, Bill 7 Szeto, Mr. Ludlow, Mr. Hook.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Go ahead.</p> <p>9 A. And Super G.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Did you have any discussions with any 11 of the employees of ACET Global?</p> <p>12 A. Regarding what?</p> <p>13 Q. Any concerns about the company's performance?</p> <p>14 A. I may have had conversation with the sales 15 manager about sales performance.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And who is that?</p> <p>17 A. Her name was Monica -- I don't recall her last 18 name.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Is that Plaskin?</p> <p>20 A. I believe so.</p> <p>21 Q. In terms of the concerns that you had about 22 ACET Global's performance, what specifically were you 23 concerned about?</p> <p>24 A. Sales, profitability, cash.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And why?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p> <p>1 Q. And what was his role prior to that time?</p> <p>2 A. Prior to what time?</p> <p>3 Q. Prior to the termination of Mr. Damti.</p> <p>4 A. He was helping out with the business. He was 5 helping out with product selection. He helped with 6 fulfillment, trying to get some systems put in place. I 7 think that's really it.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Did he have a title?</p> <p>9 A. We didn't give him an official title.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Why not?</p> <p>11 A. Just never thought about it.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Did you give anyone else titles?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>14 A. I personally did not give anyone titles.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Baymark Partners 16 give anyone titles?</p> <p>17 A. I don't believe so.</p> <p>18 Q. How did Mr. Szeto get his title as CEO?</p> <p>19 A. I suppose that would have come from Baymark 20 Partners.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. So Baymark Partners at least gave the 22 title of CEO to Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 A. We did.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. In 2018, were you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p> <p>1 A. Well, the company was losing value very 2 quickly, so our investments were getting wiped out.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. What was causing that?</p> <p>4 A. There's a handful of things that caused that. 5 I think initially it was bad inventory that was 6 delivered at closing that did not turn into sales. So 7 our sales right off the bat were very slow. Tomer had 8 made some bad purchases after the closing. Products 9 getting caught up in customs, defective products, not 10 being able to sell on Amazon. We essentially missed our 11 Q4, which is the biggest quarter of the year. By the 12 time Q1 came around in 2018, you know, we were 13 struggling.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So with this bad inventory that was 15 provided at closing, what did you do to address that?</p> <p>16 A. Well, we suggested to mark the prices down to 17 move the inventory quicker to generate cash.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Was that done?</p> <p>19 A. I'm not sure it was done.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you request that it be done?</p> <p>21 A. We suggested that it be done.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. What about with respect to Amazon? Did 23 you do anything to fix that?</p> <p>24 A. Did I? No. I personally did not.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Did Baymark Partners do anything?</p>

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>2 Q. What did you or Baymark Partners do to address</p> <p>3 any of these concerns with the business?</p> <p>4 A. Well, we started asking for a purchasing</p> <p>5 budget to start monitoring cash a little closer.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And what else?</p> <p>7 A. We brought in a marketing firm to help with</p> <p>8 digital marketing.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Who was that?</p> <p>10 A. L-U-X Group.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. What else did you do?</p> <p>12 A. Well, we tried restructuring the Super G note,</p> <p>13 which they agreed to amend their note to keep more cash</p> <p>14 in the business.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And what else did you do?</p> <p>16 A. To help the business?</p> <p>17 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 A. We brought Bill in and terminated Tomer.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. What else did you do?</p> <p>20 A. I think that's about it. There's probably</p> <p>21 more, but you know, I don't know.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. With respect to the digital marketing</p> <p>23 and the Lux Group, had Baymark Partners ever worked with</p> <p>24 them before?</p> <p>25 A. I believe so.</p>	<p>1 A. Bill Szeto would not have been involved in</p> <p>2 those discussions.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Why was Baymark Partners the one</p> <p>4 involved in those discussions with Super G?</p> <p>5 A. Well, Baymark Partners was the majority</p> <p>6 shareholder of the company of ACET Global owned by ACET</p> <p>7 Holdco, LLC. Super G was a lender to that company.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. But why was the CEO of ACET Global not</p> <p>9 involved in those discussions?</p> <p>10 A. He was involved in the discussions when we</p> <p>11 asked Tomer if he would like to contribute capital as</p> <p>12 Baymark was doing. Tomer said no.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. When did Baymark Partners ask Tomer</p> <p>14 Damti to contribute money?</p> <p>15 A. This would have been December 2017.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Why wasn't Mr. Szeto, at any point</p> <p>17 after that, involved in the conversations with Super G</p> <p>18 about restructuring ACET Global's note?</p> <p>19 A. I'm not sure why he would be. He was focused</p> <p>20 on just keeping the business alive.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. What gave Baymark Partners the</p> <p>22 authority to engage in those discussions with Super G?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 A. As a majority shareholder, my understanding</p> <p>25 was that was the appropriate partner to be talking to</p>
Page 59	Page 61
<p>1 Q. Okay. Had you ever worked with them before?</p> <p>2 A. I can't recall if when I worked with Lux Group</p> <p>3 it was before or after this time.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. There was another portfolio company that we</p> <p>6 used Lux Group on, and I can't recall the timeline when</p> <p>7 it was done.</p> <p>8 Q. Was it a recommendation from Baymark Partners</p> <p>9 to use Lux Group?</p> <p>10 A. It would have been.</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Jason, whenever you get to a</p> <p>12 stopping point, I think we could use a break.</p> <p>13 MR. FREEMAN: Yeah. We're almost to a</p> <p>14 good one.</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) With respect to</p> <p>17 restructuring the Super G notes, was Baymark Partners</p> <p>18 involved in that process?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Was William Szeto involved in that process?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>22 A. I want to be specific with the timeline here,</p> <p>23 if we could. So what example are you referring to?</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, I want to say any</p> <p>25 restructuring of the note.</p>	<p>1 Super G as the lender in the company.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So that was your</p> <p>3 understanding for the basis for the authority?</p> <p>4 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And Super -- and</p> <p>7 Baymark Partners brought Bill Szeto in to take over.</p> <p>8 Had Baymark Partners ever worked with Mr. Szeto before?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>10 A. Bill Szeto was not brought in to take over the</p> <p>11 company, first. Number two, I'm not aware if Baymark</p> <p>12 had worked with Bill Szeto on something prior or not.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) When did you first meet</p> <p>14 Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>15 A. It would have been Q4 2017.</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Jason, we're getting close</p> <p>17 to a stopping point?</p> <p>18 MR. FREEMAN: I think we're to a good</p> <p>19 spot.</p> <p>20 (Break taken from 11:41 a.m. to</p> <p>21 11:54 a.m.)</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, how many times</p> <p>23 were the loans with Super G reworked or amended?</p> <p>24 A. If I recall, I think twice.</p> <p>25 Q. So that was two times with ACET Global?</p>

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Can you tell me about each of those?</p> <p>3 A. I can try.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. Is there anything specific you want to know</p> <p>6 about them?</p> <p>7 Q. Well, when did the first one occur?</p> <p>8 A. It was either December 2017 or January 2018.</p> <p>9 I don't remember the exact amendment date.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And why was that amended then?</p> <p>11 A. The company was having issues paying the</p> <p>12 weekly cash requirements, and we needed to reduce those</p> <p>13 payments to keep more cash in the business to obviously</p> <p>14 run the business and buy more inventory.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Were there any other reasons?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. What about the second one? When did</p> <p>18 that occur?</p> <p>19 A. Didn't know the exact date. I can guess, if</p> <p>20 you want me to take a guess.</p> <p>21 Q. Sure.</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: I would instruct the witness</p> <p>23 not to speculate or guess.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, what is your</p> <p>25 best estimate of when that occurred?</p>	<p>1 agreement?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. I'm not -- it would have been -- it would have</p> <p>4 been a conversation with Super G. I'm not sure</p> <p>5 specifically which party, whether it's Baymark Holdco.</p> <p>6 I don't know how that all looks. But there would have</p> <p>7 been a conversation with Super G about continuing to</p> <p>8 have struggles with the business and we need to reduce</p> <p>9 the cash payments.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Were you involved in</p> <p>11 those conversations?</p> <p>12 A. Most likely.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. What do you recall about those</p> <p>14 conversations?</p> <p>15 A. I don't recall specifics other than it would</p> <p>16 have been -- it was with either Steve Bellah or Oren</p> <p>17 Moses at Super G. We made them aware that the company</p> <p>18 was obviously continuing to have cash issues and that we</p> <p>19 needed to do something to reduce the cash payments to</p> <p>20 keep more cash in the business.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Did those payments, were they set up to</p> <p>22 increase in October of 2018?</p> <p>23 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Was there any relationship between the</p> <p>25 formation of Windspeed Trading, LLC, and ACET Global,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p> <p>1 A. Between March 2018 and May 2018.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And why was this amendment made?</p> <p>3 A. I'm not sure it was an amendment.</p> <p>4 Q. What was it?</p> <p>5 A. I think it was a forbearance.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And what were the terms of this</p> <p>7 forbearance?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Generally, did they increase or</p> <p>10 decrease the payments made by ACET Global?</p> <p>11 A. They would have decreased the payments.</p> <p>12 Q. The monthly payments?</p> <p>13 A. The weekly payments.</p> <p>14 Q. The weekly payments?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. Did that change after a period of time?</p> <p>17 A. What do you mean?</p> <p>18 Q. Was the forbearance -- how long did the</p> <p>19 forbearance last?</p> <p>20 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>21 Q. Did -- who drafted the forbearance agreement?</p> <p>22 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>23 Q. Who requested the forbearance agreement?</p> <p>24 A. I'm not sure how that work would.</p> <p>25 Q. Did Baymark Partners request the forbearance</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p> <p>1 LLC?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. I'm not sure. What do you mean by</p> <p>4 "relationship"?</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Let's change it then. Was</p> <p>6 there any relationship between Windspeed Trading, LLC,</p> <p>7 and ACET Global, LLC?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>9 A. Again, I'm not sure what "relationship" is. I</p> <p>10 don't know how to answer that.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Give me one second.</p> <p>12 Just looking this up in the dictionary here online, one</p> <p>13 definition is "the way in which two or more concepts,</p> <p>14 objects or people are connected."</p> <p>15 Is there any manner in which they were</p> <p>16 connected?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>18 A. Windspeed would have been selling ACET's</p> <p>19 inventory as required by Super G and being paid a</p> <p>20 contractor fee out of ACET Global. That's the only</p> <p>21 relationship that I'm aware of.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So Windspeed was selling</p> <p>23 ACET Global's inventory?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>25 A. We were -- ACET Global was in default at this</p>

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 time, and Super G was having them do this.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) What do you mean, Super G</p> <p>3 was having them do this?</p> <p>4 A. Having Windspeed sell the inventory.</p> <p>5 Q. So Super G was directing Windspeed to sell</p> <p>6 ACET Global's inventory?</p> <p>7 A. I'm not sure it was ACET Global's inventory at</p> <p>8 that time.</p> <p>9 Q. Whose inventory was it?</p> <p>10 A. It could be Super G's.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. I thought you said that Windspeed was</p> <p>12 paid a contractor fee?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Who paid that contractor fee?</p> <p>15 A. ACET Global had paid a fee to Windspeed, so</p> <p>16 that's it.</p> <p>17 Q. So ACET Global paid a fee to Windspeed</p> <p>18 Trading, LLC, to have Windspeed sell ACET Global's</p> <p>19 assets?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>21 A. Again, there's a line item on the P&L for</p> <p>22 contractor labor. I believe that's it. But –</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And who required ACET</p> <p>24 Global to make this payment to Windspeed?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p>	<p>1 that Windspeed was selling ACET Global's inventory?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. ACET Global had inventory that I believe</p> <p>4 Windspeed was selling on behalf of ACET Global.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And why do you</p> <p>6 believe that?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>8 A. Because there was cash coming into ACET</p> <p>9 Global, and I'm not sure who else would be fulfilling</p> <p>10 those orders.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) When was there cash coming</p> <p>12 into ACET Global?</p> <p>13 A. Well, there was cash coming to ACET Global</p> <p>14 throughout the whole 2018 and parts of 2017.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. How long was cash coming into ACET</p> <p>16 Global?</p> <p>17 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know when it stopped?</p> <p>19 A. I don't.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. How do you know that there was cash</p> <p>21 coming into ACET Global?</p> <p>22 A. I looked at the bank statements for this</p> <p>23 exercise.</p> <p>24 Q. How did you obtain those bank statements?</p> <p>25 A. Texas Capital Bank.</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 A. I'm actually not sure there ever was a payment</p> <p>2 made. There's just an expense line on ACET Global.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So there's an expense</p> <p>4 line item booked that was never actually paid; is that</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A. I'm not saying it was paid or not. I don't</p> <p>7 know.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know why that expense item was booked?</p> <p>9 A. I don't.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you know who booked it?</p> <p>11 A. It would have been the accountant.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you know who instructed the accountant to</p> <p>13 book it?</p> <p>14 A. I don't.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you know where the accountant would have</p> <p>16 gotten instructions to book an expense?</p> <p>17 A. I don't. And to be clear, I'm not 100 percent</p> <p>18 certain that was even related to Windspeed. There's a</p> <p>19 line item on ACET Global's P&L that says contract labor.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. What leads you to believe that's what</p> <p>21 it was related to?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 A. Well, I knew -- I'm not sure who else it would</p> <p>24 be related to. It's just an assumption of mine, so...</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Why do you believe</p>	<p>1 Q. Provided them to you?</p> <p>2 A. Provided, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you request them directly from Texas</p> <p>4 Capital Bank?</p> <p>5 A. I did.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you request them as a director of Baymark</p> <p>7 Partners?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you request them as an</p> <p>11 employee of ACET Global?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever hold</p> <p>15 yourself out as an employee or representative of ACET</p> <p>16 Global?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Why did Texas Capital provide you with ACET</p> <p>19 Global's bank statements?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>21 A. Well, I requested them.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. What gave you the</p> <p>23 authority to receive them?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection, form.</p> <p>25 A. David Hook was the signer on the accounts</p>

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 initially.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So did David Hook</p> <p>3 request them or did you?</p> <p>4 A. I requested them.</p> <p>5 Q. And when was that?</p> <p>6 A. Probably last month, from -- either March --</p> <p>7 Q. I'm sorry. The last --</p> <p>8 A. March.</p> <p>9 Q. March of?</p> <p>10 A. 2021.</p> <p>11 Q. 2021? So you still receive the bank</p> <p>12 statements for ACET Global?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. I'm not sure I understand the question.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You've received them</p> <p>16 recently, I guess?</p> <p>17 A. I requested them recently and received them.</p> <p>18 Q. And Mr. Hook is currently a signatory on those</p> <p>19 accounts?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. I'm not sure he is. Texas Capital Bank didn't</p> <p>22 ask. They said, "Who are the signers on the account?"</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. These accounts have been closed for quite a</p> <p>25 while.</p>	<p>1 in any way?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. So Baymark Partners had nothing to do with the</p> <p>4 formation of Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. And did you have anything to do with the</p> <p>7 formation of Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And at any point thereafter, was</p> <p>10 Baymark Partners involved with Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. There was a transaction done related to</p> <p>13 Windspeed Trading --</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>15 A. -- that Baymark Partners was already involved</p> <p>16 in.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. What was that?</p> <p>18 A. There was a warrant.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So the warrant -- do I understand that</p> <p>20 to be a warrant to purchase ownership in Windspeed</p> <p>21 Trading?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>23 A. I'd actually have to see the warrant to look</p> <p>24 at it. I haven't looked at it. I know there was a</p> <p>25 warrant out there, and I'd have to see what entity it</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p> <p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Why were you looking</p> <p>2 at the accounts in 2021? Why were you requesting them?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Go ahead.</p> <p>4 A. It was a request for -- as part of discovery,</p> <p>5 is my understanding.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So what was the</p> <p>7 relationship between the formation of Windspeed Trading</p> <p>8 and ACET Global?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. I don't -- I don't think there is a</p> <p>11 relationship.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Were they completely</p> <p>13 unrelated?</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 A. They are two unrelated companies.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Is Baymark</p> <p>17 Partners -- does Baymark Partners have any relationship</p> <p>18 to Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. I'm not sure -- when I -- I know Baymark</p> <p>21 Partners has a warrant. And I'm not sure it's Baymark</p> <p>22 Partners or what that entity is, but I know there's a</p> <p>23 warranty of some sort that's related to Baymark.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But was Baymark</p> <p>25 Partners involved in the formation of Windspeed Trading,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p> <p>1 was. I can't speak to it.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why was that warrant issued?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. Super G was making an investment in Windspeed</p> <p>5 Trading, and we had a relationship with Super G. Super</p> <p>6 G would like to have our involvement with Windspeed</p> <p>7 Trading, and so we obviously wouldn't do that for free.</p> <p>8 We would need some compensation for our time. And</p> <p>9 that's what the warrant was related to.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So Baymark Partners</p> <p>11 had a relationship with Super G?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. Again, "relationship," I'm not sure how to</p> <p>14 define that.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Connection -- any</p> <p>16 connection?</p> <p>17 A. Just to be clear, we're independent -- Baymark</p> <p>18 is independent of Super G.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. So other --</p> <p>21 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>22 A. Independent companies.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Like two distinct legal entities?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. Two distinct legal entities with uncommon</p>

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 ownership.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do those two distinct</p> <p>3 legal entities with uncommon ownership have any</p> <p>4 relationship?</p> <p>5 A. It's strictly a transactional relationship,</p> <p>6 third party.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. What is that relationship?</p> <p>8 A. Super G is a lender.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And that's it?</p> <p>10 A. That's it.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. What -- did Super G obtain warrants in</p> <p>12 Windspeed as well?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not sure if it was Super G or a different</p> <p>14 entity.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. But those warrants that were given to</p> <p>16 the Baymark party, that was the only relationship to</p> <p>17 Windspeed?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>19 A. Yes. If we're defining that as a relationship</p> <p>20 which is transactional, third party, independent, no</p> <p>21 ownership -- common ownership, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Prior to Windspeed's</p> <p>23 formation, was ACET Global insolvent, or could it have</p> <p>24 kept going?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>	<p>1 more than it was bringing in?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. I'm not sure other than the cash flow in the</p> <p>4 business was nearly nonexistent. And we owed -- ACET</p> <p>5 Global owed debts to quite a few vendors.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. How long had it been</p> <p>7 that way?</p> <p>8 A. Not sure.</p> <p>9 Q. Just a few months or --</p> <p>10 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) -- longer?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. Well, the additional capital that we had --</p> <p>14 that Baymark put in certainly extended the life of the</p> <p>15 business. So it's hard to say when it truly was</p> <p>16 becoming an insolvent issue.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever believe</p> <p>18 it had become insolvent?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. I think by the summer of 2000 -- well,</p> <p>21 primarily, the -- late summer 2018, the company was</p> <p>22 clearly on its last -- I don't know how to describe</p> <p>23 it -- on its last lifeline, I suppose.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. It was clearly -- I</p> <p>25 mean, clearly going under as a going concern?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p> <p>1 A. I'm actually not sure when Windspeed was</p> <p>2 formed.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. When do you believe</p> <p>4 it was formed?</p> <p>5 A. It would have been, if I had to guess, later</p> <p>6 half of 2018.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And what makes you believe that?</p> <p>8 A. Because there was a transaction done with --</p> <p>9 related to Windspeed in late 2018.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Prior to that time -- and I'll</p> <p>11 represent to you that you're correct, it was in</p> <p>12 September of 2018. But prior to that time, was ACET</p> <p>13 Global insolvent, or could it have kept going?</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 A. Well, prior to that time could indicate a</p> <p>16 long -- a significant period. So...</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Let's call it the month</p> <p>18 leading up to that.</p> <p>19 A. Was the company insolvent? Is that the</p> <p>20 question?</p> <p>21 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>23 A. And what is "insolvency," so I can make sure</p> <p>24 I'm answering this correctly.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I mean, was it paying out</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p> <p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 A. It would need more capital to be infused in</p> <p>3 the company --</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>5 A. -- to keep it going.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you believe that restructuring ACET Global</p> <p>7 would give Baymark an opportunity to turn its investment</p> <p>8 around?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. Baymark, by late summer, was not interested in</p> <p>11 putting more capital into the business.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you believe that</p> <p>13 restructuring the business, ACET Global's business,</p> <p>14 would give Baymark Partners an opportunity to turn its</p> <p>15 investment around?</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>17 A. Potentially.</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you believe that</p> <p>19 restructuring ACET Global's business would help give</p> <p>20 Baymark an opportunity to make a return on its</p> <p>21 investment?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>23 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) No?</p> <p>25 A. At that point, it was a complete write-off.</p>

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 Q. Okay. Were you trying to salvage what you 2 could from it?</p> <p>3 A. I think we were trying to do what was right 4 for the business itself.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And at what point was it a complete 6 write-off?</p> <p>7 A. Certainly, by late summer 2018, it would have 8 been considered a complete write-off, I would think.</p> <p>9 Q. Is that July of 2018?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. Or August, either one.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss the impact of 14 restructuring that business on ACET Global's creditors?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. We had discussed with Super G, obviously, the 17 company was having issues.</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>19 A. And made them aware that, you know, this is -- 20 that something needs to happen or else, you know, 21 there's not much here.</p> <p>22 Q. Baymark Partners made Super G aware of that 23 fact?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And who specifically at Super G?</p>	<p>1 accountant at ACET Global, maintained the books.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you not have any 3 involvement with that?</p> <p>4 A. With what?</p> <p>5 Q. Maintaining the books.</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>7 A. No. I was not a bookkeeper at the company.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) No involvement with respect 9 to the maintenance of ACET Global's books?</p> <p>10 A. No involvement.</p> <p>11 Q. No involvement with respect to Windspeed 12 Trading's books?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. And did you provide any oversight with respect 15 to either of those books?</p> <p>16 A. Oversight, not on Windspeed Trading. On ACET 17 Global, it would have been -- we had reporting 18 requirements. You know, monthly financials had to be 19 sent out. So although the accountants were aware of 20 that, I had to make sure that also happened on a timely 21 manner.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Did you provide instructions to 23 Windspeed Trading regarding ACET Global's financial 24 records?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>
Page 79	Page 81
<p>1 A. It would have been Steve Bellah.</p> <p>2 Q. What did you discuss in that regard?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. I actually don't recall the discussion.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever discuss 6 how the restructuring might impact any other creditors 7 of ACET Global?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you believe that 11 restructuring ACET Global's business would be in the 12 best interest of the creditors of ACET Global?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever discuss whether 16 it would be in the best interest of the creditors of 17 ACET Global?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>19 A. It would have been a discussion with Super G 20 that without some sort of cash infusion or change in the 21 debt, there's nothing left here. So...</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Windspeed Trading 23 maintain the financial records of ACET Global?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. I'm not sure. Jane Lin, who was the</p>	<p>1 Q. And you weren't compensated in any way to do 2 that?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. How was Windspeed Trading's business model 5 different from ACET Global's?</p> <p>6 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>7 Q. And why are you not sure?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>9 A. I'm not involved with Windspeed. I don't 10 know.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. You don't know if 12 Windspeed had different employees from ACET Global?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. To be exact, I'd need to see the employee 15 roster.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you know if 17 Windspeed Trading had any of the same employees as ACET 18 Global?</p> <p>19 A. I know Bill Szeto is at Windspeed Trading. I 20 believe that -- I'd have to see the employee roster. I 21 can't speak for certain.</p> <p>22 Q. Did Jane Lin go to work for Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>23 A. Again, if you show me a W-2 of Jane Lin at 24 Windspeed, I can answer that.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. But you never corresponded with her as</p>

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 the accountant for Windspeed?</p> <p>2 A. She would have sent emails to me.</p> <p>3 Q. From Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. So did you, in fact, understand her to be an</p> <p>6 employee of Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>8 A. Again, I'm not sure. She worked potentially</p> <p>9 on behalf of Windspeed or for Windspeed. I don't know.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you correspond</p> <p>11 with anyone else at Windspeed?</p> <p>12 A. I have talked to Bill Szeto at Windspeed.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Anyone else?</p> <p>14 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>15 Q. Did Windspeed have different inventory than</p> <p>16 ACET Global?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>18 A. I would think so.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) What makes you think that?</p> <p>20 A. It's a different company.</p> <p>21 Q. And why does that make you think it would be</p> <p>22 different inventory?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>24 A. Because of that reason.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But for no other reason?</p>	<p>1 confirm, yes, that looks correct.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Without seeing the</p> <p>3 loan agreement, you don't -- you don't know whether that</p> <p>4 was ever arranged?</p> <p>5 A. I'm not sure if it's Super G Capital or a</p> <p>6 different entity name.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay.</p> <p>8 A. I know they switched to a different company,</p> <p>9 and so I'm not certain.</p> <p>10 Q. Super G switched to a different company?</p> <p>11 A. They switched to SG Credit Partners, I</p> <p>12 believe.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. When did they switch to SG Credit</p> <p>14 Partners?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Why did they switch to SG Credit Partners?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>18 A. You'd have to ask them.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you understood</p> <p>20 that to be Super G Capital?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>22 A. Again, if you'd show me the loan agreement, I</p> <p>23 can confirm.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But did you</p> <p>25 understand SG Capital Partners to be Super G Capital?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p> <p>1 A. No other reason.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Where did Windspeed Trading receive its</p> <p>3 funding?</p> <p>4 A. Again, to be certain, I would need to see the</p> <p>5 bank statement to see where it came from.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Where do you believe it received its</p> <p>7 funding?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>9 A. Super G Capital.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why do you believe that?</p> <p>11 A. Well, everything in the -- in this lawsuit is</p> <p>12 stating that, so I'm assuming that's what it is.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you, in fact, have involvement with</p> <p>14 negotiating that loan?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. I was involved in helping with the</p> <p>17 transaction, and the loan would have been as part of</p> <p>18 that, I suppose. But, again, I'm not sure that was the</p> <p>19 loan that financed Windspeed.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you're aware --</p> <p>21 it's your understanding that Windspeed received a loan</p> <p>22 from Super G as part of the transaction you were</p> <p>23 involved in?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. If you show me the loan agreement, then I can</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p> <p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why then were you --</p> <p>4 A. To be clear, there were employees from Super G</p> <p>5 Capital and SG Credit Partners. They do similar-type</p> <p>6 loans. I don't know the ownership. I don't know the</p> <p>7 entities. There's no reason to believe that it's the</p> <p>8 same company.</p> <p>9 Q. Were those employees of SG Capital involved</p> <p>10 with respect to ACET Global's loan?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. Again, I don't -- I can't speak to that</p> <p>13 because I don't specifically know who works for SG</p> <p>14 Credit Partners.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever correspond with</p> <p>16 anyone from SG Credit Partners about the ACET Global</p> <p>17 loan with Super G Capital?</p> <p>18 A. I'm not sure I did.</p> <p>19 Q. Now, is it your understanding that Windspeed</p> <p>20 had a loan from Super G Capital?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>22 A. Again, if you show me the loan, I can confirm.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever refer to the</p> <p>24 Windspeed loan from Super G Capital as the "ACET note"?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 A. Potentially.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) What do you mean 3 "potentially"?</p> <p>4 A. I would have seen an email that says that. I 5 know -- I don't know. I don't recall. So we'd have to 6 look at something.</p> <p>7 Q. Why would you refer to a loan to Windspeed 8 Trading from Super G Capital as the "ACET note"?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. Well, Super G was -- ACET Global was in 11 default with Super G. Super G was also financing 12 Windspeed, a separate transaction. There was a 13 foreclosure going on. It's very likely that there was 14 an mistake in the email with calling the loan the 15 correct name.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why do you say it's very 17 likely that there was a mistake in an email calling it 18 that name?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. Well, if you recall, I was working on helping 21 with the transaction with Windspeed on the Baymark side, 22 as well as ACET Global with Super G. It's just -- it's 23 a possibility that the name in the email got titled 24 wrong.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Does anything lead you to</p>	<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know whether a 4 foreclosure sale ever occurred?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>6 A. The foreclosure sale between who exactly?</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Windspeed Trading and Super 8 G. Do you know whether that --</p> <p>9 A. I don't --</p> <p>10 Q. You don't?</p> <p>11 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>12 Q. You don't know whether it occurred?</p> <p>13 A. Do I know if what occurred?</p> <p>14 Q. A foreclosure sale from Super G Capital to 15 Windspeed Trading, LLC.</p> <p>16 A. Yes, there would have been a foreclosure that 17 occurred.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And when did that occur?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. There was a foreclosure on ACET Global. I'm 21 not sure there was a foreclosure on Windspeed.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. When was there a 23 foreclosure on ACET Global?</p> <p>24 A. I think it was early 2019.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Is that January of 2019?</p>
<p>believe that actually happened?</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. That what happened?</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) That you actually referred to the wrong note as the "ACET note"?</p> <p>A. I believe so.</p> <p>Q. What leads you to believe that happened?</p> <p>A. Because Windspeed -- again, if I see the loan agreement, that is not an ACET note. It's probably a different loan.</p> <p>Q. Okay. When did Windspeed begin selling ACET Global's inventory?</p> <p>A. I don't know.</p> <p>Q. Where did Windspeed Trading obtain its inventory from?</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. You would have to ask Windspeed.</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. You don't know where Windspeed obtained any of the inventory that it sold in 2018?</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. No.</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If it obtained that inventory from ACET Global, was that through a foreclosure sale?</p>	<p>A. I'm not sure. You'd have to show me the foreclosure.</p> <p>Q. Why do you -- why do you believe it was early 2019?</p> <p>A. Memory.</p> <p>Q. Okay. And what about your memory makes you believe that's the case?</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. Because I remember something like this occurring in early 2019.</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you weren't involved in that process at all?</p> <p>A. In what process?</p> <p>Q. The foreclosure process.</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. How would I be involved in that?</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Correct. Were you --</p> <p>A. No.</p> <p>Q. No involvement at all?</p> <p>MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>A. My understanding is Super G foreclosed on ACET Global. Super G had requested documents for the foreclosure, which I provided. So there is some involvement.</p> <p>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But that's the extent</p>

Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 of your involvement?</p> <p>2 A. From what I can recall.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you generally have a pretty good</p> <p>4 memory?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Is there a reason for that?</p> <p>7 A. I wish I could tell. I don't. Certain things</p> <p>8 I can recall, other things I can't.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Did you discuss having Windspeed</p> <p>10 Trading maintain two sets of books?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. Again, I don't recall.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever direct Bill</p> <p>14 Szeto to keep two sets of books?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If you had, what would have</p> <p>18 been the reasons?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. Well, they're two separate companies, so there</p> <p>21 should be two separate books. So...</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I agree. It seems like</p> <p>23 there should be. Would there be any reasons for them to</p> <p>24 have consolidated books or...</p> <p>25 A. There shouldn't be.</p>	<p>1 file"?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And is -- Matt Denegre, reflected here,</p> <p>4 is that you?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. You don't remember this email?</p> <p>7 A. I don't remember this.</p> <p>8 Q. And you don't believe you've had</p> <p>9 correspondence with William Szeto from Windspeed Trading</p> <p>10 about --</p> <p>11 A. I never -- go ahead. Sorry. Continue.</p> <p>12 Q. -- about Windspeed's QuickBooks file?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. Again, I don't recall having that</p> <p>15 conversation. But assuming this is me, then there was</p> <p>16 certainly a conversation.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Let's look below where</p> <p>18 there's an email from Matt Denegre.</p> <p>19 A. Okay.</p> <p>20 Q. And the email address there is</p> <p>21 mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com. Is that your email</p> <p>22 address, sir?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And was your email hacked at any time during</p> <p>25 October of 2018?</p>
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 (Exhibit 2 marked.)</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting on</p> <p>4 the screen what's marked as Exhibit 2. Can you see</p> <p>5 that?</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Hold on one second. Let me</p> <p>7 pull it up.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I can't see that on the</p> <p>9 screen, but hold on.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you recognize this</p> <p>11 document, Mr. Denegre?</p> <p>12 A. This exhibit?</p> <p>13 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 A. I don't.</p> <p>15 Q. If you look at the top, does that reflect an</p> <p>16 email from William Szeto?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. From a Windspeed Trading email address?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And is this email -- was it sent on</p> <p>21 October 19th, 2018?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And was that to someone named Matt Denegre?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And was the subject line "Windspeed QuickBooks</p>	<p>1 A. It may have been.</p> <p>2 Q. You think your email was hacked in October</p> <p>3 of 2018?</p> <p>4 A. I didn't say that. I said it may have been.</p> <p>5 I'm not aware.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Do you believe anybody hacked into your</p> <p>7 emails and emailed William Szeto?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you believe this email is actually from</p> <p>10 you?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And is what's reflected here on Exhibit 2, in</p> <p>13 fact, a true and correct copy of the correspondence</p> <p>14 between you and Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. How can I tell? It has my name. It has</p> <p>17 Bill's name.</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you deny that this</p> <p>19 is, in fact, a real document?</p> <p>20 A. I'm assuming it's a correct document.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. If you look below at the Bates-labeled</p> <p>22 page that says BP011763, do you understand that to mean</p> <p>23 that Baymark Partners produced this document?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>25 Q. Is Baymark Partners in the habit of</p>

Page 94	Page 96
<p>1 maintaining fake documents?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you believe this</p> <p>5 to be a true and correct copy of the correspondence</p> <p>6 between you and Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>8 A. I assume it's a correct correspondence.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. If you look at the</p> <p>10 email on the bottom, the email from you at your Baymark</p> <p>11 Partners email address says, "Bill, Jane will need to</p> <p>12 set up a new QuickBooks file for Windspeed and maintain</p> <p>13 the old QuickBooks file for ACET."</p> <p>14 Does that appear to be correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's how I read it.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And does it go on to say, "It will need</p> <p>17 to be two separate books for obvious reasons"? Is that</p> <p>18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. That's how I read it.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. What were the obvious reasons, sir?</p> <p>21 A. There's two separate companies.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Two separate and distinct companies?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Is that the only obvious reason?</p> <p>25 A. I can't think of another reason.</p>	<p>1 MR. PERRIN: I don't think -- are you</p> <p>2 through with your answer?</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: I'm through with the</p> <p>4 answer.</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Okay. Excuse me. Go ahead.</p> <p>6 MR. FREEMAN: And I'm sorry. I didn't</p> <p>7 catch all that. What did you need?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: I thought he wasn't through</p> <p>9 with his -- I thought he might not be through with his</p> <p>10 answer. I asked if he was, and he said he was. So I</p> <p>11 said proceed.</p> <p>12 MR. FREEMAN: Okay.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If I cut you off at all, I</p> <p>14 apologize. I interpreted the pause as being -- being</p> <p>15 completed. Was there any more you wanted to add to that</p> <p>16 answer?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Did you ever have a position on</p> <p>19 Windspeed's board?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Is there any reason that Bill Szeto would</p> <p>22 believe you had a position on Windspeed's board?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>24 A. I'm not sure why Bill would assume that.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Does Windspeed Trading abide</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p> <p>1 Q. Was that the reason that you were thinking of</p> <p>2 when you sent this email?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you instructed</p> <p>6 Mr. Szeto to ensure that Jane maintained both</p> <p>7 Windspeed's financial records and ACET Global's</p> <p>8 financial records?</p> <p>9 A. That's what I'm suggesting here.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Why did you do that?</p> <p>11 A. Because they're two separate companies.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. What is the structure of Windspeed?</p> <p>13 A. I have -- what do you mean by "structure"?</p> <p>14 Q. How is it structured? What's your</p> <p>15 understanding?</p> <p>16 A. There was a loan and equity, I suppose.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. How, if at all, are you involved?</p> <p>18 A. I'm sorry. Say that again.</p> <p>19 Q. How, if at all, are you involved?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. Currently, not at all. I have no involvement.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Prior to currently.</p> <p>23 A. Well, I never worked for Windspeed.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. You never had any position with</p> <p>25 Windspeed?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p> <p>1 by its Company Agreement?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. You would have to ask Windspeed.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know if Windspeed</p> <p>5 makes an effort to abide by its Company Agreement?</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know if Windspeed has</p> <p>9 a board?</p> <p>10 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know if its board -- do you know if</p> <p>12 Mr. Ludlow is on that board?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. I don't know for certain who is on the board.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know if Mr. Hook is</p> <p>16 on that board?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>18 A. I don't know.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Have you ever had</p> <p>20 discussions with Mr. Ludlow or Mr. Hook about the</p> <p>21 Windspeed board?</p> <p>22 A. There would have been discussions initially at</p> <p>23 some point. I don't know when those discussions --</p> <p>24 whether it was late 2018, but there would have been a</p> <p>25 discussion at some point.</p>

Page 98	Page 100
<p>1 Q. Why would there have been a discussion? 2 A. I think Mr. Ludlow was on the board of 3 Windspeed at that time. 4 Q. What leads you to believe that? 5 A. I had seen an operating agreement. 6 Q. When did you see an operating agreement? 7 A. It was early 2019 or late 2018. 8 Q. Okay. And why did you see an operating 9 agreement? 10 A. There was a transaction done with Windspeed 11 Trading, and I was involved in the transaction. 12 Q. Okay. And what was that transaction with 13 Windspeed Trading? 14 A. Baymark got warrants in Windspeed Trading. 15 Q. Okay. Did Baymark Partners ever engage a law 16 firm to draft an operating agreement for Windspeed 17 Trading? 18 A. I'm not sure. Baymark had its own counsel, 19 Bill Szeto had his own counsel and Super G had their own 20 counsel. I'm not sure. 21 Q. Did Mr. Hook or Mr. Ludlow ever engage legal 22 counsel to draft an operating agreement for Windspeed 23 Trading? 24 A. You would have to ask them. 25 Q. To the best of your knowledge, if an attorney</p>	<p>1 involved in that? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 A. In drafting an operating agreement? 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir. 5 A. No. I'm not -- an attorney would have done 6 that. 7 Q. Okay. Would you have been involved in 8 discussions with that attorney? 9 A. Potentially. 10 Q. Why potentially? 11 A. Because Baymark, at the time, got a warrant in 12 the operating agreement. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. And I know there was some involvement with me. 15 I don't recall what those conversations were, but... 16 Q. Who were those conversations with? 17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 18 A. It would have been -- can we be more specific 19 with what we're trying to solve here or figure out? 20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yep. Any discussions about 21 the operating agreement with Windspeed Trading, LLC. 22 A. There would have been discussions with our 23 attorney. 24 Q. Okay. And that's only because Baymark 25 Partners was obtaining a warrant in Windspeed Trading,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p> <p>1 was drafting an operating agreement for Windspeed 2 Trading, would they have been representing Baymark 3 Partners? 4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 5 A. I'm sorry. Say that again. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If an attorney was drafting 7 an operating agreement for Windspeed Trading -- 8 A. Uh-huh. 9 Q. -- would they have been representing Baymark 10 Partners? 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 12 A. I'm not sure. 13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why are you not sure? 14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 15 A. I don't know how to answer that question. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And why do you not know how 17 to answer it? 18 A. Because I'm not sure how that attorney-client 19 privilege would work. I really don't know. 20 Q. Okay. But you're not aware of Baymark 21 Partners ever engaging a law firm to draft an operating 22 agreement for Windspeed Trading? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. I don't recall. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Would you have been</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p> <p>1 LLC? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 A. I can't say for certain other than I know we 4 have a -- Baymark Partners has a warrant in Windspeed. 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. You've not ever 6 seen -- 7 MR. PERRIN: Jason, just to let you know, 8 it's about ten to 1:00. When you get to a stopping 9 point, I'd like to take a short break for lunch. 10 MR. FREEMAN: I agree. We're pretty 11 close. I'll try and get there in about ten minutes or 12 so. 13 MR. PERRIN: All right. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever have -- let me 15 ask you this. Did -- have you ever seen any board 16 minutes with respect to Windspeed? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Never any board resolutions with respect to 19 Windspeed? 20 A. I don't think so. 21 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Bill 22 Szeto about Windspeed's board? 23 A. I can't say for certain. 24 Q. Did you ever have discussions where Mr. Szeto 25 told you that Windspeed doesn't have an official board?</p>

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 A. I don't recall that discussion.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>4 (Exhibit 3 marked.)</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting up</p> <p>6 on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 3. Do you</p> <p>7 recognize this document?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. You don't recognize this document?</p> <p>10 A. I don't.</p> <p>11 Q. And you didn't review this document in</p> <p>12 preparation for today?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Does this appear to be an email from William</p> <p>15 Szeto to you in January of 2019?</p> <p>16 A. I'm just scrolling down and trying to find out</p> <p>17 where this email starts.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you need me to scroll on the screen, or do</p> <p>19 you have it there?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: We have it right here. You</p> <p>21 can scroll up or down. Either way you want, you can.</p> <p>22 A. Okay. What was the question again?</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Does this appear to be an</p> <p>24 email from Mr. Szeto to you in January, January 21st,</p> <p>25 2019?</p>	<p>1 thereon?</p> <p>2 A. I assume it is a true and correct copy.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you believe it is?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. The immediately preceding email, is</p> <p>6 that an email from you, sir, at your Baymark Partners</p> <p>7 email address?</p> <p>8 A. At 5:42?</p> <p>9 Q. Yes, sir, on January 20th.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And did you send that email to Steve</p> <p>12 Bellah of Super G Capital, Tony Ludlow of Baymark</p> <p>13 Partners and William Szeto of Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And below that, did you state, "I don't</p> <p>16 believe Windspeed has D&O insurance"?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Why was there a concern about D&O</p> <p>19 insurance?</p> <p>20 A. I didn't have a concern.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Why did you not believe Windspeed had</p> <p>22 D&O insurance?</p> <p>23 A. There was no reason to believe it did.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Well, if there was no reason to believe</p> <p>25 it did and no reason to believe it didn't, why did you</p>
Page 103	Page 105
<p>1 A. It does.</p> <p>2 Q. And does it have a subject line of "Merged</p> <p>3 Weekly Report Windspeed"?</p> <p>4 A. Well, it initially started as "merged weekly</p> <p>5 report" without the name "Windspeed" in it.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. I'm looking at the top email there that</p> <p>7 has a subject line, "re: Merged weekly report</p> <p>8 (Windspeed)." Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. I see that.</p> <p>10 Q. What does that refer to?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. Don't know?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. No idea what "merged" means?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Why would you be on an email that you</p> <p>17 didn't know what the subject line meant?</p> <p>18 A. That happens more often than you think. It</p> <p>19 happens quite a bit, actually.</p> <p>20 Q. In this email to you on January 21st, 2019,</p> <p>21 does Mr. Szeto state, "Since we do not have an official</p> <p>22 board, we do not have a D&O policy for the board"?</p> <p>23 A. That's what he's saying.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And do you -- do you believe this email</p> <p>25 to be a true and correct copy of what's reflected</p>	<p>1 come to the conclusion that it didn't?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. Bad decision-making, I suppose.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. If you look down at</p> <p>5 the bottom, documents Bates labeled BP012328 and 29,</p> <p>6 does that appear to be an email from Mr. Szeto to you on</p> <p>7 January 18th, 2019?</p> <p>8 MR. PERRIN: What time?</p> <p>9 MR. FREEMAN: 12:27 p.m. It's the one</p> <p>10 that's highlighted.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Does that have Jane</p> <p>13 at Windspeed Trading on the email as well?</p> <p>14 A. It does.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And is Jane the accountant for</p> <p>16 Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>17 A. I'm not sure her role.</p> <p>18 Q. Was she the accountant at Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. I'm not sure what her role is at Windspeed</p> <p>21 Trading.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was she the accountant at</p> <p>23 ACET Global?</p> <p>24 A. She was.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And does the subject line there state,</p>

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 "Merged weekly report"?</p> <p>2 A. It does.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Did you receive a weekly report from</p> <p>4 Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall what this report would have</p> <p>6 been.</p> <p>7 Q. You don't recall receiving a -- ever receiving</p> <p>8 a weekly report from Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. I don't.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you have any idea why</p> <p>12 Mr. Szeto would send you specifically a weekly report</p> <p>13 from Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>14 A. He was using -- or not -- "using" is not the</p> <p>15 right word. I was a sound board for Bill to put ideas</p> <p>16 across. So he was sharing, I suppose, Windspeed's</p> <p>17 weekly reports.</p> <p>18 Q. To get ideas from you?</p> <p>19 A. Potentially. Although I'm not sure I offered</p> <p>20 any ideas.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you use Steven Bellah as a sounding board</p> <p>22 to get ideas from yourself?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>24 A. I don't -- I don't think so.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. If you look to the</p>	<p>1 A. I don't see where it says it was sent to Steve</p> <p>2 Bellah. I don't see that.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you see above that where it states</p> <p>4 the name "Steve Bellah"?</p> <p>5 A. At 3:42 p.m.?</p> <p>6 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 A. I do.</p> <p>8 Q. And do you see where it states that directly</p> <p>9 above Matt Denegre, and it states a phone number of</p> <p>10 (972) 742-5759? Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A. Are you talking about below Steve's name?</p> <p>12 Q. Correct.</p> <p>13 A. (972) 742-5759?</p> <p>14 Q. Right.</p> <p>15 A. I do see that.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Would you ever have sent a weekly</p> <p>17 report from Windspeed to Steve Bellah?</p> <p>18 A. I may have.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you, in fact, do so regularly?</p> <p>20 A. Not sure I did.</p> <p>21 Q. Why are you not sure?</p> <p>22 A. Because it wouldn't be something I would send</p> <p>23 regularly to Steve Bellah.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Why is that?</p> <p>25 A. I'm not sure why I would send this to Steve</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p> <p>1 next email directly above, there's an email -- there's a</p> <p>2 correspondence from you to Steve Bellah. Do you see</p> <p>3 that, sir?</p> <p>4 A. At 3:42?</p> <p>5 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 A. I do.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did you forward this merged weekly</p> <p>8 report to Steven Bellah?</p> <p>9 A. I'm not sure. How can I tell?</p> <p>10 Q. Well, you can see that there's a line item</p> <p>11 there where it demonstrates an email was sent from the</p> <p>12 email address mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com at 4:24 p.m.</p> <p>13 on January 18th, 2019, in this email thread. Do you see</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 A. I do see that.</p> <p>16 Q. And below that, it states a password, 1761.</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A. I see that.</p> <p>19 Q. And then do you see the salutation, "Best</p> <p>20 regards, Matt Denegre, Baymark Partners"? Do you see</p> <p>21 that?</p> <p>22 A. I do.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you have any reason to believe you might</p> <p>24 have sent this document to Steve Bellah of Baymark</p> <p>25 Partners, along with a password to open it?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p> <p>1 Bellah.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Was this, in fact, a critical period of</p> <p>3 time?</p> <p>4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. In this email on</p> <p>7 January 18th, 2019, to you from Steve Bellah with the</p> <p>8 subject line, "re: Merged weekly report (Windspeed),"</p> <p>9 does Steve Bellah state, "We are waiting for your</p> <p>10 counsel to turn a document"?</p> <p>11 A. Again, at 3:42 p.m.?</p> <p>12 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 A. Yes, it says that.</p> <p>14 Q. What is he referring to? Do you know?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. You don't have any reason to know what he was</p> <p>17 referring to?</p> <p>18 A. It's hard to say. It doesn't say in the</p> <p>19 email.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. If you'll look above that, there's an</p> <p>21 email from what purports to be</p> <p>22 mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com. Is that you?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And is that sent on January 18th, 2019, at</p> <p>25 5:07 p.m.?</p>

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And does it state, "She is drafting the 3 amendment and restatement"?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. What amendment and restatement are you 6 referring to, sir?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you remember any of this email 9 correspondence?</p> <p>10 A. Not really.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you have any phone conversations with 12 Steven Bellah during this time?</p> <p>13 A. I can't say for certain if I did or not.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 MR. FREEMAN: Tell you what. That's a 16 good place to stop.</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: All right. What do you want 18 to do?</p> <p>19 MR. FREEMAN: We can go off the record. 20 (Break taken from 1:02 p.m. to 1:40 p.m.)</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, who does 22 Baymark Partners use to prepare its tax returns?</p> <p>23 A. Not sure.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Does it use the same company to prepare 25 the tax returns for its companies?</p>	<p>1 for ACET Global?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. Is there any reason that Baymark Partners 4 would have paid for that?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>6 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. (Exhibit 4 marked.)</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting up 9 what's marked as Exhibit 4 on the screen. Do you see 10 this?</p> <p>11 A. I see Exhibit 4.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Do you recognize this document?</p> <p>13 A. I don't.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Does this appear to be an email from 15 William Szeto at bill@windspeedtrading.com?</p> <p>16 A. Which email are you referring to?</p> <p>17 Q. Exhibit 4.</p> <p>18 A. Okay. Which email here in particular?</p> <p>19 Q. Just look at the top, please.</p> <p>20 A. Okay.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Does that appear to be an email from 22 William Szeto?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And is that email dated January 24th, 2019?</p>
<p>1 A. I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know if they use a company named 3 Howard?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Who prepared ACET Global's taxes for 6 2018?</p> <p>7 A. It was a CPA firm, Howard & Company.</p> <p>8 Q. Howard & Company? Was Windspeed involved in 9 that process?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Was Bill Szeto?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Was Jane Lin?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And neither of them were involved at 16 your instruction?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>18 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Baymark Partners 20 agree to pay for any tax preparation?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Did it agree to pay for any tax 23 preparation for Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know.</p> <p>25 Q. Did it agree to pay for any tax preparation</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And is that email to Matt Denegre?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Is that you?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. You don't know if that's you on the 7 email?</p> <p>8 A. There's other Matt Denegres. I don't know.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So this could be any other Matt 10 Denegre?</p> <p>11 A. Potentially.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. If you'll look below, the email right 13 before that, there's an email from Matt Denegre at 14 mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. I see that.</p> <p>16 Q. How many Matt Denegres are there at Baymark 17 Partners?</p> <p>18 A. One.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Is that you?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Is this -- does this appear to be an 22 email from you to William Szeto at 23 bill@windspeedtrading.com?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Did you send an email to William Szeto</p>

Page 114	Page 116
<p>1 on January 24th, 2019?</p> <p>2 A. According to this, I did.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Does this appear to be a true and</p> <p>4 correct copy that you sent to William Szeto on</p> <p>5 January 24th, 2019?</p> <p>6 A. How can I tell if it's true and correct?</p> <p>7 Q. Well, do you have any reason to believe it's</p> <p>8 not?</p> <p>9 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>10 Q. Does Baymark Partners keep false and incorrect</p> <p>11 copies of documents?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you know if the label at the bottom of this</p> <p>14 page, BP012348, indicates that it was produced by</p> <p>15 Baymark Partners?</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: I can answer that. He</p> <p>17 wouldn't know. But, yes, it was produced by Baymark</p> <p>18 Partners.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Have you seen any documents</p> <p>20 that were reviewed by Baymark Partners?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>22 A. Have I seen any documents reviewed by Baymark</p> <p>23 Partners? Is that the question?</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Produced by Baymark Partners</p> <p>25 in this lawsuit.</p>	<p>1 filing for ACET so all these can be handled"? Is that</p> <p>2 correct?</p> <p>3 A. Where are you reading that?</p> <p>4 Q. The first line of the email we're just looking</p> <p>5 at. I've got it highlighted on your screen.</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: He's got to put on his</p> <p>7 glasses to see that from here.</p> <p>8 A. Okay. I see the highlighted.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Does it say, from William</p> <p>10 Szeto to you, "I am going to insist either one of you</p> <p>11 guys to pay for a tax filing for ACET so all these can</p> <p>12 be handled"?</p> <p>13 A. I don't see where it says from William Szeto</p> <p>14 to you. I have a tax filing. I don't see that.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. I'm reading an email that's part of</p> <p>16 what's Exhibit 4 on your screen, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. All right. This email that we were just</p> <p>19 looking at, up above, the email header states, "William</p> <p>20 Szeto" -- "From William Szeto,</p> <p>21 bill@windspeedtrading.com," correct?</p> <p>22 A. I see that.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Does it say, "To Matt Denegre"?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And that's mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com,</p>
Page 115	Page 117
<p>1 A. I have.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Did they bear a Bates label on them?</p> <p>3 A. Today's the first I've actually noticed that</p> <p>4 number.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So you don't believe this is a correct</p> <p>6 copy of an email from you?</p> <p>7 A. I don't believe I said that.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Well, tell me what you said and what</p> <p>9 you mean.</p> <p>10 A. I wasn't sure if it was a correct copy.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So you don't -- you don't have reason</p> <p>12 to believe this is a correct copy of this email?</p> <p>13 A. Assuming the attorney said it was fine, it's</p> <p>14 fine. So it must be correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Directly below, as part of this email</p> <p>16 strand, is there an email from William Szeto to you on</p> <p>17 January 24th, 2019, at 8:09 a.m.?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Does it refer to \$69,000 in sales?</p> <p>20 A. There is a mention of 69K sales.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Is that what you understand that to</p> <p>22 mean, \$69,000 in sales?</p> <p>23 A. You could assume that means \$69,000 in sales.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Does it state in this email, "I am</p> <p>25 going to insist either one of you guys to pay for a tax</p>	<p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And that is you receiving this email from</p> <p>4 William Szeto at Windspeed; is that correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And does that email state, "I am going</p> <p>7 to insist either one of you guys to pay for a tax filing</p> <p>8 for ACET so all these can be handled"?</p> <p>9 A. I see that.</p> <p>10 Q. And then does he state, "Majority of this</p> <p>11 sales were made when the company was still ACET and has</p> <p>12 very little to do with Windspeed"; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A. That's what the email says.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And does it, after that, state, "A good</p> <p>15 portion of the money we got as Windspeed was used to pay</p> <p>16 for debts accumulated with ACET, and I simply do not</p> <p>17 have money to spend for ACET anymore"?</p> <p>18 A. It reads that way.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Does it appear that my reading is</p> <p>20 pretty good and accurate?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. What is this email about?</p> <p>23 A. I'll have to read the whole email chain. I</p> <p>24 don't know what it's about.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Let me -- let's go to the email</p>

Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 directly above that where you responded. Let's see if 2 that's helpful. Do you see that, sir?</p> <p>3 A. At 8:19 a.m.?</p> <p>4 Q. At 8:14 a.m., your email responding.</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I see that.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Does it state, "The tax filing can be 7 paid by Baymark, but will need to be completed by 8 you/Jane"?</p> <p>9 A. It reads that way.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And is that, in fact, what it says?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, that's what it says.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And then does it say, "The books and 13 records aren't with us. It's my mistake for not 14 allocating some of the proceeds to budget for this, and 15 I've put myself in an unfortunate position"? Is that 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. That's what it reads.</p> <p>18 Q. Why were you in an unfortunate position?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. You don't know what this email means?</p> <p>21 A. I don't.</p> <p>22 Q. You don't recall this email whatsoever?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And you don't recall ever reporting a 25 \$69,000 management fee expense on your personal tax</p>	<p>1 (Exhibit 5 marked.)</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And is this -- does this 3 appear to be correspondence between William Szeto, 4 bill@windspeedtrading.com and you?</p> <p>5 A. I'm going to scroll down and look at the full 6 email first. What was the question?</p> <p>7 Q. Does this appear to be email correspondence 8 between William Szeto and you?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And is this in February -- late 11 February of 2019; is that correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And what's the subject line of this 14 correspondence? Is the subject line "monthly financial 15 statement"?</p> <p>16 A. The subject line is "re: Monthly financial 17 statement - 2019 - 01."</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. What does that refer to?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. You don't know what those monthly financial 21 statements are?</p> <p>22 A. I'm not sure. I'd have to see what the 23 financial statements were.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you receive monthly financial statements 25 from Bill Szeto and Windspeed Trading in 2019?</p>
<p>1 return related to ACET Global?</p> <p>2 A. I'd have to look at my tax return.</p> <p>3 Q. Does that seem like something you would 4 remember?</p> <p>5 A. Not necessarily.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Did you instruct Windspeed to combine 7 Windspeed financial reports with ACET Global's financial 8 reports?</p> <p>9 A. Don't think so.</p> <p>10 Q. You don't think you did?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Is there any reason you might have ever asked 13 for that?</p> <p>14 A. Not that I can recall.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Are you pretty certain you did not?</p> <p>16 A. Not that I can recall.</p> <p>17 Q. Given that Windspeed was a completely separate 18 company, is there any reason you would have asked for 19 that?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. Not that I can recall.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. I'm putting on the 23 screen what's marked as Exhibit 5. Do you see that, 24 sir?</p> <p>25 A. I see it.</p>	<p>1 A. Bill Szeto would have shared financial 2 statements with me, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you receive them on a monthly basis?</p> <p>4 A. I'm not sure I did, but I received them 5 periodically.</p> <p>6 Q. And did you want those financial statements to 7 report -- to report ACET Global and Windspeed on a 8 combined basis?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. No reason you would have wanted that, is 11 there?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. If you'll look to the second page of 14 this exhibit, does that appear to be an email from Matt 15 Denegre of Baymark Partners?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And does that email from you to 18 bill@windspeedtrading.com state, "Can Jane combine the 19 January report with the historical monthly financials?" 20 Is that correct?</p> <p>21 A. That's how it reads.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. What were you referring to?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And you state there, "That way we can 25 start to build up a TTM and also see trends."</p>

Page 122	Page 124
1 Do you know what that refers to, or do you 2 not remember?	1 A. I don't recall this email, so I have no 2 opinion on it.
3 A. I don't recall.	3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. After the 4 transaction, you know, this transition from ACET Global 5 to Windspeed, why was ACET Global still open?
6 Q. Okay. Do you remember how Bill responded to 7 this?	6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
8 A. I haven't seen these emails. I don't remember 9 what these emails say.	7 A. I don't understand the question.
10 Q. Okay. If you look to the response right above 11 that.	8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, after Windspeed was 9 started, why was ACET Global still open?
12 A. Okay.	10 A. They're two separate companies. Why would -- 11 that's my answer. I don't know why they'd be related.
13 Q. Bill Szeto to you, February 28th, 2019, at 14 12:16 p.m., do you see that?	12 Q. Completely unrelated?
15 A. Yes.	13 A. Yes.
16 Q. And does he say, "I told her not to. I do not 17 want to mix the ACET results together with the Windspeed 18 results in a single report. The January report is a 19 pure Windspeed report and is not part of ACET combined 20 report."	14 Q. Okay. Did ACET Global have any operations at 21 that point?
21 Do you know what any of that is referring 22 to?	15 A. What time are we talking about?
23 A. I don't.	16 Q. After Windspeed Trading, LLC, was formed.
24 Q. Is there any reason you would have responded 25 and still wanted them combined?	17 A. And when was that?
25 A. I don't know how I responded to this.	18 Q. Well, let's call that September 2018.
25 Q. Okay. If you'll look right above that and	19 A. Assuming that date is correct and ACET Global 20 would be operating at that time.
	21 Q. Okay. Would you expect Windspeed to continue 22 operating in October of 2018?
	23 A. I have no opinion on that.
	24 Q. Okay. Why is that?
Page 123	Page 125
1 look at your response on February 28th, 2019, at 2 12:19 p.m., three minutes later, you state, "I would 3 still include it." Do you see that?	1 A. Because I have no -- how would I know?
4 A. I see that.	2 Q. You wouldn't know if ACET Global was operating 3 in October of 2018?
5 Q. Why did you say that?	4 A. I'm sorry. I misunderstood your question. My 5 apologies. I thought you were referring to Windspeed. 6 So the question was again?
6 A. I don't know.	7 Q. Question was: Was ACET Global operating in 8 October of 2018?
7 Q. There's no reason you can think of for why you 8 would have wanted that included still?	9 A. Yes.
9 A. It would be speculating for me to say.	10 Q. Okay. Why was it operating?
10 Q. Did Bill agree to that?	11 A. Because it was in business, operating.
11 A. I don't know.	12 Q. Okay. What about -- what about as of going 13 into March, April 2019, why was ACET Global -- why was 14 it still open?
12 Q. Okay. Is it possible you wanted these 13 combined because they were the same business?	15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
14 A. I don't know.	16 A. I wasn't aware -- I'm not sure it was open or 17 not at that date.
15 Q. You don't know if they were the same business?	18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. There wasn't any 19 reason you know of for it to be open?
16 A. I know they weren't the same business. They 17 were independent companies.	20 A. Define "open." I don't --
18 Q. Okay. Independent companies, but you wanted 19 their financial reporting on a combined basis?	21 Q. Well, not closed.
20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	22 A. I can't answer the question. I don't have an 23 opinion on it.
21 MR. FREEMAN: What's the objection?	24 (Exhibit 6 marked.)
22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Didn't you mention
23 MR. FREEMAN: Basis?	
24 MR. PERRIN: Basis is the question is 25 vague and misleading and mischaracterizes his testimony.	

Page 126	Page 128
<p>1 earlier that you had recently retrieved bank statements 2 for ACET Global?</p> <p>3 A. In March 2021, I requested bank statements for 4 ACET Global for the period of 2018 and parts of 2017.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So is it your understanding the entity 6 still exists?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. You don't know? I'm asking if you'll look at 9 your screen. What's on there is Exhibit 6. Do you see 10 that, sir?</p> <p>11 A. Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. Are you familiar with this document?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not.</p> <p>14 Q. Does this appear to be an email from you?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. An email from you to Tomer Damti on 17 March 20th, 2019?</p> <p>18 A. Yep.</p> <p>19 Q. Is the subject line "ACET K-1"?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>21 Q. And does your email to Tomer Damti state that, 22 "The business operations are closed (no activity); 23 however, the entity is still open for liability 24 protection"?</p> <p>25 A. Was that a question?</p>	<p>1 Mr. Damti?</p> <p>2 A. I assume it is.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Can you walk me through Super G 4 Capital's foreclosure of ACET Global's assets?</p> <p>5 A. I can't.</p> <p>6 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know all the specifics to it.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Tell me what you do know. What 9 happened?</p> <p>10 A. Super G foreclosed on ACET Global's assets.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And why?</p> <p>12 A. ACET Global -- well, you'd have to ask Super 13 G. I'm not sure why. I can't speak for them.</p> <p>14 Q. What's your understanding of why?</p> <p>15 A. The company was in default, and that was one 16 of Super G's remedies, was to foreclose on the business.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Why did you believe the foreclosure was 18 important?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. When did I indicate that?</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, did you believe it was 22 important?</p> <p>23 A. I'm not sure I have an answer to that.</p> <p>24 Q. Why do you not have an answer to that?</p> <p>25 A. It was important for closure on the business.</p>
<p>1 Q. It is.</p> <p>2 A. What was the question?</p> <p>3 Q. Does your email to Tomer Damti -- did you 4 state, "The business operations are closed, (no 5 activity); however, the entity is still open for 6 liability protection"?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. What did you mean by that?</p> <p>9 A. I think it means exactly what it says.</p> <p>10 Q. And what does that mean?</p> <p>11 A. The business operations are closed, no 12 activity; however, the entity is still open for 13 liability protection.</p> <p>14 Q. And what did you mean by that?</p> <p>15 A. Exactly what it says.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Can you elaborate?</p> <p>17 A. There's nothing to elaborate to that.</p> <p>18 Q. What does "open" mean? Can you define it?</p> <p>19 A. Not closed.</p> <p>20 Q. That definition makes sense, doesn't it?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Is that a question, counsel?</p> <p>22 MR. FREEMAN: It is.</p> <p>23 A. It's the opposite of open, so I suppose.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Is this, in fact, a 25 true and correct copy of the email that you sent to</p>	<p>1 MR. LUDLOW: Matthew, shut the fuck up.</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Excuse me?</p> <p>3 MR. FREEMAN: Oh, my God.</p> <p>4 MS. HARD-WILSON: Who was that?</p> <p>5 MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to --</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Who was that?</p> <p>7 MR. FREEMAN: Who was that? I'm going to 8 get chambers involved here. I need to know who that 9 was.</p> <p>10 MR. PERRIN: Karen, did you see anybody's 11 square light up?</p> <p>12 THE REPORTER: The -- I didn't see 13 anybody's square light up, but I saw that Mr. Ludlow's 14 box was unmuted and then became muted again, is what I 15 saw.</p> <p>16 MR. LUDLOW: Yeah, it's unmuted now.</p> <p>17 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. That sounds like the 18 same voice.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, have you 20 discussed your deposition with anyone?</p> <p>21 A. Our attorneys.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you discuss your deposition with Anthony 23 Ludlow?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. What did you discuss with Mr. Ludlow?</p>

Page 130	Page 132
<p>1 A. Mr. Ludlow suggested to tell the truth, to 2 pause after every question, make sure -- 3 Q. Did he ever ask you to shut the fuck up? 4 A. Not that I'm aware of. 5 Q. Did he ever -- was there ever a topic where he 6 told you you should shut the fuck up? 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 8 A. Not that I'm aware of. 9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you have any idea why he 10 would want you to shut the fuck up about any particular 11 topic? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 A. I'm not certain he was talking to me. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Who would he have been 15 talking to? 16 A. I don't know. 17 Q. Okay. He represented earlier on this 18 deposition that there was no one else in the room with 19 him. Do you recall that? 20 A. I don't recall that. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you know who was talking 22 when he stated that? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. It was either you or me. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you -- what did</p>	<p>1 A. In my office. 2 Q. Okay. And where was he? 3 A. In my office. 4 Q. Okay. And what day was that? 5 A. I don't remember. 6 Q. Was there anyone else there? 7 A. No. 8 Q. How long was that conversation? 9 A. It was less than five minutes. 10 Q. Okay. Less than five minutes; that's all 11 you've had for discussions with Mr. Ludlow? 12 A. Regarding the deposition? 13 Q. Correct? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Okay. 16 MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to take a 17 five-minute break off the record. (Break taken from 2:10 p.m. to 2:20 p.m.) 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, just before we 19 continue, do you want to take a moment to correct any of 20 your prior testimony? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Okay. So as we sit here at this moment, you 23 believe -- you maintain that all of your testimony to 24 this point in this deposition has been true and your</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p> <p>1 you discuss specifically with Mr. Ludlow? 2 A. As I just mentioned, to the tell truth, take a 3 pause after every question, make sure I understand the 4 question, respond. 5 Q. Did he ask you to take a really extended 6 pause? 7 A. Not that I'm aware of. 8 Q. Did he ask you to tell the truth about every 9 single topic? 10 A. He said to tell the truth. 11 Q. Was there any specific topic that you talked 12 about? 13 A. No. 14 Q. You didn't discuss any particular areas that 15 may come up in your deposition? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Nothing? That's your sworn testimony under 18 penalty of perjury, sir? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. When did you discuss your deposition 21 with Anthony Ludlow? 22 A. Probably two weeks ago. 23 Q. And that's the only time you discussed it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. And where were you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p> <p>1 best testimony? 2 A. I do. 3 Q. Okay. And I have noticed you've looked off to 4 the side several times during this deposition -- 5 A. This is for my ear. I have hard hearing, so I 6 have to turn it to the speaker to hear you. 7 Q. Okay. 8 MR. PERRIN: Jason -- 9 A. This is a window. I'm just looking right out 10 the window. 11 MR. PERRIN: That is the window, and I'm 12 on this side. 13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And do you have any 14 ear devices in? 15 A. I'm sorry? 16 Q. Do you have -- 17 A. Have a hearing aid? 18 Q. -- any devices in your ear? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, can you walk me through 21 Super G's foreclosure of ACET Global's assets? 22 A. I can't. 23 Q. And why is that? 24 A. Because I don't know about the foreclosure. 25 Q. Okay. Why do you not know about the</p>

Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 foreclosure?</p> <p>2 A. Because I didn't do the foreclosure.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you know who did?</p> <p>4 A. I assume we're referring to Super G</p> <p>5 foreclosing on ACET?</p> <p>6 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 A. Then I would assume Super G did the</p> <p>8 foreclosure.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Is there another foreclosure that is</p> <p>10 relevant to this topic?</p> <p>11 A. I want to be specific with everything I tell</p> <p>12 you, and that's why I'm asking.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. What do you know about what happened in</p> <p>14 that foreclosure?</p> <p>15 A. Super G foreclosed on ACET.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And why?</p> <p>17 A. You would have to ask Super G that.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. You don't know why? No reason to know?</p> <p>19 A. I didn't do the foreclosure.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Why do you believe the foreclosure</p> <p>21 occurred?</p> <p>22 A. I assume Super G felt it was in their best</p> <p>23 interest to do a foreclosure. But, again, you'd have to</p> <p>24 ask Super G.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Do you believe the foreclosure was</p>	<p>1 A. Speaking personally, it was taking up -- ACET</p> <p>2 Global was taking up a lot of my time, but I don't have</p> <p>3 an opinion on the foreclosure.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Was Baymark Partners</p> <p>5 or were you involved in the foreclosure?</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>7 A. Who was -- my understanding of the foreclosure</p> <p>8 was between Super G and ACET Global. I'm not -- so I</p> <p>9 don't know why Baymark would be involved in that.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I don't either. But I'm</p> <p>11 wondering, was Baymark involved in any way?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was Baymark Partners or were</p> <p>15 you involved in the preparation of any documents related</p> <p>16 to that foreclosure?</p> <p>17 A. Super G had requested a list of assets when</p> <p>18 ACET Global was in default. I sent those lists to ACET</p> <p>19 Global -- or to Super G.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Did you have any conversations with</p> <p>21 Super G about the foreclosure?</p> <p>22 A. There may have been, but I don't remember</p> <p>23 specifics.</p> <p>24 Q. What leads you to believe there may have been?</p> <p>25 A. Well, I had phone calls with Super G to</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p> <p>1 important?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. It was important to Super G to have the</p> <p>4 foreclosure done.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And why?</p> <p>6 A. Well, I'm assuming they wouldn't have done it</p> <p>7 if it wasn't important.</p> <p>8 Q. Was it important to Baymark Partners?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Why not?</p> <p>11 A. I wasn't aware that it would be important. So</p> <p>12 I can't comment on it.</p> <p>13 Q. Were creditors coming after ACET?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, there were -- at some point, there were</p> <p>15 creditors, mainly shipping companies, that hadn't been</p> <p>16 paid.</p> <p>17 Q. Did you ever want there to be a foreclosure so</p> <p>18 you could solve that problem?</p> <p>19 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Did we discuss this topic earlier?</p> <p>21 A. If you could remind me.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Was there -- there was no reason,</p> <p>23 though, that you or Baymark Partners felt the</p> <p>24 foreclosure would be helpful?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p> <p>1 discuss ACET Global and the position that ACET Global</p> <p>2 was in, and so the foreclosure by Super G may have been</p> <p>3 brought up in those conversations. I really can't</p> <p>4 recall.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. When were those conversations?</p> <p>6 A. This would have been late 2018.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Like, December, January -- I mean,</p> <p>8 November?</p> <p>9 A. That's most likely.</p> <p>10 Q. And what specifically did you discuss about</p> <p>11 foreclosure?</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>13 Q. Who would those discussions have been with?</p> <p>14 A. Super G had Steve Bellah as their contact</p> <p>15 person. So it would have been likely between Steve</p> <p>16 Bellah.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell Mr. Bellah you wanted</p> <p>18 to discuss how to move forward with foreclosure?</p> <p>19 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, I'm putting on your screen</p> <p>21 what's marked as Exhibit 7. Do you see that, sir?</p> <p>22 A. Okay.</p> <p>23 (Exhibit 7 marked.)</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you recognize this</p> <p>25 document, sir?</p>

Page 138	Page 140
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. You don't recognize this?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Let me look over your</p> <p>4 shoulder. Okay.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, is this an</p> <p>6 email from you to Steven Bellah of Super G Capital on</p> <p>7 October 23rd, 2018?</p> <p>8 A. It appears that way.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. And is it, in fact, exactly what I</p> <p>10 stated?</p> <p>11 A. What did you state?</p> <p>12 Q. Stated that this is an email from you, Matt</p> <p>13 Denegre, to Steven Bellah of Super G Capital on</p> <p>14 October 23rd, 2018; is that correct?</p> <p>15 A. I'm not certain that Steve Bellah is in Super</p> <p>16 G's capacity. I don't know. I don't see his email</p> <p>17 behind it.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. What leads you to believe he's not in</p> <p>19 his Super G capacity?</p> <p>20 A. Because I can't see his email.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Was he in another capacity?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Did he work for Baymark Partners?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Did he work with any company that was</p>	<p>1 discuss next steps for ACET Global and get your thoughts</p> <p>2 on how to move forward with foreclosure"? Did I read</p> <p>3 that correctly?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. What does that mean?</p> <p>6 A. It means exactly that.</p> <p>7 Q. And what is that?</p> <p>8 A. I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET Global</p> <p>9 and get your thoughts on how to move forward with</p> <p>10 foreclosure.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Why did you want to get his thoughts on</p> <p>12 how to move forward with foreclosure?</p> <p>13 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>14 Q. Was he hesitant to do a foreclosure?</p> <p>15 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>16 Q. Did Super G not want to do a foreclosure?</p> <p>17 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you keep after them to do a foreclosure?</p> <p>19 A. Can't recall.</p> <p>20 Q. You can't recall? You don't recall this email</p> <p>21 at all?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. And you don't recall any discussions about</p> <p>24 ACET Global's foreclosure?</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall specifics.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 associated with Baymark Partners?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. Was he an agent of Baymark Partners?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Well, what other capacity was he working in?</p> <p>6 A. I don't know. I'd have to see the email</p> <p>7 address behind it.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Did you have any business with him</p> <p>9 through any other entity?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you correspond with him through some other</p> <p>12 entity?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Did you know him to be an employee of</p> <p>15 Super G Capital?</p> <p>16 A. I did.</p> <p>17 Q. Throughout the time that you had dealt with</p> <p>18 him with respect to ACET Global, had he been an employee</p> <p>19 of Super G Capital?</p> <p>20 A. I can assume he was. I don't know that for</p> <p>21 certain.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Is the subject matter line of this</p> <p>23 email address "ACET"?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And did you say, "Steve, I'd like to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p> <p>1 Q. And weren't there, in fact, a bunch more</p> <p>2 emails about ACET Global's foreclosure?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall that.</p> <p>4 Q. You don't recall any emails related to ACET</p> <p>5 Global's foreclosure?</p> <p>6 A. I don't.</p> <p>7 Q. Or any discussions with Steve Bellah about</p> <p>8 ACET Global's foreclosure?</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall those.</p> <p>10 Q. Perhaps at 4:00 o'clock on October 23rd, 2018?</p> <p>11 A. No, I don't recall that.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And you don't know what you would have</p> <p>13 discussed with Steven Bellah?</p> <p>14 A. On that specific time, on that date, no.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Did Steven Bellah work for any other</p> <p>16 company that was in a position to foreclose on ACET</p> <p>17 Global?</p> <p>18 A. I can't speak for Steven Bellah's position.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Were you aware of him being in any</p> <p>20 other -- with any other company that was in a position</p> <p>21 to foreclose on ACET Global?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know if he worked for D&T Partners?</p> <p>24 A. I'm sorry, who?</p> <p>25 Q. D&T Partners.</p>

Page 142	Page 144
<p>1 A. I don't know if he worked for D&T Partners. I 2 assume he did not. 3 Q. Okay. You wouldn't have any reason to believe 4 that, right? 5 A. I wouldn't. 6 Q. But you wouldn't know of any other creditor 7 besides Super G or D&T Partners that was in a position 8 to foreclose on ACET Global's assets? 9 A. I don't know. 10 Q. Okay. 11 (Exhibit 8 marked.) 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting up 13 on the screen Exhibit 8. Do you recognize this 14 document? 15 MR. PERRIN: Jason? 16 MR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir. 17 MR. PERRIN: Can I have short break for 18 about two minutes? 19 MR. FREEMAN: Sure. 20 (Break taken from 2:34 p.m. to 2:38 p.m.) 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, we were -- 22 let's see -- I'm putting on the screen what's marked as 23 Exhibit 8. Do you see that, sir? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. And is this an email -- at the top, is</p>	<p>1 correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Why was Bill Szeto preparing the inventory 4 list? 5 A. Bill would be the only contact that I would 6 have that would have that information. 7 Q. Okay. You wouldn't have that information? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ask for that inventory list? 10 A. Super G -- I asked for it in this email, yes. 11 Q. Had you asked for it before? 12 A. For ACET's inventory list? 13 Q. Yes, sir. 14 A. I'm sure I have. 15 Q. If you look below that, there's an email from 16 November 2nd, 2018, in which Mr. Szeto had sent -- 17 there's an email exchange between you, Mr. Steve Bellah 18 and Bill Szeto. And for sake of clarity, Steve Bellah's 19 email address is spelled out as steve@supergcapital.com. 20 Do you see that? 21 A. I see that. 22 Q. And is the subject line of that email, "ACET 23 fixed assets, inventory list"?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p> <p>1 this an email from Bill Szeto at Windspeed to you? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. Was this from December 19th, 2018? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And does the subject line state, "ACET fixed 6 assets, inventory list"?" 7 A. It does. 8 Q. Okay. And what was this email about? 9 A. I'm not sure. I'd have to read the entire 10 email. 11 Q. Okay. Scan through it. You have it there. 12 In fact, we can -- we can go down towards the bottom. 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. The first email in which you've -- you make a 15 statement is December 18th, 2018, at 5:00 o'clock p.m. 16 Is that to William Szeto? 17 A. I'm sorry. Which one are you highlighting? 18 Q. It's -- it is Exhibit 8, the second page at 19 the bottom. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And it's dated December 18th, 2018. 22 A. I see that. 23 Q. Okay. And did you state, "Bill, can you 24 update the sellable inventory list for ACET inventory; 25 we are updating the foreclosure documents"? Is that</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p> <p>1 A. Yes, it was. 2 Q. Okay. Do you know why you were emailing about 3 that? 4 A. I don't recall. And it would be speculating 5 if I made a call on it. 6 Q. Okay. But you don't remember -- you don't 7 remember having any email correspondence regarding the 8 ACET foreclosure? 9 A. Well, Super G had requested some documents 10 around ACET's assets. And so -- 11 Q. Did they do that -- 12 A. I'm sorry? 13 Q. Go ahead. 14 A. And so there would be an email correspondence 15 between me and Super G sending these lists. 16 Q. Did they ask for this by phone? 17 A. I don't remember. 18 Q. Do you remember having any phone conversations 19 with Steve Bellah or anyone else at Super G in December 20 of 2018? 21 A. There may have been. I don't recall the 22 specifics and what those calls were, if there were any. 23 Q. Okay. What else would have prompted you to 24 ask William Szeto at Windspeed Trading for an updated 25 list of ACET's inventory?</p>

Page 146	Page 148
<p>1 A. I don't think there would have been anything 2 else to ask for other than it was requested by Super G. 3 Q. Okay. And what did you mean by "We are 4 updating the foreclosure documents"? 5 A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what documents 6 those are. 7 Q. When did you first start preparing foreclosure 8 documents? 9 A. I don't know. 10 Q. Do you know what documents you were updating? 11 A. I don't. 12 Q. You don't know what you're referring to? 13 A. I do not. 14 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, I'll show you what's 15 listed as Exhibit 9. 16 (Exhibit 9 marked.) 17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you see that, sir? Do 18 you recognize that document? 19 A. I don't recognize this specific email. 20 Q. Okay. Does that appear to be an email from 21 William Szeto at Windspeed Trading to you? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And does that appear to be an email from him 24 to you on January 2nd, 2019? 25 A. Yes, it does.</p>	<p>1 Q. What business activities do you recall ACET 2 Global being engaged in from October 2018 on? 3 A. Oh, I would assume the business was involved 4 in some activity, whether it was selling -- trying to 5 sell product. 6 Q. Something that would necessitate a weekly 7 report? 8 A. I don't think I was receiving weekly reports 9 from ACET at this point. 10 Q. Okay. Well, if not from ACET, who might 11 this -- what company might this weekly report that's 12 being sent to you from Windspeed Trading be about? 13 A. Is there a report I could look at? I really 14 don't recall what this report is. 15 Q. Okay. Well, let's look at what you said in 16 the email here. It says, "Bill, let's catch up today." 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. It says, "Super G sent terms for the 19 assumption of the ACET note below." 20 What does that mean? 21 A. It means what it says. 22 Q. But I don't understand what that means. Can 23 you explain it to me? 24 A. Super G sent terms for the assumption of the 25 ACET note below.</p>
<p>1 Q. Okay. And does he say, "Matt, we can talk 2 now?" 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And what was the subject of -- did you, in 5 fact, have a conversation with him following this email? 6 A. Well, I need to read the entire email to 7 understand what this is about. 8 Q. Let's look below it. There's an email from 9 you, mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com, to William Szeto, 10 bill@windspeedtrading.com, on January 2nd, 2019. Do you 11 see that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And does the subject line state, "Weekly 14 report"? 15 A. Yes, it does. 16 Q. Do you know what that weekly report was? 17 A. I don't. 18 Q. And did you, in fact, ever receive weekly 19 reports? 20 A. From who? 21 Q. From Windspeed. 22 A. I can't say for certain. 23 Q. Was ACET Global even operating at this point in time? 24 A. I can't say for certain.</p>	<p>1 Q. Who was going to assume the ACET note? 2 A. I don't know. 3 Q. Why were you involved in that discussion? 4 A. I had a relationship with Bill through ACET 5 Global, and also speaking through Super G. So I presume 6 I was involved in these discussions. 7 Q. But you don't remember being involved in those 8 discussions? 9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 A. I don't remember the specifics. 11 Unfortunately, I don't. 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you go on to say, "Once 13 we get this finalized, they will send out foreclosure 14 notices"? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Who was going to send out foreclosure 17 notices? 18 A. I would -- I would assume it was Super G that 19 would send the foreclosure notice. 20 Q. And who would they send a foreclosure notice to? 21 A. It would be to ACET Global. 22 Q. Okay. To inform ACET Global that they were 23 foreclosing on its assets? 24 A. Yes.</p>

Page 150	Page 152
<p>1 Q. Okay. Did you see that foreclosure notice 2 before it went out?</p> <p>3 A. I think I saw a draft of it.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you, in fact, give input to that draft?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you know if Baymark Partners gave input to 7 that draft?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>9 Q. But the date of this email to Mr. Szeto from 10 you is January 2nd, 2019; is that correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Is this indicating that the terms of the loan 13 were determined prior to a foreclosure notice even being 14 sent out?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know what this loan is referring to.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Let's go down a little further in this 17 Exhibit 9. On your screen is an email from William 18 Szeto to you a few days earlier, December 31st, 2018. 19 Is that -- do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. At 2:00 o'clock? 2:07?</p> <p>21 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And does he state to you, "FYI, the low 24 cash in bank is due mainly to the payment on payroll tax 25 and payment to Super G for ACET Global"?</p>	<p>1 A. It does.</p> <p>2 Q. Who is Alex Godinez, sir?</p> <p>3 A. He worked -- he works or worked at Super G 4 Capital.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And did you correspond with him 6 regularly?</p> <p>7 A. No. He was -- I didn't, no.</p> <p>8 Q. No? How frequently?</p> <p>9 A. Around this time, a bit more often. I don't 10 know if he may have replaced someone at Super G, but...</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Around this late January time frame?</p> <p>12 A. I would say late 2018, first half of 2019.</p> <p>13 Q. Why were you corresponding with him a lot more 14 at this time?</p> <p>15 A. Well, we had -- he knew myself and Baymark and 16 Bill Szeto, so he was -- we were all kept in the loop on 17 stuff, and I was trying to help out.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Was something pretty important going on 19 during that time?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You don't recall if anything 23 important was going on in, let's call it, January 24 of 2019?</p> <p>25 A. I'm sure something important was going on. I</p>
<p>1 A. Yes, he does.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know who was paying for ACET Global?</p> <p>3 A. I don't. I'd have to look at the bank 4 statements.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have any idea why William Szeto at 6 Windspeed Trading would be giving you this information 7 about ACET Global?</p> <p>8 A. I don't.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. But you don't remember any of this ever 10 occurring?</p> <p>11 A. Specifically, I do not.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that these 13 emails are not what they purport to be?</p> <p>14 A. I believe these emails are actual emails that 15 were sent.</p> <p>16 Q. And do you believe these are true and correct 17 copies of the emails that are reflected on here?</p> <p>18 A. I do.</p> <p>19 (Exhibit 10 marked.)</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm showing you 21 what's marked as Exhibit 10 on your screen. Do you see 22 this, sir?</p> <p>23 A. Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. And does this appear to be an email from you, 25 Matt Denegre, to Alex Godinez on January 29th, 2019?</p>	<p>1 don't know what it is, though.</p> <p>2 Q. Something that might have had significant 3 impact to ACET Global?</p> <p>4 A. Well, we were in default at that time.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. You or ACET Global, y'all were in 6 default?</p> <p>7 A. ACET Global was in default.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And had it been in default for a while?</p> <p>9 A. I am not sure of the specific date that we 10 were in default, but --</p> <p>11 Q. Was --</p> <p>12 A. Go ahead.</p> <p>13 Q. Was it first in default back in April of 2018?</p> <p>14 A. I don't know. I can't --</p> <p>15 Q. Was it possibly in default every month 16 thereafter?</p> <p>17 A. It's certainly possible.</p> <p>18 Q. So what was so important that was going on in 19 January of 2019?</p> <p>20 A. Well, the company, Super G, had foreclosed, 21 and I believe they were going to foreclose on -- the 22 company were in default, and then there was a 23 foreclosure.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Had they actually foreclosed at the 25 time of this email?</p>

Page 154	Page 156
<p>1 A. I'm not for certain when the foreclosure 2 actually occurred. 3 Q. And if they had foreclosed at this time -- 4 A. Uh-huh. 5 Q. -- since you didn't have anything to do with 6 Windspeed, what business would you have had 7 corresponding with them? 8 A. Well, Super G didn't have much of a 9 relationship with Bill Szeto, and we had known Bill 10 prior to whatever date this is, so Super G wanted us to 11 stay involved. 12 Q. Did you work for Super G? 13 A. I've never worked for Super G. 14 Q. Did you work for SG Capital Partners? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Okay. What's the -- what is the -- well, let 17 me read it to you and ask -- tell me if this is correct. 18 The subject line here on your email to Alex Godinez on 19 January 29th, 2019, says, "Windspeed/ACET"; is that 20 correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. What's the relationship between Windspeed and 23 ACET? 24 A. There's two independent companies. I believe 25 Super G was the lender on both companies.</p>	<p>1 the actual foreclosure agreement? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Okay. And nothing to do with the foreclosure 4 notices? 5 A. I'm fairly certain foreclosure notices were 6 sent out by Super G. 7 Q. Okay. And you didn't need -- you didn't need 8 anything to finalize the foreclosure agreement, did you? 9 A. I'm not aware of that, no. 10 Q. Was Baymark Partners working on the 11 foreclosure agreement? 12 A. I don't know. 13 Q. Was Windspeed Trading working on the 14 foreclosure agreement? 15 A. I don't -- I don't know for certain, but I 16 don't think they would be. 17 Q. Okay. Let's go to the -- your response, which 18 is highlighted on your screen. It's from Matt Denegre. 19 It's mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com, January 29th, 2019, 20 at 3:26 p.m. to Alex Godinez of Super G Capital. Still 21 the same subject line, "Windspeed/ACET." 22 Did I get all that correct? 23 A. Yes, you did. 24 Q. And does it state, "Alex, we still need the 25 dates on when the foreclosure notice got sent out or</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p> <p>1 Q. So that's really the only relationship between 2 them? 3 A. Think so. 4 Q. Okay. Let's go down here to the bottom, sir. 5 There's an email here from Alex Godinez on January 29th, 6 2019, at 5:29 -- 5:23 p.m. to Matt Denegre at 7 baymarkpartners.com. Is that you, sir? 8 A. Yes, it is. 9 Q. Okay. And this email from Alex Godinez at 10 Super G Capital to you with the subject line 11 "Windspeed/ACET" says, "Hi Matt, just wanted to 12 follow-up on status for the final version of the 13 foreclosure agreement. Are we good to finalize?" 14 Did I read that correct, sir? 15 A. You did. 16 Q. Okay. What is that referring to? 17 A. It's referring to a foreclosure agreement, and 18 I'm not even quite sure what that foreclosure is. I'm 19 assuming it's related to Super G's foreclosure on ACET. 20 Q. Okay. Did you have anything to do with that 21 foreclosure agreement? 22 A. I don't think so, other than I provided 23 information to Super G when they requested information 24 about the assets. 25 Q. Okay. But you didn't have anything to do with</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p> <p>1 when you plan to send them out, then we can finalize." 2 Did I read that correct? 3 A. Yes, you did. 4 Q. Okay. What did you mean by that? 5 A. I'm not sure other than what's being said 6 here. 7 Q. Okay. You don't even -- you don't really know 8 what this means? 9 A. I'm not sure what this is specifically related 10 to other than what it says. 11 Q. What do you mean by, "We can finalize"? 12 A. I don't know. 13 Q. Okay. And what foreclosure notice are we 14 talking about there? Which one were you talking about? 15 A. I don't know what notice this is referring to. 16 Q. Okay. Were you aware of -- do you remember 17 any other foreclosure process that you've been 18 associated with? 19 A. No -- yeah. So this would be related to Super 20 G's foreclosure -- I have to assume that -- on ACET 21 Global. But I'm not sure of a specific notice, what 22 that is. 23 Q. Okay. And does the subject line 24 "Windspeed/ACET," does that help you remember at all? 25 A. Remember what specifically?</p>

Page 158	Page 160
<p>1 Q. You know, what foreclosure we're talking about 2 here. 3 A. This is Super G's foreclosure of ACET Global. 4 Q. Of ACET Global? 5 A. Yeah. 6 Q. Okay. And above that, did Mr. Godinez respond 7 back to you and say, "Okay, I don't believe that was 8 communicated with me; let me follow up with Steve"? 9 A. Yes, I see that. 10 Q. Okay. Is he referring to Steve Bellah? 11 A. I would assume that. 12 Q. And does that mean that as of January 29th, 13 2019, Steve Bellah was still working with Super G 14 Capital? 15 A. I don't know if he was or not at that time. 16 Q. Okay. And do you know why he would have been 17 informing Alex Godinez of the foreclosure process? 18 A. I'm sorry. Say that again. 19 Q. Do you know why you would have been involving 20 Alex Godinez about the foreclosure process? 21 A. Well, again, Super G had asked for ACET Global 22 items on -- for the foreclosure, so I would imagine I 23 was involved in some of that. And those emails show 24 that. 25 Q. Okay. Did Super G Capital ever take</p>	<p>1 physical possession of the inventory? 2 A. Not that I'm aware of. 3 Q. Did they ever have physical possession of any 4 assets of ACET Global? 5 A. No, I don't think so. 6 Q. Okay. With respect to the amended and 7 restated loan of Windspeed Trading, how was the amount 8 of that loan determined? 9 A. I don't know. 10 Q. Were you involved in that process? 11 A. I may have been. 12 Q. Does a figure around 514,000, does that sound 13 about right? 14 A. That sounds about -- approximately right. I 15 am not sure of the specifics, but sounds about right. 16 Q. Let's call it -- for sake of both our sanity, 17 let's call it 514,000. How was that number arrived at? 18 A. It would be speculating if I were to make a 19 statement on that. I don't know. 20 Q. Were you involved at all in the process of 21 arriving at that number? 22 A. I may have been. I don't -- I'd have to go 23 back and look. I don't know. 24 Q. Okay. Did you believe that to be the value of 25 the assets that Windspeed Trading was purchasing from</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p> <p>1 possession of ACET Global's inventory? 2 A. I'm not sure. I don't -- are you referring to 3 the legal possession? Physical possession? 4 Q. Well, you can -- you can clarify what you mean 5 in how you answer it. And you're free to use any -- any 6 definition you want of possession. Just tell me what 7 you mean by it. 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. I don't believe Super G ever took physical 10 ownership of those assets. They may have had legal 11 ownership of those. 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And as far as the legal side 13 of it goes, is it fair to say that's something you 14 wouldn't be in a position to say one way or the other 15 about? 16 A. Well, I know we were in default -- ACET Global 17 was in default. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. And I believe that was the remedy of Super G. 20 Q. Okay. So you think they had legal possession 21 of ACET Global's inventory? 22 A. I don't know for certain. But if I had to 23 assume, yes, I believe they would probably have that 24 right. 25 Q. Okay. But you don't believe they ever had</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p> <p>1 Super G? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 A. Do I believe that that is the assets -- I have 4 no opinion on that. 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Windspeed Trading 6 ever acquire physical possession of the inventory from 7 Super G Capital? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. What do you believe to be the answer to that? 10 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 11 A. Did Windspeed ever acquire physical possession 12 of the assets from Super G? 13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir. The assets of 14 ACET Global. 15 A. I believe they, at one point, purchased those 16 assets. That's a fair assumption. 17 Q. Okay. Let's break it down then. Where did 18 Windspeed obtain its physical possession of ACET 19 Global's assets from? 20 A. Can you be a bit more clear of what the 21 question is? I'm not following you. 22 Q. Yeah. Let's say did ACET Global's assets end 23 up in the possession of Windspeed at any point in time? 24 A. Super G sold ACET Global's assets. 25 Q. And when did that occur?</p>

Page 162	Page 164
<p>1 A. I don't know the specific date. 2 Q. Sometime in, maybe, March of 2019? 3 A. Possibly, yep. 4 Q. Before that date, did Windspeed acquire the 5 assets of ACET Global? 6 A. I'm not sure they did. It would -- it would 7 be up to Super G to make that decision. I don't know. 8 Q. Did Windspeed obtain possession of the assets 9 of ACET Global? 10 A. I don't know. 11 Q. Did they have physical possession of ACET 12 Global's inventory? 13 A. I can't say for certain. 14 Q. Were you receiving reports about that 15 inventory from Windspeed? 16 A. About the ACET -- about the ACET inventory? 17 Q. Yes, sir. 18 A. It's possible. 19 Q. In 2018? 20 A. Without actually seeing the emails, I don't 21 remember, but it's possible. 22 Q. What about January of 2019? 23 A. Again, that's certainly possible. 24 Q. And I think we've seen several of those emails 25 thus far, correct?</p>	<p>1 communication to go through you? 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 A. I thought so too. 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you're not aware 5 of any conversation ever taking place directly between 6 Windspeed and Super G, correct? 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 8 A. I'm not aware of any communication between 9 Super G and Windspeed. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And if William Szeto 11 testified that he didn't have those conversations with 12 Super G, would he be lying? 13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 14 A. I can't -- I don't know. I don't know. 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) When did you first meet Bill 16 Szeto? 17 A. I met Bill late 2017. 18 Q. Okay. And how did you meet him? 19 A. I think he came to our office for lunch. 20 Q. Okay. And who had lunch with him? 21 A. David Hook and myself. 22 Q. Okay. What about Mr. Ludlow? 23 A. I don't think Mr. Ludlow was part of that. 24 Q. Just you and Mr. Hook? 25 A. Right.</p>
<p>1 A. Yeah, that's correct. 2 Q. So as of January 2019 and as of 2018, you were 3 receiving reports from Windspeed about ACET Global's 4 inventory, correct? 5 A. Yes. If that's the dates on those emails, 6 that would be -- that would sound correct. 7 Q. And that was, in fact, prior to March of 2019, 8 correct? 9 A. That would be correct. 10 Q. Why is that? 11 A. Why is what? 12 Q. Why were you receiving reports about ACET 13 Global's inventory prior to March of 2019? 14 A. Super G was -- well, we were -- ACET Global 15 was in default with Super G, and Super G was planning to 16 do a foreclosure, and they wanted to see the assets. 17 Q. Okay. And that's why you were getting them 18 from Windspeed? 19 A. Yes. That's what -- that's correct. 20 Q. And why did Windspeed have them? 21 A. I think -- I actually don't know the reason 22 why Windspeed had the assets. My guess, a conversation 23 between Super G and Windspeed. I wasn't part of that. 24 I don't know. 25 Q. But I thought Super G wanted all the</p>	<p>1 Q. Was Mr. Hook the one who was most involved on 2 ACET Global? 3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was he the Baymark managing 5 director who was most involved on ACET Global? 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 A. Initially, he was involved, but I'm not sure 8 who was more involved at the end of it, whether it was 9 David Hook or Tony Ludlow. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So they both end up 11 pretty involved? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 A. I'm not sure David Hook was all that involved, 14 and I'm not sure you would say Tony Ludlow was all that 15 involved. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Would -- would they 17 have ever told you what to say about their involvement? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Okay. Did you hire -- did y'all end up hiring 20 Bill Szeto? 21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 A. Bill Szeto went in as a -- if I remember 23 correctly, a consultant for ACET Global for a day or 24 two. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. To go in and report</p>

Page 166	Page 168
<p>1 back to you?</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, go in, report back to us. Uh-huh.</p> <p>3 Q. So y'all head him to the Baymark Partners</p> <p>4 office, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. You and Mr. Hook met with</p> <p>7 Mr. Szeto at the Baymark Partners office; is that</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And you and Mr. Hook had lunch with Mr. Szeto</p> <p>11 at your Baymark Partners office?</p> <p>12 A. It would have been lunch, close by.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. To the Baymark Partners office?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And then you and Mr. Hook paid Mr. Szeto to go</p> <p>16 and observe ACET Global?</p> <p>17 A. ACET was having difficulties. This was late</p> <p>18 2017. Bill had experience with startups, and we asked</p> <p>19 to get his opinions and thoughts on ways to improve the</p> <p>20 business. I'm not sure he actually was paid. I think</p> <p>21 he was doing this just to go in and take a look for us.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Was he expecting something else besides</p> <p>23 a paycheck?</p> <p>24 A. I don't think so, but you'd have to ask him.</p> <p>25 I don't know.</p>	<p>1 2018.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Szeto in</p> <p>3 2017 about moving the ACET Global assets to a new</p> <p>4 company?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you end up having periodic calls with</p> <p>7 Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>8 A. Not at that time.</p> <p>9 Q. Did Mr. Szeto ever report to you?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And when were you first aware of</p> <p>12 Windspeed?</p> <p>13 A. It would have been late 2018. I'm not sure of</p> <p>14 the exact date.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. There wasn't some other Windspeed you</p> <p>16 were working with before that?</p> <p>17 A. Before what?</p> <p>18 Q. Before that time in 2018.</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. You're sure of that?</p> <p>21 A. Certain of it.</p> <p>22 Q. Absolutely certain?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And, sir, I'm putting on the screen</p> <p>25 what's marked as Exhibit 11.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 167</p> <p>1 Q. Did you have discussions with him at that time</p> <p>2 about taking over as CEO of ACET Global?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you have discussions with him about Tomer</p> <p>5 Damti?</p> <p>6 A. He would have reported back his thoughts on</p> <p>7 the entire operation, and I can't recall if Tomer was</p> <p>8 brought into that discussion or not.</p> <p>9 Q. And you don't know if he was paid for any of</p> <p>10 this?</p> <p>11 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>12 Q. You don't know if Baymark Partners paid him?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not sure if they did or not.</p> <p>14 Q. But this was the first time you ever met Bill</p> <p>15 Szeto?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And you had never heard of or discussed</p> <p>18 Windspeed prior to that?</p> <p>19 A. In 2017?</p> <p>20 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 A. I wasn't aware of Windspeed.</p> <p>22 Q. Is there any reason you would have been aware</p> <p>23 of it in 2017?</p> <p>24 A. I don't think it existed, but I'm not certain.</p> <p>25 I've never -- I had not heard of Windspeed until late</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 169</p> <p>1 (Exhibit 11 marked.)</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you see this, sir?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I see this.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with this exhibit?</p> <p>5 A. As of an hour ago, I am.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. What is this?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Does it appear to be a weekly recurring</p> <p>9 calendar marker?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>11 Q. And is the organizer Matt Denegre?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And is the subject "Windspeed weekly</p> <p>14 call"?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And is the date -- the starting date</p> <p>17 June 13th of 2017?</p> <p>18 A. That's what it says in this email.</p> <p>19 Q. Was the ACET transaction closed in the summer</p> <p>20 of 2017 as well?</p> <p>21 A. July 2017.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Does this appear to be a true and</p> <p>23 correct copy of what it reflects?</p> <p>24 A. This all looks true and correct, although</p> <p>25 there was no Windspeed or knowledge of Windspeed during</p>

Page 170	Page 172
<p>1 this time.</p> <p>2 Q. Why does this exist then?</p> <p>3 A. Well, there may have been a calendar invite at</p> <p>4 some point that was updated in the future for Windspeed,</p> <p>5 that maybe it was backdated. Or it could have been a</p> <p>6 date that was entered in wrong.</p> <p>7 Q. Did Baymark Partners regularly engage in</p> <p>8 backdating?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. When I refer to backdating, I'm saying it's a</p> <p>11 mistake.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Oh, okay.</p> <p>13 So if we moved this to June of 2017 -- of</p> <p>14 2018, had you, at that point, had discussions about</p> <p>15 Windspeed?</p> <p>16 A. In June of 2018?</p> <p>17 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. So it wouldn't be that date, right? And it</p> <p>20 wouldn't make sense to move it back further back in</p> <p>21 time. So if we move it up to 2019, why would you be</p> <p>22 having a weekly call about Windspeed in June of 2019?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>24 A. I don't know what this is related to.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) In fact, why would you ever</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you see is this document?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Are you familiar with this document, sir?</p> <p>4 A. I'm not.</p> <p>5 Q. You're not? You don't remember this document?</p> <p>6 A. This email, I'm not, no.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Does this appear to be an email from</p> <p>8 William Szeto to you on September 29th, 2018?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And is the subject line "Windspeed</p> <p>11 LLC"?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And the stated attachments, the</p> <p>14 documents EIN Windspeed Trading, LLC, Certificate of</p> <p>15 Formation, LLC, Operating Agreement - Windspeed Trading,</p> <p>16 LLC, does that appear to be correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And did you, in fact, receive this email with</p> <p>19 those attachments attached to it?</p> <p>20 A. I'm assuming I did.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Who was your law firm?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. You don't know who your law firm is?</p> <p>24 A. For this is Hallett -- for what's going on</p> <p>25 right now is Hallett & Perrin.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 171</p> <p>1 be having a weekly call about Windspeed?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. Because this was a completely unrelated</p> <p>4 company, right? That's your testimony?</p> <p>5 A. Unrelated to ACET Global, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And unrelated to Baymark Partners?</p> <p>7 A. I believe so.</p> <p>8 Q. And unrelated to you?</p> <p>9 A. Unrelated to me.</p> <p>10 Q. And unrelated to Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>11 A. I believe so.</p> <p>12 Q. And unrelated to Mr. Hook?</p> <p>13 A. I believe so.</p> <p>14 Q. And unrelated to any other Baymark Partners</p> <p>15 affiliate?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So, really, as we sit here, there's no</p> <p>18 explanation for this Exhibit 11?</p> <p>19 A. None. It doesn't make any sense.</p> <p>20 Q. But you had seen this prior to us sitting here</p> <p>21 in this deposition and me asking you about it, correct?</p> <p>22 A. I was shown it during a break.</p> <p>23 Q. If I could, sir, I'll put on the screen what's</p> <p>24 marked as Exhibit 12.</p> <p>25 (Exhibit 12 marked.)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 173</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. So when Mr. Szeto says, "Please feel</p> <p>2 free to send these files to your law firm for tracking,"</p> <p>3 is he referring to Hallett & Perrin?</p> <p>4 A. I would think so.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And did you send the attachments to</p> <p>6 your law firm as requested by Bill Szeto?</p> <p>7 A. I'm not sure if I did.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And did Mr. Szeto state here, "I need</p> <p>9 to have those information for the following processes";</p> <p>10 and in Item Number 4, does he say, "Sending termination</p> <p>11 letter to current employees"?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Who are the current employees he's</p> <p>14 referring to?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) What did you understand the</p> <p>17 "current employees" to mean?</p> <p>18 A. I would think it's related to ACET Global's</p> <p>19 employees.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And why does he use the word "current"?</p> <p>21 A. Because it's his current employees? I don't</p> <p>22 know.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Were those employees -- was there a</p> <p>24 plan for them to become employees of another entity?</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall.</p>

Page 174	Page 176
<p>1 Q. Did you ever have a discussion about those 2 employees of ACET Global becoming employees of another 3 entity?</p> <p>4 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Number five, does it state, "Informing 6 marketplaces the change of name and banking information 7 for future payments"? Is that correct?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Did ACET Global change its name to Windspeed 10 Trading?</p> <p>11 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>12 Q. What did you understand Mr. Szeto to be 13 referring to where he said, "Informing marketplaces of 14 the change of name"?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And what did you understand him to be 17 referring to when he referenced "changing bank 18 information for future payments"?</p> <p>19 A. You would have to ask him.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. You wouldn't know what that means?</p> <p>21 A. I don't.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you ask for any of these items to be sent 23 to you?</p> <p>24 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you want any of these items to be sent to</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And in your email to Mr. Ludlow, do you 3 state, "Wind down plan for Super G for your review"?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Did Super G hire Baymark Partners?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. What are you referring to here when you say, 8 "Wind down plan for Super G"?</p> <p>9 A. Let me just scan through the email, if I may.</p> <p>10 Super G had requested a wind down plan for ACET Global.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And why was that?</p> <p>12 A. The company was in default at this time.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And why did there need to be a wind 14 down?</p> <p>15 A. That was Super G's request.</p> <p>16 Q. And what did you understand "wind down" to 17 mean?</p> <p>18 A. Essentially, close down the business. I would 19 think that's what that meant.</p> <p>20 Q. So was this part of an effort to close down 21 ACET Global?</p> <p>22 A. Again, Super G was in default. I think they 23 were weighing their options. I don't think --</p> <p>24 Q. ACET Global --</p> <p>25 A. -- ACET Global was involved.</p>
<p>1 you?</p> <p>2 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>3 Q. Did anyone at Baymark Partners instruct you to 4 get copies of these documents?</p> <p>5 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>6 Q. Did Mr. Hook instruct you to get copies of 7 these documents?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Did Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>10 A. I don't believe so. (Exhibit 13 marked.)</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Mr. Denegre, I'm 12 putting on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 13. Do 13 you see this, sir?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And do you recognize this email exchange?</p> <p>16 A. I recognize this first email.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And is this an email from you, Matt 18 Denegre, to Tony Ludlow on September 10th, 2018?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And is the subject line "corrected 21 version"?</p> <p>22 A. "Forward corrected version," uh-huh.</p> <p>23 Q. Uh-huh. And does it state attachments, "Wind 24 down"? Is that correct?</p>	<p>1 Q. Right. And so Super G wanted you to 2 coordinate a wind down plan?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. Not sure what you mean by "coordinate."</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Right.</p> <p>6 A. It was a request for a wind down plan.</p> <p>7 Q. Who requested a wind down plan?</p> <p>8 A. Super G.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. And who put together the wind down 10 plan?</p> <p>11 A. Bill Szeto created the wind down plan.</p> <p>12 Q. And who reviewed it?</p> <p>13 A. I took a look at it.</p> <p>14 Q. And who else?</p> <p>15 A. Well, I can't speak for Tony if he reviewed it 16 or not.</p> <p>17 Q. All right. Did you email it to Tony?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And did you tell him it was for your review?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And did you ever discuss it again with him 22 after sending that email?</p> <p>23 A. We may have.</p> <p>24 Q. What did you discuss with him?</p> <p>25 A. I don't remember.</p>

Page 178	Page 180
<p>1 Q. You don't remember what you and Tony Ludlow 2 discussed? How many times did you and Tony Ludlow have 3 a discussion about the wind down plan? 4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 5 A. I don't recall. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But you did have discussions 7 or you didn't? 8 A. I'm not sure we did. 9 Q. Had he authorized you to engage in a wind down 10 plan for ACET? 11 A. This was a request by Super G. 12 Q. Okay. And do you do everything Super G asks, 13 or do you have to get authorization from Tony Ludlow or 14 Mr. Hook? 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 16 A. Well, given that we were in default, Super G 17 would have every right to request this. 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you -- tell me 19 about your discussions with Super G about this. 20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 21 A. I don't remember the discussions. I know 22 there was a phone call prior to this, but I don't 23 remember what was discussed in those. 24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) When was that phone call? 25 A. I don't remember the specific date.</p>	<p>1 A. Of course. 2 Q. Appreciate that. 3 So Mr. Szeto drafted this wind down plan? 4 A. He did. He was at ACET Global and understood 5 the day-to-day operations more than Baymark would. 6 Q. Did -- but this wind down plan didn't have 7 anything to do with Windspeed, correct? 8 A. This is not related to Windspeed. 9 Q. No relation at all? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Okay. And any relation to any company that 12 would become Windspeed? 13 A. I didn't know Windspeed was even in existence 14 at this time. 15 Q. Was there -- was this related to the concept 16 of forming a new entity and transferring assets to it? 17 A. I don't think so. 18 Q. No? Just going to wind down ACET's business 19 completely? 20 A. Again, Super G was considering all their 21 options. 22 Q. Why would Super G want the business to be 23 completely wound down? 24 A. You'd have to ask them. I would have no idea. 25 Q. With all of your experience in this line of</p>
Page 179	Page 181
<p>1 Q. Who was it with? 2 A. I'd have to see the invitation. 3 Q. Was it close in time to this email of 4 September 10th, 2018? 5 A. It would have been within a two-week time 6 frame. 7 Q. Okay. So within -- 8 A. I'm going to correct that. I'm actually not 9 sure the wind down request came prior to this. The wind 10 down request from Super G came in September. 11 Q. So the wind down request from Super G actually 12 came after this? 13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 14 A. No. It came in September. There was a 15 request from Super G for a wind down plan. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And how did they request 17 that? 18 A. In an email. 19 Q. And who did they make that request to? 20 A. It would have been myself, and I'm not sure 21 who else was on the email. 22 Q. Okay. Have you produced a copy of that email? 23 A. I would think so. 24 Q. Would you be willing to review what's been 25 produced to verify whether you've produced that email?</p>	<p>1 work, can you think of any reason that Super G would 2 want ACET Global completely wound down? 3 A. The company was pretty much worthless at this 4 time. There was nothing left. There's no reason to 5 keep it alive. That -- 6 Q. Was it going to -- 7 A. -- wasn't even an option. 8 Q. Would it be worth more to Super G completely 9 wound down? 10 A. You'd have to ask them. 11 Q. Can you think of any reason that it would have 12 been worth more to Super G completely wound down? 13 A. I don't have an opinion on it. You would have 14 to ask -- 15 Q. Okay. And you don't recall specifically 16 having a single discussion with Tony Ludlow about this 17 wind down plan? 18 A. I don't recall the specifics. 19 Q. Okay. Did you let any third parties know that 20 you'd be winding down ACET Global? 21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 A. I wasn't winding down ACET Global. I'm not 23 sure I follow. 24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever engage in a 25 wind down plan with respect to ACET Global?</p>

Page 182	Page 184
<p>1 A. No. Super G was in control at this point. We 2 weren't. 3 Q. Okay. And below is the attachment that was 4 attached to this email that you sent to Mr. Ludlow. 5 Does this document -- second page of the exhibit, does 6 it state "Wind Down Plan" is the title? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. And does it state as one of the bullet 9 items, "Inventory Management"? 10 A. It does. 11 Q. And "Sales Continuation"? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And below that, does it state a bullet, "Sales 14 and Office Staff"? 15 A. Yes, it does. 16 Q. And below that, is there a bullet that says, 17 "Relocating to temporary office space with current 18 office furniture and computers"? 19 A. Yes, it does. 20 Q. Okay. Below -- down below, does it state, 21 "Current business files"? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. And on this third page of the exhibit, 24 is the title "Timeline and Cost for Wind Down"? 25 A. Yes, it is.</p>	<p>1 inventory which can be sold or transferred"? 2 A. Yes, it does. 3 Q. Okay. What did you understand that to mean? 4 A. I imagine this would be a liquidation. 5 Q. Liquidation of ACET Global? 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 A. It's a liquidation of the inventory to sell 8 off what's leftover. 9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Of ACET Global or 10 some other company? 11 A. This is related to ACET Global. 12 Q. Okay. And below that, does it state, "Inform 13 all parties on impending closing"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. Over to the right-hand side, one of the 16 lines there, "Inform all marketplaces on closing" -- 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. -- what did you understand that to mean? 19 A. I think this was notifying our customers that 20 the business was -- would be wound down. I imagine 21 that's what it is. 22 Q. Okay. But not that it would be transferring 23 the business to Windspeed? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Just to let everybody know that ACET Global</p>
<p>Page 183</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Does it -- on the third line, on the 2 left side, does it state, "Salary accrual for Bill"? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. What did you understand that to refer to? 5 A. I don't believe that Bill was taking a 6 paycheck to keep more cash in the company. 7 Q. Was Bill expecting something else in return? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. I don't know. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Had something else been 11 promised? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Below that, does it state, "Arrange for last 14 two payroll checks"? 15 A. Yes, it does. 16 Q. And below that, does it say, "Pay Bill's 17 credit card"? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Below, down a couple lines, does it say, 20 "Perform last inventory prior to closing"? 21 A. Yes, it does. 22 Q. What did you understand closing to mean? 23 A. Well, it's a wind down plan. I imagine 24 there's a closing period at some point. 25 Q. Okay. Below that, does it say, "Price</p>	<p>Page 185</p> <p>1 was going to wind down? 2 A. That's what this plan is about. 3 Q. And close? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. Did you, in fact, inform any marketplaces that 6 ACET Global was closing? 7 A. I'm not sure Super G went this direction, so 8 you'd have to ask them. 9 Q. Okay. But you wouldn't know anything about 10 that? 11 A. Would not. 12 Q. Because you were not involved in this? 13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Is that a no? 15 A. Involved with what? 16 Q. You weren't involved in this wind down 17 process? 18 A. I'm not sure the wind down even happened. I 19 don't know if Super G took this plan or not. 20 Q. Okay. So your understanding is, then, that no 21 one on behalf of ACET Global notified a marketplace that 22 ACET Global was closing and just shutting down? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. I'm not aware. 25 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Y'all want to take a</p>

Page 186	Page 188
<p>1 quick break?</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Yep.</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: I think you read the</p> <p>4 witness's mind.</p> <p>5 (Break taken from 3:40 p.m. to 3:50 p.m.)</p> <p>6 (Exhibit 14 marked.)</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) All right. Is this showing</p> <p>8 up on your screen, Mr. Denegre?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: I'm putting it on the laptop</p> <p>10 for him to look at close to him.</p> <p>11 MR. FREEMAN: Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm putting up what's marked</p> <p>13 as Exhibit 14, sir. Have you ever seen this document?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Is this an email from William Szeto at</p> <p>16 the email address bill@windspeedtrading.com to you?</p> <p>17 A. I'm going to scroll down, if that's okay.</p> <p>18 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 A. It starts with Lori Barber, but I suppose</p> <p>20 we're talking about the top here (indicating).</p> <p>21 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, I see that.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Is this dated January 7th, 2019?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And the subject line is "Luluway fan page"?</p>	<p>1 web domain?</p> <p>2 A. Suppose you could call it a web domain. I'm</p> <p>3 calling it a website.</p> <p>4 Q. Website? Towards the bottom, the initial</p> <p>5 email here, is that an email from Lori Barber on</p> <p>6 January 7th, 2019?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>8 Q. And did she email you?</p> <p>9 A. She did.</p> <p>10 Q. And did she also email Tony Ludlow?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And Andy Waltman?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And also Donna Tanner?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And who is she with? Is this the Lux</p> <p>17 Group?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And did you mention earlier that you</p> <p>20 believe the Lux Group had worked with Windspeed?</p> <p>21 A. I said earlier that the Lux Group had worked</p> <p>22 with ACET Global.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So they -- to your knowledge, they only</p> <p>24 worked with ACET Global?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>
<p>1 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>2 Q. What is Luluway?</p> <p>3 A. Luluway was a website that sold -- that had</p> <p>4 products listed.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Products for who?</p> <p>6 A. Customers to buy.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And what company was posting products</p> <p>8 on Luluway?</p> <p>9 A. Initially, this was -- ACET Global would list</p> <p>10 products on Luluway.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Did any other company list products on</p> <p>12 Luluway?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not aware.</p> <p>14 Q. Did Windspeed list products on Luluway?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you ever authorize Windspeed to post</p> <p>17 products on Luluway?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>19 A. I wouldn't have -- I wouldn't have any</p> <p>20 authority to do that.</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did anyone at Baymark</p> <p>22 Partners ever authorize Windspeed to post products on</p> <p>23 Luluway?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. And what was Luluway? That was a -- was it a</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Were they an outside consultant?</p> <p>2 A. For ACET Global?</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Is that --</p> <p>4 A. What was the question?</p> <p>5 Q. Were they an outside consultant of some sort?</p> <p>6 A. Are you referring to ACET Global?</p> <p>7 Q. Yes, sir. No, well, I'm referring to Lux, the</p> <p>8 company here.</p> <p>9 A. The company? They're a -- I would</p> <p>10 characterize them as a marketing firm.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Is there any reason you would be the</p> <p>12 first on the email?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you do most of the correspondence with</p> <p>15 Lori Barber, or did Tony Ludlow or Andy Waltman?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know the correspondence between Tony</p> <p>17 or Andy with Lori Barber.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Did you have any phone conversations</p> <p>19 with Lori Barber?</p> <p>20 A. I'm sure I did at some point.</p> <p>21 Q. What did you discuss with her?</p> <p>22 A. Well, it would have been marketing initiatives</p> <p>23 for our portfolio companies.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. With respect to ACET Global, what did</p> <p>25 you discuss with her?</p>

Page 190	Page 192
<p>1 A. ACET Global, broadly speaking, it would have 2 been digital marketing.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Was there -- did they assist with 4 digital marketing on Luluway?</p> <p>5 A. I can't say for certain, but I would assume 6 they did.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss shutting down ACET 8 Global with Lori Barber?</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall that.</p> <p>10 Q. Ever discuss winding down ACET, the wind down 11 plan, with Lori Barber?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. What wind down plan?</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'll show you 15 what we just covered was Exhibit 13. Do you recall 16 prior to the break the exhibit referencing the wind down 17 plan?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. You never discussed that wind down plan with 22 Lori Barber?</p> <p>23 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Did Bill Szeto have correspondence with 25 Lori Barber?</p>	<p>1 company?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. No opinion.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) As we sit here today, you're 5 telling me in your line of work, that would not be a 6 relevant factor?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>8 A. A \$100 exercise price?</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Correct.</p> <p>10 A. I imagine it would be considered.</p> <p>11 Q. Would you consider that to be effectively 12 ownership in favor of the party holding those warrant 13 rights?</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 A. No. It's a warrant.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Would you consider that to 17 be ownership that was exercisable by that party for a 18 nominal price?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. No opinion.</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And who formed 22 Windspeed?</p> <p>23 A. Bill Szeto.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And who drafted its operating 25 agreement?</p>
<p>1 A. He would have at ACET Global.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. On Exhibit 14, Lori Barber's email that 3 we were just -- just looking at, did she state, "I know 4 you're in the process of winding down ACET"? Is that 5 how she started her email?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And this was in January of 2019, 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, who owns Windspeed?</p> <p>11 A. Bill Szeto.</p> <p>12 Q. And does Bill Szeto own 100 percent of 13 Windspeed?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Would you -- would you be concerned about 16 buying a company on which the majority of its stock was 17 subject to a warrant agreement?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>19 A. I don't have an opinion on that.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Would you be concerned if 21 that warrant agreement was exercisable for \$100?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>23 A. I don't have an opinion on that.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Would that influence your 25 decision on whether to purchase the stock of that</p>	<p>1 A. My understanding is Bill Szeto and his counsel 2 drafted it.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Was Windspeed -- was that basically the 4 "ACET newco"?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>6 A. What is "ACET newco"?</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Does that mean anything to 8 you?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. To use the phrase "ACET's newco"?</p> <p>11 A. Nope.</p> <p>12 Q. Doesn't mean anything?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If somebody used that in an 16 email, would you know what they were referring to?</p> <p>17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>18 A. I'd have to see the context of the email.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever refer to 20 a company as ACET's newco with Tony Ludlow?</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>22 Q. Would Tony Ludlow have known what you meant if 23 you referred to a company as "ACET's newco"?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. You would have to ask Tony.</p>

Page 194	Page 196
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Windspeed Trading, LLC, was 2 not ACET Newco? 3 A. I don't believe so. 4 Q. And there was no relationship between ACET 5 Global and Windspeed Trading, LLC? 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 A. Two independent companies. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Completely unrelated, right? 9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 A. Right. 11 (Exhibit 15 marked.) 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting on 13 the screen what's marked as Exhibit 15. Do you see 14 this, sir? 15 A. I do. 16 Q. And are you familiar with this document? 17 A. I'm not. 18 Q. Let's look at the top, sir. Is this an email 19 from you, Matt Denegre? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. And is this an email that you sent to 22 Tony Ludlow on October 7th, 2018? 23 A. Yes, it is. 24 Q. Okay. And is the subject line "ACET Newco"? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 this to Julie? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Who is Julie? 4 A. This would be Julie Smith. 5 Q. Okay. Did you send these documents to Julie 6 Smith? 7 A. I don't remember. 8 Q. Is Julie Smith an attorney? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And who does she work for? 11 A. Hallett & Perrin. 12 Q. Okay. And you don't know if you sent these 13 documents to Julie? 14 A. If there's an email that shows that, I will 15 know. 16 Q. Okay. But you would not know that independent 17 of an email showing that? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Okay. Did Julie Smith, in fact, draft an 20 amended company agreement for Windspeed? 21 A. I'm not sure who drafted an amended agreement 22 for Windspeed. 23 Q. Okay. Do you know whether that amended 24 company agreement for Windspeed was ever executed? 25 A. I'm assuming it was executed.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 195</p> <p>1 Q. There are some attachments to your email; is 2 that correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And are those attachments a PDF titled "EIN 5 Windspeed Trading, LLC" and a Word document entitled -- 6 no, excuse me -- a PDF entitled "Certificate of 7 Formation" and a Word document entitled "LLC Operating 8 Agreement-Windspeed Trading, LLC"?</p> <p>9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, send this email 11 to Tony Ludlow on October 7th, 2018? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And is this, in fact, a true and correct copy 14 of the email that you sent? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And did you state to Tony Ludlow, "These are 17 the formation documents for ACET's Newco." Is that 18 correct? 19 A. That's how it reads. 20 Q. Okay. Did you state there in that last 21 sentence of the paragraph, "The operating agreement will 22 certainly have changes and maybe a complete redo." Is 23 that correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And did you state that you were going to send</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 197</p> <p>1 Q. And why do you assume that? 2 A. I think it was mentioned in this lawsuit. 3 Q. Okay. But you haven't seen it before? 4 A. I may have seen it before. 5 Q. Okay. And just looking at that Exhibit 15, 6 did Baymark Partners, in fact, coordinate the structure 7 of Windspeed? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. What do you mean by "coordinate the 10 structure"? I'm not sure I follow. 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Let me ask it another 12 way. Why did you believe there may be changes or a 13 complete redo necessary? 14 A. I don't know. I don't know what I was 15 thinking at this time. 16 Q. Why would you tell that to Tony Ludlow? 17 A. I don't know. 18 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Ludlow 19 after this email about ACET Newco? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. And are you referring to in this email -- are 22 you equating Windspeed Trading, LLC, as ACET Newco? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. I think so. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So you were telling</p>

Page 198	Page 200
<p>1 Tony Ludlow in this email that the ACET Newco is 2 Windspeed Trading, LLC? 3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 4 A. I'm not sure that's the inference I'm trying 5 to put here. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. What is the 7 implication you were trying to put forward? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. Well, who would know? 10 A. I'm not sure. 11 Q. You don't know who would know? 12 A. I assume I would know, but I don't recall. 13 Q. Okay. 14 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Putting on the screen what's 16 marked as Exhibit 16. Do you see that, sir? 17 A. I do. 18 Q. Okay. Is that an email -- on the top, is that 19 an email from William Szeto, email address 20 Bill@windspeedtrading.com to you, Matt Denegre on 21 October 17th, 2018? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. Is the subject line "Windspeed A&R 24 Company Agreement"? 25 A. Yes, it is.</p>	<p>1 A. I don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. Down below this email, is that an email 3 from Julie Smith? 4 A. Yes, it is. 5 Q. Is it an email sent on October 17th, 2018, to 6 you at your Baymark Partners email address to Mr. Szeto 7 at his Windspeed Trading address and to Alex Szeto at 8 his firm's address? 9 A. Yes, it is. 10 Q. Okay. And does Ms. Julie Smith state, 11 "Attached is a draft of the Amended and Restated Company 12 Agreement for Windspeed Trading, LLC"? 13 A. She does. 14 Q. Did you understand that to mean that Ms. Smith 15 had drafted or amended Windspeed's company agreement? 16 A. I would read this as she drafted an amended 17 and restated company agreement. 18 Q. Okay. And in terms of the attachment here on 19 Exhibit 16, attachment to that email address, is that, 20 in fact -- does it state that it's an amended and 21 restated company agreement of Windspeed Trading, LLC? 22 A. Yes, it does. 23 Q. Okay. And the top of that draft, does it 24 state, "H&P draft 10/17/18"? 25 A. Yes.</p>
<p>1 Q. What did you mean by A&R Company Agreement? 2 A. Amended and Restated Company Agreement. 3 Q. And is that Windspeed Trading, LLC's, company 4 agreement you were referring to? 5 A. It looks like that's the attachment. 6 Q. Okay. And did you state, "I am okay with it"?. 7 A. I did not state that. 8 Q. Is that William Szeto stating that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So was this in response to someone sending 11 Mr. Szeto a copy of the amended and restated Windspeed 12 Trading, LLC, Company Agreement? 13 A. It looks like there's an email below that Bill 14 was on, and he was responding back to that email. 15 Q. Okay. And why did Bill only -- only send this 16 to you? 17 A. I don't know. I'm not sure why. 18 Q. Okay. And the last sentence there in Bill's 19 email says, "So what is the next step?" Does it appear 20 he meant "step"?. 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. Does Bill fat-finger the keys sometimes? 23 A. This time he certainly did. 24 Q. What is he referring to there in terms of 25 asking what the next step was?</p>	<p>1 Q. And did you understand that to refer to 2 Hallett & Perrin draft? 3 A. I do. 4 Q. Okay. Did you want Windspeed's attorney to be 5 Hallett & Perrin? 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 A. I think Windspeed's attorney was Alexander 8 Szeto. 9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever tell Bill Szeto 10 that you wanted Hallett & Perrin to be Windspeed's law 11 firm? 12 A. I don't recall if I did. 13 Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Hallett & Perrin 14 needed to be the law firm for all of Baymark Partners' 15 companies? 16 A. I don't think I have ever been told that. 17 Q. Did anyone at Baymark Partners ever previously 18 work for Hallett & Perrin? 19 A. My understanding is Tony Ludlow had worked for 20 Hallett & Perrin in the past. 21 Q. Tony Ludlow was previously an attorney at 22 Hallett & Perrin? 23 A. I'm told that. I don't know if that's factual 24 or not. I'm told that. 25 Q. Do you know whether he was a partner at</p>

Page 202	Page 204
<p>1 Hallett & Perrin?</p> <p>2 A. I don't.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you know how long he worked at Hallett &</p> <p>4 Perrin?</p> <p>5 A. I don't.</p> <p>6 Q. But did you ever tell Bill Szeto that he</p> <p>7 needed to use Hallett & Perrin as their attorney for</p> <p>8 Windspeed?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. I don't remember having that conversation.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you want to ensure that</p> <p>12 you had just one law firm overseeing the transaction</p> <p>13 with Windspeed Trading?</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did Mr. Szeto actually want</p> <p>17 to separate counsel?</p> <p>18 A. Mr. Szeto had his own attorney.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So he never used Hallett & Perrin?</p> <p>20 A. You would have to ask Bill that, Bill Szeto.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. But you would have never told him that</p> <p>22 he needed to use Hallett & Perrin?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. Not that I recall.</p>	<p>1 William Szeto at his Windspeed Trading email address?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Does he state -- do you state, "Using</p> <p>4 the same counsel shouldn't matter. Unrelated to the</p> <p>5 separation. It's going to get confusing using two</p> <p>6 different law firms, and we use Hallett & Perrin on all</p> <p>7 of our companies"?</p> <p>8 A. That's what I said.</p> <p>9 Q. Why did you say that?</p> <p>10 A. I'm not sure why I said it, and it certainly</p> <p>11 wasn't telling Bill he had to do that.</p> <p>12 Q. It wasn't?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Did Bill, in fact, ever do that?</p> <p>15 A. Bill had his own counsel.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Down below that, had Mr. Szeto told you</p> <p>17 that he was going to use Alex as their counsel to keep a</p> <p>18 separation between ACET and Windspeed?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, he does.</p> <p>20 Q. Why did he want to keep a separation between</p> <p>21 ACET and Windspeed? Was there an attempt here to make</p> <p>22 it look like they were two unrelated companies?</p> <p>23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>24 A. They are unrelated companies.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you're saying</p>
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Would it require an</p> <p>2 email for you to recall that?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. If you have an email, let's take a look.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I do.</p> <p>6 (Exhibit 17 marked.)</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Exhibit 17, sir. Do you</p> <p>8 recognize this document?</p> <p>9 A. I don't recognize this.</p> <p>10 Q. Does this appear to be an email from William</p> <p>11 Szeto, email address at bill@windspeedtrading.com to</p> <p>12 you, Matt Denegre, dated October 19th, 2018?</p> <p>13 A. I see that.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And does the subject line state, "New</p> <p>15 Office"?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And does this, in fact, appear to be a true</p> <p>18 and correct copy of the email exchanged between you and</p> <p>19 Mr. Szeto on or about that date?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And right below that, is that an email from</p> <p>22 you, Matt Denegre at your address,</p> <p>23 mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>25 Q. And is that dated October 19th, 2018, and to</p>	<p>1 here in your response to him you weren't actually asking</p> <p>2 him to use Hallett & Perrin?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. I was asking him to use Hallett & Perrin, but</p> <p>5 not directing him. And I don't believe he did.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Let's keep going down</p> <p>7 here, this email from you to Mr. Szeto at 11:09 a.m.,</p> <p>8 Friday, October 19th. You stated -- please tell me if</p> <p>9 this is correct: "Please keep counsel with Hallett &</p> <p>10 Perrin after the lease." Is that correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And then you said, "They have all the</p> <p>13 records from ACET, and that's who we use on all of our</p> <p>14 companies." Is that correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. What did you mean by "who we use on all</p> <p>17 of our companies"?</p> <p>18 A. Typically for our transactions, we use Hallett</p> <p>19 & Perrin.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And they're a good law firm, right?</p> <p>21 A. I think so.</p> <p>22 Q. And they're known for their -- they're known</p> <p>23 for their transactional work?</p> <p>24 A. Okay.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. What did you mean, though, by "all of</p>

Page 206	Page 208
<p>1 our companies"?</p> <p>2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>3 A. I'm referring to Baymark's portfolio</p> <p>4 companies.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And was this email</p> <p>6 discussing Windspeed Trading, LLC?</p> <p>7 A. I believe so.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And so why would it then be important</p> <p>9 that they use the same counsel as all of your other</p> <p>10 companies?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. It's not.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Then why would you ask</p> <p>14 Mr. Szeto to use them like you used for all of your</p> <p>15 other companies?</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>17 A. It was a suggestion, and if Bill wanted to do</p> <p>18 that, he could.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But there was no</p> <p>20 other reason because it was a completely separate,</p> <p>21 unrelated company?</p> <p>22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>23 A. What was? What are you referring to?</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Windspeed Trading was</p> <p>25 completely separate and unrelated to Baymark Partners or</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. And what does she say here? Did she</p> <p>2 say, "Matt, attached is a draft of an amended and</p> <p>3 restated loan agreement that represents the old ACET</p> <p>4 loan that Windspeed is going to assume"?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>6 Q. What does that mean?</p> <p>7 A. It means what it says.</p> <p>8 Q. What is that?</p> <p>9 A. Attached is a draft of an amended and restated</p> <p>10 loan agreement that represents the old ACET loan that</p> <p>11 Windspeed is going to assume.</p> <p>12 Q. Was Windspeed going to assume ACET's loan?</p> <p>13 A. I think so. I think so.</p> <p>14 Q. Why? I thought these were unrelated</p> <p>15 companies.</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why was it going to assume</p> <p>18 ACET's loan?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. You would have to talk to Windspeed and also</p> <p>21 talk to Super G.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Would Julie Smith</p> <p>23 know why?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. I can't speak for Julie.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p> <p>1 ACET Global; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. That's your testimony?</p> <p>4 A. (No audible response.)</p> <p>5 (Exhibit 18 marked.)</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, I'm putting up</p> <p>7 on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 18. Do you see</p> <p>8 that, sir?</p> <p>9 A. I do.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you recognize this document?</p> <p>11 A. I do not.</p> <p>12 Q. Is this an email from you, Matt Denegre, on</p> <p>13 January 19th, 2019, to William Szeto?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And are you forwarding a document entitled</p> <p>16 "Windspeed-Old ACET loan agreement"?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And what is that document?</p> <p>19 A. It's an Amended and Restated Business Loan and</p> <p>20 Security Agreement.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And why were you receiving it?</p> <p>22 A. Julie had sent it to me.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And why did Julie send it to you?</p> <p>24 A. She asked me to review the first two pages of</p> <p>25 the addendum.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p> <p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you ever have a</p> <p>2 discussion with her about why? And don't tell me why,</p> <p>3 what you said, but did you ever discuss why with her?</p> <p>4 MR. PERRIN: And I'll caution the witness</p> <p>5 this calls for attorney-client communications.</p> <p>6 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why was she sending this to</p> <p>8 you?</p> <p>9 A. She asked me to review an addendum one, two</p> <p>10 and three.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. But this would have been a loan that</p> <p>12 Windspeed was going to assume; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And she asked you to review those pages to see</p> <p>15 if they reflected the business terms; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And why would she expect you -- did you</p> <p>18 do anything to give me the impression that you would</p> <p>19 know what those business terms were?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. Well, I had been speaking with Super G.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But you don't remember this</p> <p>23 email?</p> <p>24 A. Unfortunately, I do not.</p> <p>25 Q. And you don't remember reviewing this Amended</p>

Page 210	Page 212
<p>1 and Restated Business Loan and Security Agreement?</p> <p>2 A. Specifically I don't recall reviewing this.</p> <p>3 Q. Or that the borrower named was Windspeed</p> <p>4 Trading?</p> <p>5 A. What's the question?</p> <p>6 Q. You didn't remember reviewing a loan agreement</p> <p>7 in which Windspeed Trading, LLC, was the borrower?</p> <p>8 A. I may have reviewed this. I don't recall.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you know why you would have reviewed it?</p> <p>10 A. Well, I had been talking to Super G. Super G</p> <p>11 was keeping -- wanted us to stay involved. I was asked</p> <p>12 to review it, and I did.</p> <p>13 Q. Mr. Denegre I'm going to put on your screen</p> <p>14 what's marked as Exhibit 19. Do you see this, sir?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 (Exhibit 19 marked.)</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Are you familiar with this</p> <p>18 document?</p> <p>19 A. I'm not.</p> <p>20 Q. Would you take a second to look over this?</p> <p>21 A. Okay.</p> <p>22 Q. Did Julie Smith email you and Bill Szeto?</p> <p>23 A. She did.</p> <p>24 Q. And was that email reflected here, was that</p> <p>25 sent on March 26th, 2019?</p>	<p>1 Baymark.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And you didn't have anything</p> <p>3 to do with that process, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Super G requested documents regarding the</p> <p>5 assets of ACET. Those documents were inventory, AR,</p> <p>6 some fixed equipment. And I provided Super G those</p> <p>7 documents.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And Baymark Partners didn't have</p> <p>9 anything to do with the foreclosure process, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. Had that foreclosure process actually already</p> <p>12 taken place as of the date of this email exchange?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not certain.</p> <p>14 Q. When did you understand that foreclosure</p> <p>15 process to have taken place?</p> <p>16 A. It would have been early 2019.</p> <p>17 Q. Why were you emailing with Super G and William</p> <p>18 Szeto in March of 2019?</p> <p>19 A. Well, I had a relationship with Super G as</p> <p>20 well as with Bill Szeto.</p> <p>21 Q. Was that relationship limited to ACET Global?</p> <p>22 A. When I refer to "relationship," I'm talking</p> <p>23 about a person-to-person relationship.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Had you worked on any other companies</p> <p>25 with Super G than ACET Global, LLC?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p> <p>1 A. I have March 22 -- I have March 22nd</p> <p>2 initially.</p> <p>3 Q. Well, the email at the top --</p> <p>4 A. Yes, I see that now.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. March 26th, 2019, 12:54 p.m. Is the</p> <p>6 subject line -- is that correct, sir?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Is the subject line "Windspeed/Super G Loan</p> <p>9 Agreement"?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Let's look below here at Julie's email</p> <p>12 from March 22nd, 2019, a couple of days before. Was</p> <p>13 that an email to William Szeto at his Windspeed Trading</p> <p>14 address, Matt Denegre at your Baymark Partners email</p> <p>15 address; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And does it say below -- it says, "Matt and</p> <p>18 Super G confirmed the numbers on the first page of the</p> <p>19 amended and restated loan agreement"?</p> <p>20 A. It says that.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. So did Baymark Partners, did it</p> <p>22 determine what Windspeed was going to do in the ACET</p> <p>23 foreclosure process?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. Super G was the foreclosing entity, not</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 213</p> <p>1 A. I helped provide some -- what I thought was a</p> <p>2 good sound board for William Szeto at Windspeed. I'm</p> <p>3 not sure he would agree with that, but that would be it.</p> <p>4 Q. For the record, he did not. But let me ask</p> <p>5 you: Did you deal with Super G with any other</p> <p>6 companies? Was ACET Global the only transaction you</p> <p>7 dealt with Super G on?</p> <p>8 A. I worked with Super G to help on the Windspeed</p> <p>9 transaction, as well.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So prior to Windspeed, ACET Global was</p> <p>11 the only company that you had worked with Super G on?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. But Super G wanted to make sure that you and</p> <p>14 Baymark Partners were involved in the Windspeed</p> <p>15 transaction?</p> <p>16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>17 A. You would have to ask Super G. My assumption</p> <p>18 was they didn't have a relationship with Bill Szeto.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) In fact, do you know that to</p> <p>20 be the case?</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) That's correct? And did you</p> <p>24 think they wanted you and Super G to be involved because</p> <p>25 of your phenomenal track record with them?</p>

Page 214	Page 216
<p>1 A. I'm sorry. Who is "they"?</p> <p>2 Q. I asked it poorly. Did Super G want you and</p> <p>3 Baymark involved because of you -- because of your track</p> <p>4 record on transactions with Super G?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>6 A. Super G felt that Baymark was a good party.</p> <p>7 We had supported ACET throughout all its struggles and</p> <p>8 didn't have a relationship with Bill Szeto and wanted to</p> <p>9 keep Baymark involved.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But they were otherwise</p> <p>11 completely unrelated companies?</p> <p>12 A. Which companies are you referring to?</p> <p>13 Q. Windspeed and ACET Global.</p> <p>14 A. Yes, unrelated.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. This Exhibit 19 that was on your</p> <p>16 screen, was this, in fact, a true and correct copy of</p> <p>17 the email correspondence reflected thereon?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, I'm putting on the screen</p> <p>20 what's marked as Exhibit 20. Do you see this, sir?</p> <p>21 A. Okay.</p> <p>22 (Exhibit 20 marked.)</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Are you familiar with this</p> <p>24 document?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>1 from January 3rd, states, "I spoke with Baymark after</p> <p>2 our call on Monday." What is she referring to, do you</p> <p>3 know?</p> <p>4 A. I don't.</p> <p>5 Q. Says, "Because Steve has indicated that Super</p> <p>6 G cannot fund the loan without Windspeed's assuming the</p> <p>7 old ACET loan because its investors would not approve a</p> <p>8 new loan to Windspeed, Baymark has agreed to allow</p> <p>9 Windspeed to assume the ACET loan." Do you see that?</p> <p>10 A. I see that.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you ever discuss allowing Windspeed to</p> <p>12 assume the ACET loan?</p> <p>13 A. It wouldn't be our decision to do that.</p> <p>14 Q. Well, why would Baymark Partners' attorney,</p> <p>15 Ms. Smith, be stating that after speaking with Baymark,</p> <p>16 she understands that Baymark has agreed to allow</p> <p>17 Windspeed to assume the ACET loan?</p> <p>18 A. I don't know why she says that.</p> <p>19 Q. Is she completely wrong?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. I don't know if she's right or wrong.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did anyone at Baymark</p> <p>23 Partners ever tell Julie Smith that they had agreed to</p> <p>24 allow Windspeed to assume the ACET loan?</p> <p>25 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p>
Page 215	Page 217
<p>1 Q. Have you ever seen it before?</p> <p>2 A. I don't recognize it.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Does this appear to be an email from</p> <p>4 Brian Vanderwoude to Julie Smith on January 17th, 2019?</p> <p>5 A. From Julie to Brian?</p> <p>6 Q. Yes, sir. No, from Brian on top. From Brian</p> <p>7 to Julie.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And below that, is there, in fact, an email</p> <p>10 from Julie Smith to Brian Vanderwoude?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, there is.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Had you had a discussion with Ms. Smith</p> <p>13 around this time about the transaction they're</p> <p>14 discussing in the email?</p> <p>15 A. We may have.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. What do you think you may have</p> <p>17 discussed?</p> <p>18 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Do you know who that discussion would</p> <p>20 have been with?</p> <p>21 A. Well, Brian was Super G's counsel, so it</p> <p>22 wouldn't have been with Brian. Possibly Julie.</p> <p>23 Q. A discussion with Julie?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And Julie states here on her email down below</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. So is Ms. Smith just going off rogue</p> <p>2 here?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. I didn't indicate that. I just don't recall</p> <p>5 the conversation.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you, in fact,</p> <p>7 personally represent that Baymark would assume the old</p> <p>8 ACET note?</p> <p>9 A. Did I personally?</p> <p>10 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. No way that occurred?</p> <p>13 A. It wouldn't be my decision to make that.</p> <p>14 Q. So someone would have had to tell you that?</p> <p>15 If you were to make that representation, someone would</p> <p>16 have had to authorize it?</p> <p>17 A. For me personally to assume ACET's loan? Is</p> <p>18 that the question?</p> <p>19 Q. No, for you to state that Baymark Partners had</p> <p>20 allowed or would allow Windspeed to assume the ACET</p> <p>21 Global note.</p> <p>22 A. Again, I don't recall ever saying that.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. If you said that, would you have had</p> <p>24 authority to say that?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>

Page 218	Page 220
<p>1 A. I don't think it's up to me. 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Who would it be up to? 3 A. It would be up to Windspeed, I would imagine. 4 Q. Okay. And who is Windspeed? 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 A. It's a company. 7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Mr. Denegre, I'm 8 putting up on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 21. 9 Do you see this, sir? 10 A. Okay. 11 (Exhibit 21 marked.) 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Is this an email from you? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And is this an email you sent to Steve Bellah 15 on January 2nd, 2019? 16 A. Yes, it is. 17 Q. Okay. Is Steve Bellah an employee of Super G 18 Capital at this time? 19 A. I believe he is. 20 Q. Okay. And is the subject line here "Weekly 21 Report"? 22 A. Yes. No. 23 Q. No? 24 A. No. It is. I'm sorry. It says, "Weekly 25 Report 1/1."</p>	<p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And Baymark Partners wouldn't have authority 3 to put a default notice out, right? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. And Baymark Partners would have no business 6 whatsoever drafting a default notice to ACET Global, 7 would it? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. I don't believe we drafted the default notice. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you believe that would be 11 improper for you to draft the ACET default notice? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 A. If Super G asked us to draft a default notice, 14 I suppose we would have a discussion around it. At this 15 position, it's up to them. It's not up to us. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you don't believe 17 it would be improper for Baymark Partners to draft a 18 default notice to ACET Global? 19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 20 A. Yes, and I don't believe we did draft the 21 default notice. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But yes, you believe it 23 would be improper to? 24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 A. Again, it all depends on the context. I can't</p>
<p>Page 219</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Is this, in fact, a true and correct 2 copy of your email correspondence with Mr. Bellah? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. And is there an attachment to this 5 email? 6 A. Yes, there is. 7 Q. And does that attachment -- is it a PDF that 8 states ACET/Default Notice? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Why, sir, were you sending a default notice to 11 Steve Bellah? 12 A. I'm not sure. He must have asked me to take a 13 look at it. 14 Q. Steve Bellah wanted your opinion about a 15 default notice? 16 A. I imagine that's what he was asking for. 17 Q. Okay. Did you state here -- let me ask you 18 actually: What leads you to believe that that's what he 19 was asking for? 20 A. Because the default notice wouldn't come from 21 me. 22 Q. Why wouldn't it come from you? 23 A. I don't have any authority to put a default 24 notice out. 25 Q. You definitely don't, do you?</p>	<p>Page 221</p> <p>1 say it's appropriate or not. 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Can you give me any context 3 whatsoever in which it would be appropriate for Baymark 4 Partners to draft a default notice to ACET Global? 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 A. If Super G asked us to do it for some odd 7 reason and said we'll pay your legal fees? I don't 8 know. 9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So if Super G promised you 10 some money for it, it might be proper? 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 12 A. Possibly, but it's not my decision on who 13 drafts what. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And why in your mind would 15 that be proper? 16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 17 A. I didn't say it was proper. 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But how does Super G 19 paying you some money or paying Baymark Partners some 20 money somehow make this potentially proper? 21 A. You took me out of context there. 22 Q. Well, I asked you to provide me the context, 23 any context in which it would be proper for Baymark 24 Partners to draft a default notice to ACET Global. 25 MR. PERRIN: Is that a question?</p>

Page 222	Page 224
<p>1 MR. FREEMAN: It is. I'm asking. 2 MR. PERRIN: I object to the form of the 3 question. 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Is there -- 5 A. You can tell I don't really have an opinion on 6 this. So I don't have an answer to you. 7 Q. Okay. Would you want to be associated with 8 having drafted a default notice to ACET Global? 9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 A. I don't have an opinion on it. 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Why don't you have an 12 opinion on it? 13 A. It's over my pay grade. 14 Q. What does that mean? 15 A. I don't know how default notices work. I'm 16 not a lender. So you would have to ask -- you would 17 have to ask someone who is in that field. 18 Q. Okay. Is Tony Ludlow in that field? 19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 20 A. Is Tony Ludlow in what field? 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) That field, that pay grade. 22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 23 A. I don't know. I don't believe Tony is a 24 lender. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. What about Mr. Hook?</p>	<p>1 not be speculating about what this means? 2 A. I don't know. 3 Q. But this is your email, correct? 4 A. It is. 5 Q. And you drafted this email, correct? 6 A. I did. 7 Q. And this is your signature block below the 8 email, correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And it states, "Matt Denegre, Baymark 11 Partners," correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And you were a director at Baymark Partners, 14 correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And you stated, "Yes, we agreed to assume the 17 old ACET note." Is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. But you don't have any idea what that means? 20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 21 A. I can speculate. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Please do. 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. So when I refer to "we," I'm referring to Bill 25 Szeto and myself. I'm speaking on behalf of Bill.</p>
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 A. What about? 3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Hook? 4 A. Yes? 5 Q. Is he in that field or in that pay grade? 6 A. Nope. 7 Q. Okay. On this email, is this a true and 8 correct copy of your correspondence with Mr. Bellah? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And did you state here, "Yes, we agreed to 11 assume the old ACET note"?" 12 A. Yes, it says that. 13 Q. What did you mean by that? 14 A. We agreed to assume the old ACET note. 15 Q. Right. And what does that mean? 16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 17 A. It means what it says. 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So did Baymark Partners 19 assume the old ACET note? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Well, then what does it mean? 22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 23 A. I don't know. I would be speculating. I'm 24 not for sure what this all means. 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Who could I ask that would</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Bill assume the 2 old ACET note? 3 A. I don't know how the transaction worked. 4 Q. Right. Did you assume the old ACET note? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Okay. And you know that Bill did not assume 7 the old ACET note, correct? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. I don't know that for a fact. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. 11 A. You're asking for specifics. I can't answer 12 it. 13 Q. Let me ask you: Do you have any reason -- any 14 reason to believe that Bill Szeto assumed the old ACET 15 note? 16 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 17 A. Bill Szeto personally assumed the old ACET 18 note? 19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Correct. 20 A. I don't think he did. 21 Q. Okay. So what did you mean here by, "We 22 agreed to assume the old ACET note"?" 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. This was -- this was likely referring to Bill 25 and Windspeed.</p>

Page 226	Page 228
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. When you said "we," 2 did you mean by that that Windspeed was a completely 3 unrelated, separate, independent company? 4 A. I don't know what I meant by "we." 5 Q. Okay. What was Bill's authority over ACET? 6 A. Bill was a contractor at ACET and then CEO. 7 Q. Okay. Who were ACET Global's officers? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. No idea? 10 A. (Moving head side to side.) 11 Q. I'm putting up what's marked as Exhibit 1. Do you see this, sir? 12 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you recognize this document? 15 A. I do. 16 Q. And what is this? 17 A. Voluntary Petition for Nonindividuals Filing for Bankruptcy. 18 Q. You understand this to be a bankruptcy petition? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Filed by ACET Global, LLC? 21 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 documents, I believe that's the entity location. 2 Q. Suite 435, Plano, Texas 75024? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. That's correct? Is that anyone else's address 5 that you're aware of? 6 A. It's the Baymark address as well. 7 Q. The Baymark Partners address; is that correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Okay. And did you see this document before it 10 was filed? 11 A. I did. 12 Q. Did anyone ask for your input on this document? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Did anyone ask you to review it? 15 A. I would have been involved reviewing it. 16 Q. Okay. Did you believe it to be correct? 17 A. I did. 18 Q. Okay. And you reviewed all of it, correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. And on the fourth page where it reflects a president of ACET Global named Anthony Ludlow, did you believe that, in fact, to be correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. And did Mr. Ludlow review this document?</p>
<p>1 Q. Okay. And does it list other d/b/a's as 2 Koolulu and Luluway? 3 A. It was. 4 Q. Okay. Is there a debtor's address there, 5700 5 Granite Parkway in Plano, Texas? Do you recognize that 6 address? 7 A. I do. 8 Q. You know it pretty well, don't you? 9 A. I do. 10 Q. Do you go there on a regular basis? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. So did you go to ACET Global's address and 13 office on a regular basis? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Well, is this petition incorrect? 16 A. No. 17 Q. How do we reconcile that? 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 19 A. I believe that where the entity is listed as, 20 5700 Granite Parkway. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Is that its address? 22 A. I think on the formation documents it is. 23 Q. Okay. So ACET Global's address is 5700 24 Granite Parkway; is that right? 25 A. From my memory referring to the formation</p>	<p>1 A. Given that he signed it, I assumed he viewed it. 2 Q. Did you, in fact, discuss this document with 3 Mr. Ludlow? 4 A. I think we did. 5 Q. Okay. How many times? 6 A. I don't remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did y'all go through several drafts of it? 8 A. I don't think so. 9 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Ludlow ever express whether he believed it was correct or incorrect? 10 A. I think by signing it he's assuming it's correct. 11 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any discussions with 12 Mr. Ludlow about the insider provisions? 13 A. What are those? 14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Any questions about whether any assets had been transferred to an insider? 16 A. Never. 17 Q. Did you ever discuss that with Mr. Ludlow? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Never discussed that with the president of ACET Global?</p>

Page 230	Page 232
<p>1 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss any of the other</p> <p>3 specific provisions in this document with Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>4 A. I can't recall what we discussed with the</p> <p>5 document.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss the inventories</p> <p>7 question on Page 24 asking, "Have any inventories of the</p> <p>8 debtor's property been taken within two years before</p> <p>9 filing this case?"</p> <p>10 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>11 A. I see the question.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Right. Did you ever -- did</p> <p>13 you review this question that was marked "No"?</p> <p>14 A. Can you point me in the direction of where we</p> <p>15 are again?</p> <p>16 Q. Yes, sir. It's on the screen. I have it</p> <p>17 highlighted.</p> <p>18 A. I don't see it.</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Not on the screen.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Oh, sorry. Can you see</p> <p>21 that, sir?</p> <p>22 A. Not -- what number is that? I can't see it.</p> <p>23 Q. It's Number 27 of the questions on the</p> <p>24 petition.</p> <p>25 A. I see that.</p>	<p>1 A. -- I don't recall having a discussion around</p> <p>2 this, and I certainly don't recall making a statement</p> <p>3 that's not correct.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) But you were involved in</p> <p>5 reviewing this document before it was filed, correct?</p> <p>6 A. I did.</p> <p>7 Q. And you -- did you or did you not indicate to</p> <p>8 anyone that this question was incorrect?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) We reviewed a number of</p> <p>12 exhibits earlier, and I can walk you back through them</p> <p>13 if we need to. But didn't we review some exhibits where</p> <p>14 in 2019 you were exchanging inventories of ACET Global?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. Exchanging inventories of ACET Global? What</p> <p>17 does that mean?</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Were you not exchanging a</p> <p>19 copy of an inventory of ACET Global in 2019?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. I don't know what that means. I'm sorry. If</p> <p>22 you could just reask it.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Sure. Did you ever receive</p> <p>24 a copy of an updated inventory in January of 2019?</p> <p>25 A. I did.</p>
<p>Page 231</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. It's on the 24th page of the PDF.</p> <p>2 A. Got it.</p> <p>3 Q. And that question asks, "Have any inventories</p> <p>4 of the debtor's property been taken within two years</p> <p>5 before filing this case," correct?</p> <p>6 A. I read that.</p> <p>7 Q. And it says "no" as the answer?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Is that a correct statement or false</p> <p>10 statement?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. Have any inventories of the debtor's property</p> <p>13 been taken within two years -- well, given there was a</p> <p>14 foreclosure, I'm not sure if that's -- if there was a</p> <p>15 foreclosure, then I'm assuming the answer would have</p> <p>16 been yes.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Right. So this appears to</p> <p>18 be a false bankruptcy petition, does it not?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. By making a mistake you're indicating it's</p> <p>21 false?</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, I'm not implying it</p> <p>23 was a mistake.</p> <p>24 A. Well --</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>	<p>Page 233</p> <p>1 Q. And was that an inventory of ACET Global?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Updated to that date?</p> <p>4 A. Of course, I don't have the email in front of</p> <p>5 me, but I imagine whatever the email title said was</p> <p>6 probably tied to what the report was.</p> <p>7 Q. Did William Szeto indicate to you that it was</p> <p>8 updated to that date?</p> <p>9 A. I would have to see the email. I don't</p> <p>10 remember what it says.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So just going from what you recall,</p> <p>12 because the documents will speak for themselves, right,</p> <p>13 is this Question Number 27 on ACET Global's bankruptcy</p> <p>14 petition, is it correctly answered?</p> <p>15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>16 A. It doesn't look -- what's the date on this?</p> <p>17 This is two years before filing this case?</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) The date of this is</p> <p>19 October 23rd, 2019, exactly one year after the Windspeed</p> <p>20 Operating Company Agreement was executed.</p> <p>21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>22 A. Okay.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Right. So within two years</p> <p>24 before that case, before that date, had an inventory of</p> <p>25 ACET Global's property been taken?</p>

Page 234	Page 236
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And did you, in fact, know that? 3 A. I did not. 4 Q. You didn't know? 5 A. Of course I do, but this would have been a 6 mistake. 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did Tony Ludlow know that? 9 A. I'm not sure what Tony knew. 10 Q. Okay. Why was this bankruptcy petition filed? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. What was the purpose behind it? 13 A. You would have to ask someone else. I don't 14 know. 15 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any discussions with 16 Tony Ludlow about that? 17 A. About this petition? 18 Q. Correct. 19 A. We may have. 20 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with 21 Mr. Hook about that? 22 A. I don't think so. 23 Q. Did anyone ever indicate that they were 24 concerned that this would be a felony? 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>	<p>1 managing members, general partners, members in control, 2 controlling shareholder or other persons in control of 3 the debtor at the time of the filing of this case," do 4 you know if anyone had changed prior to that? 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 A. I don't. 7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) A question here -- 8 Question 30 stating, "Payments, distributions or 9 withdrawals credited or given to insiders," did you ever 10 have any discussions with anyone about Question 30? 11 A. Not that I recall. 12 Q. And this question asks, "Within one year 13 before the filing of this case, did the debtor provide 14 an insider with value in any form, including salary, 15 other compensation, draws, bonuses, loans, credits on 16 loans, stock redemptions and options exercised?" And do 17 you believe the answer is correctly stated there as no? 18 A. I don't know. 19 Q. Did you or Mr. Ludlow or Mr. Hook seek counsel 20 about this provision? 21 A. I'm not sure if it was related to a specific 22 provision. There was a bankruptcy attorney involved. 23 Q. Okay. How did you get to that bankruptcy 24 attorney? 25 A. Phone call, I think.</p>
<p>1 A. I -- no. 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) No one ever indicated they 3 had any concern about that? 4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 5 A. I don't remember that. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did Mr. Ludlow ever indicate 7 that he was concerned about submitting this document? 8 A. No. 9 Q. No? And was there ever a discussion after the 10 fact about whether submitting this document was a 11 felony? 12 A. No. 13 Q. No? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Did you have any discussions about any other 16 questions on this petition? 17 A. Not that I recall. 18 Q. Okay. And did Mr. Ludlow maintain this 19 position as president of ACET Global for at least the 20 year prior to filing this? 21 A. I don't know. 22 Q. Did you believe that to be the case? 23 A. I didn't think about that. 24 Q. Okay. And do you believe that Question 28, 25 stating, "List the debtors, officers, directors,</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. How did you get that attorney's phone 2 number? 3 A. I think that was a referral. 4 Q. And who referred that to you? 5 A. I'm not sure for certain. 6 Q. Okay. Why is that? 7 A. Because I don't know until I see something 8 that says different. 9 Q. Okay. Who were your attorneys? 10 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 11 A. For what? 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, did Baymark Partners 13 or you, did y'all deal with any other attorneys that 14 represented you before this -- 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) -- or did Hallett & Perrin 17 represent Baymark Partners and all of its companies? 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 19 A. I can't answer the last question, but we've 20 used Hallett & Perrin before this. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did Hallett & Perrin 22 refer you to the bankruptcy attorney? 23 A. I can't remember who referred us. 24 Q. Okay. Were there any other questions on this 25 document that were concerning?</p>

Page 238	Page 240
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 A. (Moving head side to side.) 3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Is that a no? 4 A. Well, you're asking me a question for 5 something that's been a while. I don't remember what 6 was concerning or not. 7 Q. You don't remember having any concern about 8 any other questions on this document? 9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Who -- did ACET Global, did 12 it file its own tax returns? 13 A. I'm sorry. Say that again. 14 Q. Did ACET Global, LLC, did it file its own 15 standalone tax returns? 16 A. I believe it did. 17 MR. PERRIN: Objection. The witness let 18 me know he would like to take a bathroom break if 19 possible. 20 MR. FREEMAN: Let's finish the short line 21 of questions. Maybe one more and we can take a break. 22 MR. PERRIN: All right. 23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did ACET Global file its own 24 tax return, or did it roll up into another tax return? 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did it roll up to a 2 group tax return then? 3 A. I'm not sure what that means. 4 Q. Well, I'm wondering -- Question 31. 5 MR. PERRIN: I'm wondering when we can 6 get a break. 7 MR. FREEMAN: Yeah, this will be it. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Question 31 states, "Within 9 six years before filing this case, has the debtor been a 10 member of any consolidated group for tax purposes?" 11 That states no. Does that appear to be correct? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 A. I'm not sure. 14 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Mr. Denegre, why 15 don't we go ahead and take a quick break. 16 (Break taken from 5:06 p.m. to 5:18 p.m.) 17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Denegre, we were talking 18 about the Baymark Voluntary Bankruptcy Petition before 19 the break. Do you, in fact, recall if you did discuss 20 that with Mr. Ludlow? 21 A. I don't. 22 Q. Or whether he reviewed that document? 23 A. Given that he signed it, I'm assuming he 24 reviewed it. 25 (Exhibit 36 marked.)</p>
<p>1 A. ACET Global rolled up into ACET Holdco. 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did that roll up into 3 anything else? 4 A. There were investors in ACET Holdco. 5 Q. Okay. Who were those investors? 6 A. I would have to look at the entity chart to be 7 exactly who it was. 8 Q. Who do you remember? 9 A. Tomer Damti would have had ownership. I don't 10 recall the name of his equity. And Baymark had an 11 ownership interest in Holdco. 12 Q. Baymark Partners? Did Baymark Partners, in 13 fact, own the rest of ACET Holdco? 14 A. What do you mean by that? I'm not sure I 15 follow. 16 Q. Did Baymark Partners own the rest of it, or 17 were there a bunch of other investors? 18 A. Within Baymark, it was just Baymark. There 19 were no other investors. 20 Q. Okay. So ACET Global's tax return wasn't a 21 standalone return; it rolled up into a consolidated or 22 group tax return? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. ACET Global was 100 percent owned by ACET 25 Holdco.</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm putting on the screen 2 what's marked as Exhibit 36. Do you see that, sir? Is 3 that an email from you, Matt Denegre, on October 16, 4 2019, to Tony Ludlow? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And is that the subject line stated 7 "Bankruptcy"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And was this with respect to the ACET 10 bankruptcy petition we just reviewed? 11 A. How can I tell? 12 Q. I don't know. What other bankruptcy would 13 this be in regard to? 14 A. Scrolling down. I'm going to take a look. 15 Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, if you'll look at the 16 email that you sent on October 16th, I'm highlighting it 17 on the screen for you. There are several attachments 18 reflected. Is this document a true and correct copy of 19 the email that you sent to Mr. Ludlow? 20 A. I think it is. 21 Q. And does it include as an attachment among 22 others a bill of sale and a voluntary petition? 23 A. I see the bill of sale. I see the voluntary 24 petition, yes. 25 Q. Okay. Do you know what these documents were</p>

Page 242	Page 244
<p>1 related to?</p> <p>2 A. Can I look at the documents?</p> <p>3 Q. Well, just looking at this email -- I don't</p> <p>4 have a lot of time left, so I kind of want to move</p> <p>5 through this. But looking at this email, was this in</p> <p>6 regard to the ACET Global bankruptcy?</p> <p>7 A. Without -- I'm assuming that it is.</p> <p>8 Q. Right. Do you, in fact, know that it is?</p> <p>9 A. I don't.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with</p> <p>11 Mr. Ludlow about the bankruptcy prior to this?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. If we look down below, is that an email</p> <p>14 from Tony Ludlow to you on October 15th, 2019, with the</p> <p>15 subject line "Bankruptcy"?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And does he say to you, "Let's discuss</p> <p>18 today"?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, he does.</p> <p>20 Q. And was your normal practice to have a</p> <p>21 discussion with Mr. Ludlow when he asked you to have a</p> <p>22 discussion?</p> <p>23 A. It would be.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Did you have a discussion with</p> <p>25 Mr. Ludlow?</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Let's scroll down and look at this</p> <p>2 Exhibit 1, the inventory. Is that, in fact, the</p> <p>3 inventory that came from ACET Global? Is that the</p> <p>4 inventory you received from Bill Szeto?</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: He's going through it.</p> <p>6 A. The only way I can tell if this is the same</p> <p>7 report is I would have to go look at what the attachment</p> <p>8 was. I don't know.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Well, what I want to</p> <p>10 know is why were you sending -- days before this</p> <p>11 bankruptcy, why were you sending this bill of sale along</p> <p>12 with other bankruptcy documents to Tony Ludlow?</p> <p>13 A. It doesn't say in the email why I was sending</p> <p>14 these, other than bill of sale. This is for Part 2.5,</p> <p>15 statement of affairs.</p> <p>16 Q. We have seen in other emails that you've</p> <p>17 provided explanation for things. Is there a reason</p> <p>18 there's no explanation given here?</p> <p>19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>20 A. By me giving you an explanation that I'm not</p> <p>21 exactly sure is correct wouldn't be appropriate.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But looking back at</p> <p>23 the ACET Global bankruptcy petition that was reflected</p> <p>24 in Exhibit 1, recall that there was a question there</p> <p>25 about inventories. "Have any inventories of the</p>
<p>1 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. What was the bill of sale that was</p> <p>3 attached to this document?</p> <p>4 A. Can we take a look?</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. It's up on your screen. It's part of</p> <p>6 the documents that Baymark Partners produced.</p> <p>7 A. I understand.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Does that appear to be the bill of sale</p> <p>9 in which Super G Capital sold or purported to sell</p> <p>10 assets of ACET Global to Windspeed Trading, LLC?</p> <p>11 A. Is that what this document says?</p> <p>12 Q. Well, that's what's stated in the first few</p> <p>13 lines of the bill of sale, is it not?</p> <p>14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>15 A. This looks like a bill of sale.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. For the foreclosure</p> <p>17 sale, correct?</p> <p>18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>19 A. It mentions foreclosure sale in that first</p> <p>20 paragraph.</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And Super G Capital</p> <p>22 as well?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And Windspeed Trading, LLC, as well?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 debtor's property been taken within two years before</p> <p>2 filing this case?" And the answer there is no; is that</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And you reviewed this prior</p> <p>6 to it be filed, correct?</p> <p>7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>8 A. I reviewed this file along with whoever our</p> <p>9 counsel was at the time.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And along with</p> <p>11 Mr. Ludlow, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Again, I can't speak for Mr. Ludlow other than</p> <p>13 I know he signed the documents.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. But you had some discussions with him</p> <p>15 about it, correct?</p> <p>16 A. His email asks us to have a discussion. I'm</p> <p>17 not sure if that happened or not.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. If this document was filed with a</p> <p>19 Federal court on October 23rd, 2019, this email in which</p> <p>20 you forward both the Voluntary Bankruptcy Petition and</p> <p>21 the foreclosure sale -- bill of sale with the inventory</p> <p>22 of ACET Global's assets to Mr. Ludlow just a few days</p> <p>23 before, correct?</p> <p>24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>25 A. So the date on this email says October 16th,</p>

Page 246	Page 248
<p>1 2019?</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Correct.</p> <p>3 A. And what's your question?</p> <p>4 Q. I'm just asking, did you send him those items</p> <p>5 several days before ACET Global's bankruptcy petition</p> <p>6 was filed?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>8 Q. And did you have any discussion with</p> <p>9 Mr. Ludlow about concerns about ACET Global's inventory</p> <p>10 in relation to the bankruptcy petition?</p> <p>11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>12 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</p> <p>14 (Exhibit 37 marked.)</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm going to put what's</p> <p>16 marked as Exhibit 37 on your screen. Do you see that,</p> <p>17 sir?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Now, bankruptcy -- the bankruptcy that</p> <p>20 occurred in 2019, had you discussed a bankruptcy prior</p> <p>21 to that?</p> <p>22 A. I don't recall if we did or not.</p> <p>23 Q. Had you discussed the possibility of ACET</p> <p>24 Global going into a bankruptcy?</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall.</p>	<p>1 Matt Denegre, mdenegre@baymarkpartners.com to Steve</p> <p>2 Bellah and Tony Ludlow, steve@supergcapital and</p> <p>3 tludlow@baymarkpartners.com; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And this was sent on November 21st,</p> <p>6 2018; is that correct, sir?</p> <p>7 A. I see November 27th, the one I'm looking at.</p> <p>8 Q. Look just below that one. Do you see the one</p> <p>9 on your screen that says November 21st, 2018?</p> <p>10 A. I'm there. I see it.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And let's look at what you state in</p> <p>12 this email. It says, "We had to bring her in last</p> <p>13 minute because there are multiple parties involved,</p> <p>14 (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate</p> <p>15 counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise</p> <p>16 within their firm." Is that a correct statement, sir?</p> <p>17 A. That's what it says.</p> <p>18 Q. And did you, in fact, send this email?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And is this a true and correct copy of the</p> <p>21 email that you sent?</p> <p>22 A. I think it is.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Why did you send this?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. What did you -- when you referred to</p>
<p>1 Q. Did you discuss that with Super G?</p> <p>2 A. Can't recall.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you discuss that with Super G in 2018?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q. Maybe, like a year before this bankruptcy</p> <p>6 petition?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>8 Q. Did you discuss it with Tony Ludlow, like, a</p> <p>9 year before this bankruptcy petition?</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>11 Q. If you will, sir, look at Exhibit 37. This is</p> <p>12 an email that says it's from Matt Denegre. Do you see</p> <p>13 that it's to Steve Bellah, Tony Ludlow and William</p> <p>14 Szeto?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. It's dated January 3rd, 2019, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And I believe you previously told me that as</p> <p>19 of at least January 7th, 2019, you still believed Steve</p> <p>20 Bellah was working for Super G Capital; is that correct?</p> <p>21 A. I think he was still working for Super G</p> <p>22 Capital.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Let's scroll down this Exhibit 37, if</p> <p>24 you will with me. Mr. Denegre, there's an email there</p> <p>25 on the third page, and this is yet another email from</p>	<p>1 corporate counsel, was that referring to Hallett &</p> <p>2 Perrin?</p> <p>3 A. I would think it would be Hallett & Perrin.</p> <p>4 Q. Did Hallett & Perrin initially not want</p> <p>5 anything to do with this bankruptcy or foreclosure?</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. What did you mean</p> <p>9 there were multiple parties involved? ACET Global,</p> <p>10 Windspeed, Baymark?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know. I'm not sure why those parties</p> <p>12 are listed.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. At the time of this email, do you</p> <p>14 recall there being a sense of urgency to do something?</p> <p>15 A. I don't. I don't recall what was going on at</p> <p>16 this moment.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you recall Steve Bellah having a sense of</p> <p>18 urgency to do something?</p> <p>19 A. Specifically, I don't.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. If you look just below that, the email</p> <p>21 from Steve Bellah on November 21st, 2018, to you and</p> <p>22 Mr. Ludlow. And he states, "Does she know there is a</p> <p>23 sense of urgency here?" Is that correct?</p> <p>24 A. I see that.</p> <p>25 Q. Why is there a sense of urgency?</p>

Page 250	Page 252
<p>1 A. You would have to ask Steve. I don't recall 2 what sense of urgency there was at this time. 3 Q. Okay. And going to your email above that, 4 what bankruptcy or foreclosure are you referring to? 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was that the bankruptcy of 7 ACET Global? 8 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 9 A. My email here says, "and our corporate counsel 10 does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within 11 their firm." 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was there, in fact, a plan 13 in 2018 to take ACET Global into bankruptcy? 14 A. Not that I'm aware of. 15 Q. Was there a plan to foreclose on the assets of 16 ACET Global? 17 A. Super G may have had a plan. 18 Q. Okay. How did that impact Baymark Partners? 19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 20 A. It didn't impact Baymark Partners. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Why did you state 22 there were multiple parties involved? 23 A. Not sure. 24 Q. Okay. You don't know what that means? 25 A. I don't. I'm sorry.</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you discuss your 2 deposition here with anyone prior to this deposition? 3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 4 A. Discussed with our attorneys and Tony Ludlow. 5 I let David Hook know I had to go to a deposition. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. My wife. That was it. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you discuss anyone 9 else's deposition in this case with them? 10 A. I don't recall. I don't believe so. 11 Q. Did you talk with Mr. Szeto after his 12 deposition? 13 A. No. 14 Q. No? Did Tony Ludlow talk with Mr. Szeto after 15 his deposition? 16 A. You would have to ask Tony that. 17 Q. Okay. Did you have a discussion with Tony 18 Ludlow about your deposition? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. When did you have that discussion? 21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 A. It would have been one or two weeks ago. 23 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. One or two weeks ago? 24 You're sure of that? 25 A. It's within a two-week time frame. I don't</p>
<p>1 Q. Even looking at this, you didn't have anything 2 to do with ACET Global's foreclosure? 3 A. Super G foreclosed on ACET. Super G -- 4 Q. You didn't have anything do with that? 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 A. No. 7 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And Baymark Partners didn't 8 have anything to do with that, right? 9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 A. Nope. 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And Tony Ludlow didn't have 12 anything do with that, correct? 13 A. No. 14 Q. And that was completely unrelated to Windspeed 15 Trading, LLC? 16 A. What's that? 17 Q. The foreclosure upon ACET Global's assets. 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And you also didn't have 21 anything to do with the bankruptcy filing of ACET Global 22 other than reviewing the petition; is that correct? 23 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 A. Yeah, I recall reviewing it, and I think that 25 was it.</p>	<p>1 know the specific date, but I know it wasn't a month 2 ago. 3 Q. How many times? 4 A. Just once. 5 Q. And where did you meet with him? 6 A. In my office. 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) In your office or his? 9 A. My office. 10 Q. Okay. How long was that meeting? 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 12 A. I remember it being less than five minutes. 13 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you call into 14 Mr. Szeto's deposition? 15 A. I did. 16 Q. Did you watch Mr. Szeto's deposition? 17 A. I listened to parts of it, and I watched other 18 parts of it. 19 Q. Did you disagree with anything Mr. Szeto said? 20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 21 A. I have no opinion on Mr. Szeto's deposition. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you believe 23 everything that he said was truthful? 24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 A. I have no opinion on it.</p>

Page 254	Page 256
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you believe anything 2 that he said was inaccurate?</p> <p>3 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>4 A. Given that he's under oath, I have to assume 5 everything that he said was truthful.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So your testimony, as 7 you sit here today, is that you believe everything that 8 Mr. Szeto said in his deposition was truthful?</p> <p>9 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>10 A. No. I'm not going to have an opinion on that.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Who else did you talk 12 to about Mr. Szeto's deposition?</p> <p>13 A. I only think I talked to Mr. Szeto's 14 deposition with our attorneys.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. And my wife. My wife was wondering what I was 17 doing in the living room.</p> <p>18 Q. You didn't discuss it with Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Steven Bellah?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Alex Godinez?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. And not Mr. Szeto?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>1 (Exhibit 31 marked.)</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I'm putting on the screen 3 what's marked as Exhibit 31. Do you see that, sir? Is 4 that a calendar invite from you to Mr. Ludlow on 5 December 19th, 2019, the subject matter "ACET lawsuit/ 6 Documentation of foreclosure by Super G"?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Is that, in fact, a true and correct 9 copy of that?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And did you, in fact, have a discussion with 12 Tony Ludlow on or around the date reflected there about 13 the foreclosure of ACET Global's assets?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall if we did or not.</p> <p>15 Q. You don't recall having any discussion about 16 that with Mr. Ludlow?</p> <p>17 A. I do not.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Have you had any discussions with Bill 19 Szeto about this case?</p> <p>20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. When were those?</p> <p>23 A. Not sure. Not sure of the exact date. It 24 would have been last year.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And what was that about?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 255</p> <p>1 Q. How many times have you had discussions with 2 Tony Ludlow about this case?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know -- Tony Ludlow's been on emails 4 with our attorneys.</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: I would caution you not to 6 disclose any attorney-client communications.</p> <p>7 A. And phone calls with our attorneys. Those 8 were the discussions.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever have a 10 meeting with Mr. Ludlow, just you and Mr. Ludlow or you 11 and Mr. Ludlow with no attorneys about this case?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you recall having 15 a meeting with Mr. Ludlow to try to get documentation 16 about the foreclosure by Super G?</p> <p>17 A. Can you ask that again?</p> <p>18 Q. Yeah. Did you ever have a meeting with 19 Mr. Ludlow to try to pull together documentation of the 20 foreclosure by Super G?</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall if I did or not.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Was that not a specific topic of a 23 meeting maybe right before the bankruptcy petition was 24 filed?</p> <p>25 A. I don't remember.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 257</p> <p>1 A. Bill had called me to discuss the defendants 2 and who was representing the defendants.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And what did you tell him?</p> <p>4 A. I said Hallett & Perrin were representing the 5 Baymark defendants, and you should have your own counsel 6 for Windspeed.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did you tell him that Baymark Partners 8 didn't want to be involved?</p> <p>9 A. I don't remember if I did or not.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Is there any reason that Mr. Szeto 11 would have coordinated with Super G to prepare a 12 response in this case?</p> <p>13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>14 A. I don't know.</p> <p>15 (Exhibit 32 marked.)</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm putting on the screen 17 what's marked as Exhibit 32, sir. It's an email -- 18 email correspondence between William Szeto, Alex Szeto 19 and Steve Bellah. Do you see that, sir?</p> <p>20 A. I see that.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And this is dated September 25th, 2020. 22 Do you see that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And does it state from Mr. Szeto, "For 25 your information, we will have to respond. I'm not</p>

Page 258	Page 260
<p>1 copying Matt since he gave us the answer that Baymark 2 will no longer want to be involved with the lawsuit. 3 Before I go to see the lawyer, I would like to talk to 4 two of you to prepare our response and get whatever 5 document we have as backup." Do you see that?</p> <p>6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>7 A. I see the email, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you, in fact, have a 9 conversation with William Szeto around the time of this 10 email?</p> <p>11 A. I had a conversation with Bill Szeto 12 probably -- I know prior to this email, but it would 13 have been late summer-ish.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. What did you discuss?</p> <p>15 A. Bill had asked who was representing the 16 defendant. I told him Hallett & Perrin was representing 17 the Baymark defendants, and you should get your own 18 counsel.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Because he was part of Windspeed?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And Windspeed was a completely separate, 22 independent company?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. That had no relationship whatsoever to Baymark 25 Partners?</p>	<p>1 A. That would have been 2020. 2 Q. Okay. Late 2020 or early, middle? 3 A. It would have been middle to late. 4 Q. Okay. What did you discuss with him? 5 A. As part of discovery, I wanted to get access 6 to all of the ACET emails. I wasn't sure how to do 7 that.</p> <p>8 Q. And did Mr. Szeto know?</p> <p>9 A. He suggested to call a firm.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And was that possibly Rock Design 11 Hosting?</p> <p>12 A. Potentially, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, call that 14 company?</p> <p>15 A. I did.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And what did you discover?</p> <p>17 A. That the emails on their server were cleared 18 out because of nonpayment. They don't hold that data 19 after a certain amount of time.</p> <p>20 Q. So those emails had all been deleted?</p> <p>21 A. If you want to call it deleted, I guess that's 22 the appropriate way. They don't keep data after a 23 certain amount of time.</p> <p>24 Q. Those emails had been destroyed, correct?</p> <p>25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p>
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you have a 4 discussion that also involved William Szeto and Steve 5 Bellah of Super G?</p> <p>6 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>7 Q. You don't recall a conference call involving 8 you, Mr. Szeto and Super G?</p> <p>9 A. I don't.</p> <p>10 Q. But now, Mr. Szeto testified that that call, 11 in fact, did happen. Do you maintain that that part of 12 his testimony was false?</p> <p>13 A. I can't give you an opinion on his testimony.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Who hosted ACET Global's email accounts?</p> <p>15 A. There was a third-party email hosting company that did that.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Was that Rock Design Hosting?</p> <p>17 A. It could be.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Was it, in fact, Rock Design Hosting?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Did you talk to Bill Szeto about getting access to ACET Global's emails?</p> <p>21 A. I did.</p> <p>22 Q. When did you do that?</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, the third-party website or hosting site 2 would have gotten rid of those emails.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you believe that there 4 were relevant emails --</p> <p>5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) -- that were deleted?</p> <p>7 A. I'm not sure. I think having access to all 8 the emails would be helpful. That's why I called them 9 to try to get those.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Szeto say whether he had done 11 anything to try to preserve those emails?</p> <p>12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.</p> <p>13 A. He didn't say anything like that.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) When did they say that those 15 emails were deleted?</p> <p>16 A. Pretty soon after nonpayment, so I would 17 assume early 2018.</p> <p>18 Q. Is that what they --</p> <p>19 A. 2019, potentially.</p> <p>20 Q. Is that, in fact, what they said?</p> <p>21 A. To me, it was -- I can't give you the specific 22 dates. I do know it was a short term, and short, I mean 23 less than a year.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Do you believe that those emails could 25 have been relevant to ACET Global's bankruptcy?</p>

Page 262	Page 264
<p>1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 A. It didn't cross my mind. 3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) No? Did Mr. Ludlow ever say 4 anything in that regard? 5 A. No. 6 Q. No? Why did you want to get these documents? 7 A. There was a request for discovery, and we felt 8 it was necessary to pull all the documents. 9 Q. Okay. But all of those documents that were 10 responsive had, in fact, been deleted? 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 12 A. Those emails were deleted by this party, this 13 third party. 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And was this, in 15 fact, in December of 2020? 16 A. It may have been. 17 (Exhibit 33 marked.) 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. I'm putting on the 19 screen what's marked as Exhibit 33. Do you see that, 20 sir? 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And after reviewing this document, was it, in 23 fact, Rock Design Hosting that had preserved access to 24 the emails? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 trial. 2 MS. HARD-WILSON: Windspeed also 3 reserves. 4 THE REPORTER: Brenda, do you need a copy 5 of the deposition? 6 MS. HARD-WILSON: No, thank you. 7 THE REPORTER: Okay. 8 MR. PERRIN: Jason, you have been talking 9 about a document that I don't remember the number of it, 10 but it was one of your exhibits about the ACET Global 11 shutdown. And Mr. Denegre mentioned that he thought 12 that it had been requested by Super G by an email. And 13 you said, Had that been produced? It has. We checked, 14 and yes, that was produced. 15 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. 16 MR. PERRIN: It is Document BP006293. 17 MR. FREEMAN: Thank you very much. I 18 appreciate that. 19 MR. PERRIN: Email from Bellah dated 20 September 7th to Matt Denegre. 21 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. 22 MR. PERRIN: All right. 23 THE REPORTER: Ed, did you say you wanted 24 an expedited copy of the depo, correct? 25 MR. PERRIN: I don't think we need an</p>
<p>1 Q. And was that all of the ACET Global, LLC, 2 emails? 3 A. I assume so. This was the first time I had 4 heard of the company or who hosted the emails. 5 Q. Okay. But you got that information from Bill 6 Szeto? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. What did -- 9 MR. PERRIN: Karen, can we get a time 10 check, please? 11 THE REPORTER: Six hours and 18 seconds. 12 MR. FREEMAN: All right. We're almost 13 done. 14 MR. PERRIN: You're done. It's over six 15 hours. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) One last question, 17 Mr. Denegre. What did Mr. Szeto say to you in your 18 conversation about the status of the ACET Global 19 electronic documents that had been deleted? 20 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 21 A. He didn't mention anything about deleted 22 emails. 23 MR. PERRIN: All right. 24 MR. FREEMAN: Pass the witness. 25 MR. PERRIN: Reserve questions, time of</p>	<p>1 expedited copy of the depo. 2 (End of proceedings.) 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>

Page 266	Page 268
<p>1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE 2 WITNESS NAME: MATT DENEGRE 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: April 8, 2021 4 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 5 _____ 6 _____ 7 _____ 8 _____ 9 _____ 10 _____ 11 _____ 12 _____ 13 _____ 14 _____ 15 _____ 16 _____ 17 _____ 18 _____ 19 _____ 20 _____ 21 _____ 22 _____ 23 _____ 24 _____ 25 _____</p>	<p>1 NO. DC-19-09828 2 D&T PARTNERS, LLC) IN THE DISTRICT COURT 3 (Successor in interest to) 4 ACET VENTURE PARTNERS,) 5 LLC,) 6) Plaintiffs) DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 7)) 8 VS.) 9)) 10) ACET GLOBAL, LLC; BAYMARK) 11) ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK) 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT 12) MANAGEMENT, LCC; BAYMARK) 13) MANAGEMENT, LLC; BAYMARK) 14) PARTNERS; DAVID HOOK;) 15) TONY LUDLOW; and) 16) WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC, 17) Defendants 18) 19) REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 20) DEPOSITION OF MATT DENEGRE 21) April 8, 2021 22) I, Karen Usher, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and 23) for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following: 24) That the witness, MATT DENEGRE, was duly sworn by 25) the officer and that the transcript of the oral) deposition is a true record of the testimony given by) the witness;) That the deposition transcript was submitted on) APRIL 22, 2021 to the witness or to the attorney for the) witness for examination, signature and return to me by) MAY 12, 2021;) That the amount of time used by each party at the</p>
<p>1 I, MATT DENEGRE, have read the foregoing 2 deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is 3 true and correct, except as noted above. 4 5 6 MATT DENEGRE 7 THE STATE OF _____) 8 COUNTY OF _____) 9 10 Before me, _____, on this day 11 personally appeared MATT DENEGRE, known to me (or proved 12 to me under oath or through _____) 13 (description of identity card or other document)) to be 14 the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing 15 instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the 16 same for the purposes and consideration therein 17 expressed. 18 Given under my hand and seal of office this 19 _____ day of _____, _____. 20 21 22 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR 23 THE STATE OF _____ 24 COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____ 25</p>	<p>1 Page 269 2 deposition is as follows: 3 MR. JASON B. FREEMAN - 6 HOURS:1 MINUTES 4 MR. EDWARD PERRIN - 00 HOURS:00 MINUTE(S) 5 MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON - 00 HOURS:00 MINUTE(S) 6 That pursuant to information given to the 7 deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, 8 the following includes counsel for all parties of 9 record: 10 MR. JASON B. FREEMAN, Attorney for Plaintiffs 11 MR. EDWARD PERRIN, Attorney for Defendants 12 BAYMARK ENTITIES 13 MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, Attorney for Defendants 14 WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC 15 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 16 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or 17 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was 18 taken, and further that I am not financially or 19 otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 20 Further certification requirements pursuant to Rule 21 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have 22 occurred. 23 Certified to by me this 14th of April, 2021. 24 25  _____ Karen Usher MARY KAREN USHER, CSR # 5536 Expiration: 1/31/2022 Firm Registration # 10278 USHER REPORTING SERVICES 1326 Lochness Drive Allen, Texas 75013 (214) 755-1612</p>

Page 270

1 FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 203 TRCP
2 The original deposition _____ was _____ was not
3 returned to the deposition officer;
4 If returned, the attached Changes and Signature
5 page contains any changes and the reasons therefore;
6 If returned, the original deposition was delivered
7 to MR. JASON FREEMAN, Custodial Attorney;
8 That \$_____ is the deposition officer's
9 charges to the Plaintiff for preparing the original
10 deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits;
11 That the deposition was delivered in accordance
12 with Rule 203.3, and that a copy of this certificate was
13 served on all parties shown herein on and filed with the
14 Clerk.

15 Certified to by me this _____ day of _____, 2020.
16
17
18

MARY KAREN USHER, CSR # 5536
Expiration: 1/31/2022
Firm Registration # 10278
USHER REPORTING SERVICES
1326 Lochness Drive
Allen, Texas 75013
(214) 755-1612
karen@usherreporting.com